CPSC 457 - Assignment 2 Question 4 Eric Austin 30037742 May 24, 2019

			eric.au	stin1@zone29-wb:~/CPSC457/CPSC457	_ 0 >
		Terminal Help			
			CPSC457\$	strace -c ./scan.sh cpp 5	
	nment2/scan.		C1 3C 1374	эсгасс с ./ эсангэн срр э	
	nment2/myFin				
	nment1/myWc.				
		Lines.cpp 1199			
	C++/hello.cp				
tal si	ize: 6304				
time	seconds	usecs/call	calls	errors syscall	
7.01	0.013577	2715	 5	1 wait4	
3.06	0.000477	7	68	47 openat	
2.27	0.000354	, 88	4	clone	
1.38	0.000334	9	23	mmap	
1.20	0.000210	5	33	6 close	
0.89	0.000139	8	17	7 stat	
9.77	0.000120	15	8	mprotect	
0.74	0.000116	5	20	, fstat	
0.60	0.000093	4	19	rt sigprocmask	
0.44	0.000069	7	9	read .	
9.39	0.000061	3	16	rt_sigaction	
0.19	0.000030	10	3	pipe	
9.17	0.000027	27	1	munmap	
9.14	0.000022	5	4	brk	
9.13	0.000021	5	4	lseek	
9.10	0.000016	5	3	1 fcntl	
9.08	0.000013	4	3	2 ioctl	
9.06	0.000009	4	2	prlimit64	
9.05	0.000008	8	1	dup2	
0.05	0.000008	4 6	2 1	getpid	
0.04 0.04	0.000006 0.000006	6	i	sysinfo arch prctl	
0.03	0.000004	4	i	uname	
0.03	0.000004	4	i	getuid	
0.03	0.000004	4	ī	getgid	
9.03	0.000004	4	ī	geteuid	
9.03	0.000004	4	1	getppid	
9.03	0.000004	4	1	getpgrp	
0.02	0.000003	3	1	getegid	
0.01	0.000002	2	1	rt_sigreturn	
9.00	0.000000	0	1	1 access	
9.00	0.000000	0	1	execve	
0.00	0.015604		257	65 total	
ıc.aus	stin1@zone29	-wb:~/CPSC457/	CPSC457\$ [

```
eric.austin1@zone29-wb:~/CPSC457/CPSC457
eric.austin1@zone29-wb:~/CPSC457/CPSC457$ time ./scan.sh cpp 5
eric.austini@zone29-wb:-/CPSC45//C
./Assignment2/scan.cpp 2395
./Assignment2/myFind.cpp 1392
./Assignment1/myWc.cpp 1214
./Assignment1/countLines.cpp 1199
./LearnC++/hello.cpp 104
Total size: 6304
               0m0.076s
0m0.007s
0m0.024s
real
user
sys
eric.austin1@zone29-wb:~/CPSC457/CPSC457$
```

```
eric.austin1@zone29-wb:~/CPSC457/CPSC457
eric.austin1@zone29-wb:~/CPSC457/CPSC457$ strace -c ./scan cpp 5
./Assignment2/scan.cpp 2395
./Assignment2/myFind.cpp 1392
./Assignment1/myWc.cpp 1214
./Assignment1/countLines.cpp 1199
./LearnC++/hello.cpp 104
Total size: 6304
% time seconds usecs/call calls errors syscall
                          6645 1
17 53
10 48
12 14
10 13
110 1
9 10
7 7
                                       1
53
75.08 0.006645
                                                      wait4
 10.68
          0.000945
                                                      read
                                               43 openat
 5.59
          0.000495
                                        48
                                                 43 openat
mmap
7 stat
clone
mprotect
fstat
write
close
brk
munmap
          0.000171
 1.93
          0.000131
  1.48
 1.24
          0.000110
                                     10
7
6
7
3
1
          0.000099
 1.12
 0.62
          0.000055
  0.56
          0.000050
                            8
7
8
17
17
 0.56
          0.000050
 0.27
          0.000024
 0.19
          0.000017
 0.19
          0.000017
                                                   execve
pipe2
arch_prctl
fcntl
          0.000016
 0.18
 0.12
          0.000011
 0.09
          0.000008
 0.08
          0.000007
100.00 0.008851
                                                   51 total
eric.austin1@zone29-wb:~/CPSC457/CPSC457$
```

```
eric.austin1@zone29-wb:~/CPSC457/CPSC457
eric.austin1@zone29-wb:~/CPSC457/CPSC457$ time ./scan cpp 5
eric.austini@zone29-wb:-/CPSC45//C
./Assignment2/scan.cpp 2395
./Assignment2/myFind.cpp 1392
./Assignment1/myWc.cpp 1214
./Assignment1/countLines.cpp 1199
./LearnC++/hello.cpp 104
Total size: 6304
real
               0m0.048s
              0m0.010s
0m0.012s
user
sys
eric.austin1@zone29-wb:~/CPSC457/CPSC457$
```

We can see from the above screenshots that the C++ version of scan runs more quickly than the bash script version.

In both instances, I searched for the 5 largest .cpp files in my CPSC457 directory, consisting of 3 subdirectories.

The bash script scan.sh took 0.076s total against only 0.048 for scan.cpp. The difference is not huge (not even twice as long) but we can see the difference comes from the amount of time spent is system (kernel) mode.

Looking at the system call trace, we can see both spent the vast majority of time on wait4 system call (87% for scan.sh and 75% for scan.cpp). However, scan.sh made 5 of these calls whereas scan.cpp only made 1. Even though my c++ code has a bunch of ugly iterations over various data structures I constructed, the more efficient use of system calls (and less time waiting and context switching) made for better performance.