## Homework 10 COMP543 Fall 2020 - Modern Cryptography Erhan Tezcan 0070881 19.12.2020

## 1. Quesitons

Q1: A (digital) signature scheme consists of three probabilistic polynomial-time algorithms (Gen, Sign, Vrfy). Explain each algorithm with its input and output.

**A1:** These 3 algorithms are:

- Gen: on input a security parameter  $1^n$ , outputs public key pk and secret key sk.
- Sign: on input a private key sk and a message m, outputs a signature  $\sigma$ .
- Vrfy: on input a public key pk, message m and a signature  $\sigma$ , outputs 1 or 0.

**Q2:** Compare signature schemes with MAC schemes.

**A2:** For MACs:

- Only the holder of the key can verify it.
- You can't transfer a MAC to some other party.
- Does not have non-repudiation.
- Shorter in length and faster to compute.

For Digital Signatures:

- Anyone can verify it (with the public key).
- You can transfer the signature to some other party.
- Has non-repudiation.
- Longer keys than MAC, slower to compute.

Q3: Formally define security (existential unforgeability against adaptive chosen message attacks) of a signature scheme.

**A3:** The Sig-Forge<sub> $A,\Pi$ </sub>(n) experiment is defined in the algorithm 1 below.

**Q4:** Let  $\Pi$  be a secure signature scheme for messages of fixed length l. Construct a signature scheme based on  $\Pi$  that is secure for arbitrary-length messages. You can make use of any crypto-primitive you have learned. (No security proof is needed.)

## **Algorithm 1** Sig-Forge<sub> $A,\Pi$ </sub>(n) experiment

```
Chal generates a public and private key pair (pk, sk) \leftarrow Gen(1^n). \mathcal{A} obtains pk, and is given oracle access to Sign(.). It can send a message m' and it will have in return \sigma' = Sign_{sk}(m'). After some time, \mathcal{A} send m, \sigma to Chal, where m has not been used in oracle access before.

if Vrfy_{pk}(m,\sigma) = 1 then output 1, \mathcal{A} wins.

else output 0. end if
```

**A4:** This is known as Hash-and-Sign paradigm. Let  $\Pi$  be (Gen, Sign, Vrfy), we will construct  $\Pi'$ .

- Gen': Same as Gen.
- Sign: on input a private key sk and a message m, outputs a  $Sign_{sk}(H(m))$ .
- Vrfy: on input a public key pk, message m and a signature  $\sigma$ , outputs  $Vrfy_{pk}(H(m), \sigma)$ .

Here H is a collision resistant hash function.

Q5: Assume Charlie, acting as a certificate authority (CA), issues a certificate for Bob. Charlie's signature public key is  $pk_C$  and he always issues certificates only to the trustworthy people. Assume further that Bob's key  $pk_B$  is a public key for a signature scheme. Charlie's certificate for Bob looks like  $cert_{C\to B} = Sign_{sk_C}(Bob's \text{ key is } pk_B)$ . Bob issues a certificate for Alice of the form  $cert_{B\to A} = Sign_{sk_B}(Alice's \text{ key is } pk_A)$ . Now, Alice wants to communicate with some fourth party Dave who knows Charlie's public key  $pk_C$  (but not Bob's). Assume Charlie is offline now. Suggest a solution by which Alice can convince Dave that  $pk_A$  is her authentic key, without any party having access to Charlie (since Charlie is offline).

**A5:** Alice sends  $\langle \text{Alice}, pk_A, cert_{B\to A} \rangle$  to Dave. Dave can then ask Bob for  $cert_{C\to B}$ , which Dave can verify with  $pk_C$ . After that verification, Dave knows that B must be trustworthy because C issued a certificate to it. Furthermore, it can trust  $pk_B$  and use this  $pk_B$  to verify  $cert_{B\to A}$ , which reveals that  $pk_A$  is the correct public key of Alice.