

## Number Theory - II

---

**Q1:** Let  $p, N$  be integers with  $p|N$ . Prove that for any integer  $x$ ,  $[[x \bmod N] \bmod p] = [x \bmod p]$ . Show that, in contrast,  $[[x \bmod p] \bmod N]$  need not equal  $[x \bmod N]$ .

**A1:** If  $p|N$  then  $p \leq N$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_p \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_N$ . We can suppose that  $x$  is positive without loss of generality. For a positive  $x$  with respect to  $N$  and  $p$  there are 3 cases:

- $0 \leq x < p$ , then  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  and so  $[x \bmod N] = [x \bmod p]$ , then  $[[x \bmod N] \bmod p] = [x \bmod p]$  is true.
- $p \leq x < N$  then  $\exists x' \in \mathbb{Z}_N$  s.t.  $x = pk + x'$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $x' \in \mathbb{Z}_N$  and  $x' > p$  then  $\exists x'' \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  s.t.  $x' = pk' + x''$  for some  $k' \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $x' = p$  then  $x'' = 0$  and  $k' := k' + 1$  instead. If  $x' < p$  then  $x'' = x'$  where  $x'' \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  and  $k' := 0$ . As a result,  $x = pk + pk' + x'' = p(k + k') + x''$ .
- $N \leq x$  then  $\exists x' \in \mathbb{Z}_N$  s.t.  $x = Nk + x'$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $p|N$  we can say  $\exists k_p \in \mathbb{N}$  where  $N = pk_p$ . By following a similar logic to what we did above,  $x = Nk + pk' + x''$ .. So,  $x = pk_pk + pk' + x'' = p(k_pk + k') + x''$ .

As the 3 cases demonstrate, the claim  $[[x \bmod N] \bmod p] = [x \bmod p]$  is true, both sides eventually reduce to  $x''$ .

However, it is not always true that  $[[x \bmod p] \bmod N] = [x \bmod N]$ . We can prove this just by giving a counter-example. Take any  $p, N = pk$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x = pk + r$  where  $p < r < N$ . Then  $[x \bmod N] = r > p$ , but on the left hand-side since we do  $[x \bmod p]$  first, nothing after that will never be equal to  $r$  as  $r \notin \mathbb{Z}_p$ .

**Q2:**<sup>1</sup> Let  $\rho$  be a polynomial-time algorithm that, on input  $1^n$ , outputs a (description of a) cyclic group  $G$ , its order  $q$  (with  $||q|| = n$ ), and a generator  $g$ . If the discrete-logarithm problem is hard relative to  $\rho$ , then prove that the following hash function family  $(Gen, H)$  is a fixed-length collision-resistant hash function family.

- *Gen*: on input  $1^n$ , run  $\rho(1^n)$  to obtain  $(G, q, g)$ , and then select  $h \leftarrow G$ . Output  $s := \langle G, q, g, h \rangle$  as the key.
- *H*: given a key  $s = \langle G, q, g, h \rangle$  and input  $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_q \times \mathbb{Z}_q$ , output  $H^s(x_1, x_2) := g^{x_1} \times h^{x_2} \in G$ .

**A2:** I am following a proof similar to what is shown in section 8.4.2. of KL Book 2<sup>nd</sup> edition. To prove that if discrete-logarithm problem is hard relative to  $\rho$  then the hash function family  $(Gen, H)$  is secure, we take the contrapositive and assume that there exists an algorithm  $\mathcal{A}$  than can break the hash function family, and construct an algorithm  $B$  that easily solves the discrete-logarithm problem. We see our constructed game in algorithm 1: for a security parameter  $n$ , Chal and  $B$  plays discrete-logarithm game,  $B$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  plays hash-collision game.  $\mathcal{A}$  breaks the hash-collision with probability  $\epsilon(n)$ .

---

**Algorithm 1** The mixed game.

---

Chal runs  $\rho(n)$  and obtains  $(G, q, g)$ .  
 $h \leftarrow G$ .  
 $(G, q, g, h)$  is given to  $B$ .  
At  $B$ ,  $s = \langle G, q, g, h \rangle$  is constructed.  
 $B$  gives  $s$  to  $\mathcal{A}$ .  
 $\mathcal{A}$  returns  $x, x'$  to  $B$ .  
**if**  $x \neq x'$  and  $H^s(x) = H^s(x')$  **then**  
    **if**  $h = 1$  **then**  
        Return 0.  
    **else**  
        Parse  $x$  as  $(x_1, x_2)$  and  $x'$  as  $(x'_1, x'_2)$  where  $x_1, x_2, x'_1, x'_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_q$ .  
        Return  $[(x_1 - x'_1)(x_2 - x'_2)^{-1} \bmod q]$ .  
    **end if**  
**else**  
    Return 0.  
**end if**

---

What does it mean to have  $H^s(x_1, x_2) = H^s(x'_1, x'_2)$ ? It means:

$$H^s(x_1, x_2) = g^{x_1} h^{x_2} = g^{x'_1} h^{x'_2} = H^s(x'_1, x'_2)$$

---

<sup>1</sup>“Intractable Problems” segment of Dan Boneh’s Coursera course discusses this. Also see KL Book ed.2 section 8.4.2

Now if  $g^{x_1}h^{x_2} = g^{x'_1}h^{x'_2}$  we can't have  $x_1 = x'_1$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_q$  because that would imply  $x_2 = x'_2$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_q$  and then inadvertently  $x = x'$ , which is not a collision. So indeed  $x_2 \neq x'_2$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_q$  and  $x_1 \neq x'_1$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_q$ . Leaving  $g$ 's and  $h$ 's alone we get:

$$g^{x_1}g^{-x'_1} = h^{x'_2}h^{-x_2}$$

Since  $q$  is a prime order, the inverse  $[(x'_2 - x_2)^{-1} \bmod q]$  exists. Show this inverse as  $i_2$  (2 to indicate  $x_2$  and  $x'_2$ ). If we raise the expression above to this power:

$$g^{(x_1 - x'_1) \times i_2} = h^{(x'_2 - x_2) \times i_2} = h^1 = h$$

We see that  $g^{(x_1 - x'_1) \times i_2} = h$ , which solves the discrete logarithm of  $\log_g h$  to be  $[(x_1 - x'_1) \times i_2 \bmod q] = [(x_1 - x'_1)(x_2 - x'_2)^{-1} \bmod q]$ , which is what our algorithm returned. This tells us that if  $\mathcal{A}$  find a collision with  $\epsilon(n)$  probability then  $B$  solves discrete-logarithm with  $\epsilon(n)$  probability. Since we assumed it is hard to break the discrete-logarithm probability, this  $\epsilon(n)$  must be negligible, therefore the hash function is secure!