Nonlinear Models for Regression
Autar Kaw

After reading this chapter, you should be able to

1. *derive constants of nonlinear regression models,*
2. *use in examples, the derived formula for the constants of the nonlinear regression model, and*
3. *linearize (transform) data to find constants of some nonlinear regression models.*

From fundamental theories, we may know the relationship between two variables. An example in chemical engineering is the Clausius-Clapeyron equation that relates vapor pressure P of a vapor to its absolute temperature, T.

$$\log(P) = A + \frac{B}{T} \tag{1}$$

where A and B are the unknown parameters to be determined. The above equation is not linear in the unknown parameters. Any model that is not linear in the unknown parameters is described as a nonlinear regression model.

Nonlinear models using least squares

The development of the least squares estimation for nonlinear models does not generally yield equations that are linear and hence easy to solve. An example of a nonlinear regression model is the exponential model.

Exponential model

Given (x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), . . . (x_n, y_n), best fit $y = ae^{bx}$ to the data. The variables a and b are the constants of the exponential model. The residual at each data point x_i is

$$E_i = y_i - ae^{bx_i} \tag{2}$$

The sum of the square of the residuals is

$$S_r = \sum_{i=1}^{n} E_i^2$$

Saylor.org

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(y_i - ae^{bx_i} \right)^2 \qquad (3)$$

To find the constants a and b of the exponential model, we minimize S_r by differentiating with respect to a and b and equating the resulting equations to zero.

$$\frac{\partial S_r}{\partial a} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} 2\left(y_i - ae^{bx_i} \right)\left(-e^{bx_i} \right) = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial S_r}{\partial b} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} 2\left(y_i - ae^{bx_i} \right)\left(-ax_i e^{bx_i} \right) = 0 \qquad (4a,b)$$

or

$$-\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i e^{bx_i} + a\sum_{i=1}^{n} e^{2bx_i} = 0$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i x_i e^{bx_i} - a\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i e^{2bx_i} = 0 \qquad (5a,b)$$

Equations (5a) and (5b) are nonlinear in a and b and thus not in a closed form to be solved as was the case for linear regression. In general, iterative methods (such as Gauss-Newton iteration method, method of steepest descent, Marquardt's method, direct search, etc) must be used to find values of a and b.

However, in this case, from Equation (5a), a can be written explicitly in terms of b as

$$a = \frac{\displaystyle\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i e^{bx_i}}{\displaystyle\sum_{i=1}^{n} e^{2bx_i}} \qquad (6)$$

Substituting Equation (6) in (5b) gives

Saylor.org

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i x_i e^{bx_i} - \frac{\displaystyle\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i e^{bx_i}}{\displaystyle\sum_{i=1}^{n} e^{2bx_i}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i e^{2bx_i} = 0 \tag{7}$$

This equation is still a nonlinear equation in b and can be solved best by numerical methods such as the bisection method or the secant method.

Example 1

Many patients get concerned when a test involves injection of a radioactive material. For example for scanning a gallbladder, a few drops of Technetium-99m isotope is used. Half of the technetium-99m would be gone in about 6 hours. It, however, takes about 24 hours for the radiation levels to reach what we are exposed to in day-to-day activities. Below is given the relative intensity of radiation as a function of time.

Table 1 Relative intensity of radiation as a function of time

t (hrs)	0	1	3	5	7	9
γ	1.000	0.891	0.708	0.562	0.447	0.355

If the level of the relative intensity of radiation is related to time via an exponential formula $\gamma = Ae^{\lambda t}$, find

    a) the value of the regression constants A and λ,
    b) the half-life of Technium-99m, and
    c) the radiation intensity after 24 hours.

Solution

a) The value of λ is given by solving the nonlinear Equation (7),

Saylor.org

$$f(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_i t_i e^{\lambda t_i} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_i e^{\lambda t_i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} e^{2\lambda t_i}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i e^{2\lambda t_i} = 0 \qquad (8)$$

and then the value of A from Equation (6),

$$A = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_i e^{\lambda t_i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} e^{2\lambda t_i}} \qquad (9)$$

Equation (8) can be solved for λ using bisection method. To estimate the initial guesses, we assume $\lambda = -0.120$ and $\lambda = -0.110$. We need to check whether these values first bracket the root of $f(\lambda) = 0$. At $\lambda = -0.120$, the table below shows the evaluation of $f(-0.120)$.

Table 2 Summation value for calculation of constants of model

i	t_i	γ_i	$\gamma_i t_i e^{\lambda t_i}$	$\gamma_i e^{\lambda t_i}$	$e^{2\lambda t_i}$	$t_i e^{2\lambda t_i}$
1	0	1	0.00000	1.00000	1.00000	0.00000
2	1	0.891	0.79205	0.79205	0.78663	0.78663
3	3	0.708	1.4819	0.49395	0.48675	1.4603
4	5	0.562	1.5422	0.30843	0.30119	1.5060
5	7	0.447	1.3508	0.19297	0.18637	1.3046
6	9	0.355	1.0850	0.12056	0.11533	1.0379
$\sum_{i=1}^{6}$			6.2501	2.9062	2.8763	6.0954

From Table 2

Saylor.org

$$n = 6$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{6} \gamma_i t_i e^{-0.120t_i} = 6.2501$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{6} \gamma_i e^{-0.120t_i} = 2.9062$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{6} e^{2(-0.120)t_i} = 2.8763$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{6} t_i e^{2(-0.120)t_i} = 6.0954$$

$$f(-0.120) = (6.2501) - \frac{2.9062}{2.8763}(6.0954)$$

$$= 0.091357$$

Similarly

$$f(-0.110) = -0.10099$$

Since

$$f(-0.120) \times f(-0.110) < 0 \,,$$

the value of λ falls in the bracket of $[-0.120, -0.110]$. The next guess of the root then is

$$\lambda = \frac{-0.120 + (-0.110)}{2}$$

$$= -0.115$$

Continuing with the bisection method, the root of $f(\lambda) = 0$ is found as $\lambda = -0.11508$. This value of the root was obtained after 20 iterations with an absolute relative approximate error of less than 0.000008%.

From Equation (9), A can be calculated as

$$A = \frac{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{6} \gamma_i e^{\lambda t_i}}{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{6} e^{2\lambda t_i}}$$

$$= \frac{\begin{array}{c} 1 \times e^{-0.11508(0)} + 0.891 \times e^{-0.11508(1)} + 0.708 \times e^{-0.11508(3)} + \\ 0.562 \times e^{-0.11508(5)} + 0.447 \times e^{-0.11508(7)} + 0.355 \times e^{-0.11508(9)} \end{array}}{\begin{array}{c} e^{2(-0.11508)(0)} + e^{2(-0.11508)(1)} + e^{2(-0.11508)(3)} + \\ e^{2(-0.11508)(5)} + e^{2(-0.11508)(7)} + e^{2(-0.11508)(9)} \end{array}}$$

$$= \frac{2.9373}{2.9378}$$

$$= 0.99983$$

The regression formula is hence given by

$$\gamma = 0.99983\, e^{-0.11508t}$$

b) Half life of Technetium-99m is when $\gamma = \dfrac{1}{2}\gamma\Big|_{t=0}$

$$0.99983 \times e^{-0.11508t} = \frac{1}{2}(0.99983) e^{-0.11508(0)}$$

$$e^{-0.11508t} = 0.5$$

$$-0.11508t = \ln(0.5)$$

$$t = 6.0232 \text{ hours}$$

c) The relative intensity of the radiation after 24 hrs is

$$\gamma = 0.99983 \times e^{-0.11508(24)}$$

$$= 6.3160 \times 10^{-2}$$

This implies that only $\dfrac{6.3160 \times 10^{-2}}{0.99983} \times 100 = 6.3171\%$ of the initial radioactive intensity is left after 24 hrs.

Figure 1 Relative intensity of radiation as a function of temperature using an exponential regression model.

<u>Growth model</u>

Growth models common in scientific fields have been developed and used successfully for specific situations. The growth models are used to describe how something grows with changes in the regressor variable (often the time). Examples in this category include growth of thin films or population with time. Growth models include

$$y = \frac{a}{1 + be^{-cx}} \tag{10}$$

where a, b and c are the constants of the model. At $x = 0$, $y = \dfrac{a}{1+b}$ and as $x \to \infty$, $y \to a$.

The residuals at each data point x_i, are

$$E_i = y_i - \frac{a}{1 + be^{-cx_i}}$$ (11)

The sum of the square of the residuals is

$$S_r = \sum_{i=1}^{n} E_i^2$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(y_i - \frac{a}{1 + be^{-cx_i}} \right)^2$$ (12)

To find the constants a, b and c we minimize S_r by differentiating with respect to a, b and c, and equating the resulting equations to zero.

$$\frac{\partial S_r}{\partial a} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{2e^{cx_i} \left[ae^{c \times x_i} - y_i \left(e^{cx_i} + b \right) \right]}{\left(e^{cx_i} + b \right)^2} \right) = 0,$$

$$\frac{\partial S_r}{\partial b} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{2ae^{cx_i} \left[by_i + e^{cx_i} \left(y_i - a \right) \right]}{\left(e^{cx_i} + b \right)^3} \right) = 0,$$

$$\frac{\partial S_r}{\partial c} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{-2abx_i e^{cx_i} \left[by_i + e^{cx_i} \left(y_i - a \right) \right]}{\left(e^{cx_i} + b \right)^3} \right) = 0.$$ (13a,b,c)

One can use the Newton-Raphson method to solve the above set of simultaneous nonlinear equations for a, b and c.

Example 2
The height of a child is measured at different ages as follows.

Saylor.org

Table 3 Height of the child at different ages.

t (yrs)	0	5.0	8	12	16	18
H (in)	20	36.2	52	60	69.2	70

Estimate the height of the child as an adult of 30 years of age using the growth model,

$$H = \frac{a}{1 + be^{-ct}}$$

Solution

The saturation growth model of height, H vs. age, t is given as

$$H = \frac{a}{1 + be^{-ct}}$$

where the constants a, b and c are the roots of the simultaneous nonlinear equation system

$$\sum_{i=1}^{6} \left(\frac{2e^{ct_i}\left[ae^{ct_i} - H_i\left(e^{ct_i} + b\right)\right]}{\left(e^{ct_i} + b\right)^2} \right) = 0$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{6} \left(\frac{2ae^{ct_i}\left[bH_i + e^{ct_i}\left(H_i - a\right)\right]}{\left(e^{ct_i} + b\right)^3} \right) = 0$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{6} \left(\frac{-2abt_i e^{ct_i}\left[bH_i + e^{ct_i}\left(H_i - a\right)\right]}{\left(e^{ct_i} + b\right)^3} \right) = 0 \qquad \text{(14a,b,c)}$$

We need initial guesses of the roots to get the iterative process started to find the root of those equations. Suppose we use three of the given data points such as (0, 20), (12, 60) and (18, 70) to find the initial guesses of roots; we have

Saylor.org

$$20 = \frac{a}{1 + be^{-c(0)}}$$

$$60 = \frac{a}{1 + be^{-c(12)}}$$

$$70 = \frac{a}{1 + be^{-c(18)}}$$

One can solve three unknowns a, b and c from the three equations as

$$a = 7.5534 \times 10^1$$

$$b = 2.7767$$

$$c = 1.9772 \times 10^{-1}$$

Applying the Newton-Raphson method for simultaneous nonlinear equations, one can get the roots

$$a = 7.4321 \times 10^1$$

$$b = 2.8233$$

$$c = 2.1715 \times 10^{-1}$$

The saturation growth model of the height of the child then is

$$H = \frac{7.4321 \times 10^1}{1 + 2.8233e^{-2.1715 \times 10^{-1}t}}$$

The height of the child as an adult of 30 years of age is

$$H = \frac{7.4321 \times 10^1}{1 + 2.8233e^{-2.1715 \times 10^{-1} \times (30)}}$$
$$= 74"$$

Polynomial Models
Given n data points $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \ldots, (x_n, y_n)$ use least squares method to regress the data to an mth order polynomial.

Saylor.org

$$y = a_0 + a_1 x + a_2 x^2 + \cdots\cdots + a_m x^m, \, m < n \qquad (15)$$

The residual at each data point is given by

$$E_i = y_i - a_0 - a_1 x_i - \ldots - a_m x_i^m \qquad (16)$$

The sum of the square of the residuals is given by

$$S_r = \sum_{i=1}^{n} E_i^2$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(y_i - a_0 - a_1 x_i - \ldots - a_m x_i^m \right)^2 \qquad (17)$$

To find the constants of the polynomial regression model, we put the derivatives with respect to a_i to zero, that is,

Figure 2 Height of child as a function of age saturation growth model.

$$\frac{\partial S_r}{\partial a_0} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} 2\left(y_i - a_0 - a_1 x_i - \ldots - a_m x_i^m\right)(-1) = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial S_r}{\partial a_1} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} 2\left(y_i - a_0 - a_1 x_i - \ldots - a_m x_i^m\right)(-x_i) = 0$$

$$.\quad\quad .\quad .\quad .\quad .\quad .\quad .\quad .\quad .\quad .\quad .\quad .\quad .\quad .\quad .\quad . \tag{18}$$

$$.\quad\quad .\quad .\quad .\quad .\quad .\quad .\quad .\quad .\quad .\quad .\quad .\quad .\quad .\quad .\quad .\quad .$$

$$\frac{\partial S_r}{\partial a_m} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} 2\left(y_i - a_0 - a_1 x_i - \ldots - a_m x_i^m\right)(-x_i^m) = 0$$

Setting those equations in matrix form gives

$$\begin{bmatrix} n & \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i\right) & . & . & .\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^m\right) \\ \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i\right) & \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2\right) & . & . & .\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{m+1}\right) \\ . & . & . & . & . \\ \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^m\right) & \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{m+1}\right) & . & . & .\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{2m}\right) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \\ \cdots \\ a_m \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i \\ \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i y_i \\ \cdots \\ \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^m y_i \end{bmatrix} \tag{19}$$

The above are solved for $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_m$

Example 3

To find contraction of a steel cylinder, one needs to regress the thermal expansion coefficient data to temperature

Table 4 The thermal expansion coefficient at given different temperatures

Temperature, T (°F)	Coefficient of thermal expansion, α (in/in/°F)
80	6.47×10^{-6}

40	6.24×10^{-6}
-40	5.72×10^{-6}
-120	5.09×10^{-6}
-200	4.30×10^{-6}
-280	3.33×10^{-6}
-340	2.45×10^{-6}

Fit the above data to $\alpha = a_0 + a_1 T + a_2 T^2$

Solution

Since $\alpha = a_0 + a_1 T + a_2 T^2$ is the quadratic relationship between the thermal expansion coefficient and the temperature, the coefficients a_0, a_1, a_2 are found as follows

$$\begin{bmatrix} n & \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} T_i\right) & \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} T_i^2\right) \\ \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} T_i\right) & \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} T_i^2\right) & \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} T_i^3\right) \\ \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} T_i^2\right) & \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} T_i^3\right) & \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} T_i^4\right) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \\ a_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i \\ \sum_{i=1}^{n} T_i\,\alpha_i \\ \sum_{i=1}^{n} T_i^2 \alpha_i \end{bmatrix}$$

Table 5 Summations for calculating constants of model

i	$T(^\circ F)$	α (in/in/$^\circ F$)	T^2	T^3
1	80	6.4700×10^{-6}	6.4000×10^3	5.1200×10^5
2	40	6.2400×10^{-6}	1.6000×10^3	6.4000×10^4

Attributed to: University of South Florida: Holistic Numerical Methods Institute

Saylor.org

3	-40	5.7200×10^{-6}	1.6000×10^{3}	-6.4000×10^{4}
4	-120	5.0900×10^{-6}	1.4400×10^{4}	-1.7280×10^{6}
5	-200	4.3000×10^{-6}	4.0000×10^{4}	-8.0000×10^{6}
6	-280	3.3300×10^{-6}	7.8400×10^{4}	-2.1952×10^{7}
7	-340	2.4500×10^{-6}	1.1560×10^{5}	-3.9304×10^{7}
$\sum_{i=1}^{7}$	-8.6000×10^{2}	3.3600×10^{-5}	2.5800×10^{5}	-7.0472×10^{7}

Table 5 (cont)

i	T^4	$T \times \alpha$	$T^2 \times \alpha$
1	4.0960×10^{7}	5.1760×10^{-4}	4.1408×10^{-2}
2	2.5600×10^{6}	2.4960×10^{-4}	9.9840×10^{-3}
3	2.5600×10^{6}	-2.2880×10^{-4}	9.1520×10^{-3}
4	2.0736×10^{8}	-6.1080×10^{-4}	7.3296×10^{-2}
5	1.6000×10^{9}	-8.6000×10^{-4}	1.7200×10^{-1}
6	6.1466×10^{9}	-9.3240×10^{-4}	2.6107×10^{-1}

Saylor.org

7	1.3363×10^{10}	-8.3300×10^{-4}	2.8322×10^{-1}
$\sum_{i=1}^{7}$	2.1363×10^{10}	-2.6978×10^{-3}	8.5013×10^{-1}

$n = 7$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{7} T_i = -8.6000 \times 10^{-2}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{7} T_i^2 = 2.5580 \times 10^{5}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{7} T_i^3 = -7.0472 \times 10^{7}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{7} T_i^4 = 2.1363 \times 10^{10}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{7} \alpha_i = 3.3600 \times 10^{-5}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{7} T_i \alpha_i = -2.6978 \times 10^{-3}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{7} T_i^2 \alpha_i = 8.5013 \times 10^{-1}$$

We have

$$\begin{bmatrix} 7.0000 & -8.6000 \times 10^{2} & 2.5800 \times 10^{5} \\ -8.600 \times 10^{2} & 2.5800 \times 10^{5} & -7.0472 \times 10^{7} \\ 2.5800 \times 10^{5} & -7.0472 \times 10^{7} & 2.1363 \times 10^{10} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \\ a_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3.3600 \times 10^{-5} \\ -2.6978 \times 10^{-3} \\ 8.5013 \times 10^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

Solving the above system of simultaneous linear equations, we get

Saylor.org

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \\ a_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 6.0217 \times 10^{-6} \\ 6.2782 \times 10^{-9} \\ -1.2218 \times 10^{-11} \end{bmatrix}$$

The polynomial regression model is

$$\alpha = a_0 + a_1 T + a_2 T^2$$
$$= 6.0217 \times 10^{-6} + 6.2782 \times 10^{-9} T - 1.2218 \times 10^{-11} T^2$$

Transforming the data to use linear regression formulas

Examination of the nonlinear models above shows that in general iterative methods are required to estimate the values of the model parameters. It is sometimes useful to use simple linear regression formulas to estimate the parameters of a nonlinear model. This involves first transforming the given data such as to regress it to a linear model. Following the transformation of the data, the evaluation of model parameters lends itself to a direct solution approach using the least squares method. Data for nonlinear models such as exponential, power, and growth can be transformed.

Exponential Model
As given in Example 1, many physical and chemical processes are governed by the exponential function.

$$\gamma = ae^{bx} \tag{20}$$

Taking natural log of both sides of Equation (20) gives

$$\ln \gamma = \ln a + bx \tag{21}$$

Let

$$z = \ln \gamma$$

$$a_0 = \ln a \quad \text{implying} \quad a = e^{a_o}$$

$$a_1 = b$$

then

Attributed to: University of South Florida: Holistic Numerical Methods Institute   Saylor.org

$$z = a_0 + a_1 x \qquad (22)$$

Figure 3 Second-order polynomial regression model for coefficient of thermal expansion as a function of temperature.

The data z versus x is now a linear model. The constants a_0 and a_1 can be found using the equation for the linear model as

Attributed to: University of South Florida: Holistic Numerical Methods Institute

Saylor.org

$$a_1 = \frac{n\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}x_i z_i - \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}x_i \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}z_i}{n\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}x_i^2 - \left(\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}x_i\right)^2}$$

(23a,b)

$$a_0 = \bar{z} - a_1 \bar{x}$$

Now since a_0 and a_1 are found, the original constants with the model are found as

$$b = a_1$$

(24a,b)

$$a = e^{a_0}$$

Example 4

Repeat Example 1 using linearization of data.

Solution

$$\gamma = Ae^{\lambda t}$$

$$\ln(\gamma) = \ln(A) + \lambda t$$

Assuming

$$y = \ln\gamma$$

$$a_0 = \ln(A)$$

$$a_1 = \lambda$$

We get

$$y = a_0 + a_1 t$$

This is a linear relationship between y and t.

Saylor.org

$$a_1 = \frac{n\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} t_i y_i - \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} t_i \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} y_i}{n\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} t_i^2 - \left(\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} t_i\right)^2}$$

$$a_0 = \bar{y} - a_1 \bar{t} \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad (25a,b)$$

Table 6 Summations of data to calculate constants of model.

i	t_i	γ_i	$y_i = \ln \gamma_i$	$t_i y_i$	t_i^2
1	0	1	0.00000	0.0000	0.0000
2	1	0.891	-0.11541	-0.11541	1.0000
3	3	0.708	-0.34531	-1.0359	9.0000
4	5	0.562	-0.57625	-2.8813	25.000
5	7	0.447	-0.80520	-5.6364	49.000
6	9	0.355	-1.0356	-9.3207	81.000
$\sum\limits_{i=1}^{6}$	25.000		-2.8778	-18.990	165.00

$n = 6$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{6} t_i = 25.000$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{6} y_i = -2.8778$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{6} t_i y_i = -18.990$$

Attributed to: University of South Florida: Holistic Numerical Methods Institute

Saylor.org

$$\sum_{i=1}^{6} t_i^2 = 165.00$$

From Equation (25a,b) we have

$$a_1 = \frac{6(-18.990) - (25)(-2.8778)}{6(165.00) - (25)^2}$$

$$= -0.11505$$

$$a_0 = \frac{-2.8778}{6} - (-0.11505)\frac{25}{6}$$

$$= -2.6150 \times 10^{-4}$$

Since

$$a_0 = \ln(A)$$

$$A = e^{a_0}$$

$$= e^{-2.6150 \times 10^{-4}}$$

$$= 0.99974$$

$$\lambda = a_1 = -0.11505$$

The regression formula then is

$$\gamma = 0.99974 \times e^{-0.11505t}$$

Compare the formula to the one obtained without data linearization,

$$\gamma = 0.99983 \times e^{-0.11508t}$$

b) Half-life is when

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{2}\gamma\bigg|_{t=0}$$

Saylor.org

$$0.99974 \times e^{-0.11505t} = \frac{1}{2}(0.99974)e^{-0.11505(0)}$$

$$e^{-0.11508t} = 0.5$$

$$-0.11505t = \ln(0.5)$$

$$t = 6.0248 \text{ hours}$$

c) The relative intensity of radiation, after 24 hours is

$$\gamma = 0.99974e^{-0.11505(24)}$$

$$= 0.063200$$

This implies that only $\dfrac{6.3200 \times 10^{-2}}{0.99974} \times 100 = 6.3216\%$ of the initial radioactivity is left after 24 hours.

Logarithmic Functions
The form for the log regression models is

$$y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \ln(x) \tag{26}$$

This is a linear function between y and $\ln(x)$ and the usual least squares method applies in which y is the response variable and $\ln(x)$ is the regressor.

Attributed to: University of South Florida: Holistic Numerical Methods Institute

Saylor.org

Figure 4 Exponential regression model with transformed data for relative intensity of radiation as a function of temperature.

Example 5

Sodium borohydride is a potential fuel for fuel cell. The following overpotential (η) vs. current(i) data was obtained in a study conducted to evaluate its electrochemical kinetics.

Table 7 Electrochemical Kinetics of borohydride data.

$\eta\,(V)$	-0.29563	-0.24346	-0.19012	-0.18772	-0.13407	-0.0861
$i\,(A)$	0.00226	0.00212	0.00206	0.00202	0.00199	0.00195

Saylor.org

At the conditions of the study, it is known that the relationship that exists between the overpotential (η) and current (i) can be expressed as

$$\eta = a + b \ln i \qquad (27)$$

where a is an electrochemical kinetics parameter of borohydride on the electrode. Use the data in Table 7 to evaluate the values of a and b.

Solution

Following the least squares method, Table 8 is tabulated where

$$x = \ln i$$

$$y = \eta$$

We obtain

$$y = a + bx \qquad (28)$$

This is a linear relationship between y and x, and the coefficients b and a are found as follow

$$b = \frac{n\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} x_i y_i - \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} x_i \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} y_i}{n\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} x_1^2 - \left(\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} x_i\right)^2}$$

$$a = \bar{y} - b\bar{x} \qquad (29a,b)$$

Table 8 Summation values for calculating constants of model

#	i	$y = \eta$	$x = \ln(i)$	x^2	$x \times y$
1	0.00226	-0.29563	-6.0924	37.117	1.8011
2	0.00212	-0.24346	-6.1563	37.901	1.4988

3	0.00206	-0.19012	-6.1850	38.255	1.1759
4	0.00202	-0.18772	-6.2047	38.498	1.1647
5	0.00199	-0.13407	-6.2196	38.684	0.83386
6	0.00195	-0.08610	-6.2399	38.937	0.53726
$\sum\limits_{i=1}^{6}$	0.012400	-1.1371	-37.098	229.39	7.0117

$n = 6$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{6} x_i = -37.098$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{6} y_i = -1.1371$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{6} x_i y_i = 7.0117$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{6} x_i^2 = 229.39$$

$$b = \frac{6(7.0117) - (-37.098)(-1.1371)}{6(229.39) - (-37.098)^2}$$
$$= -1.3601$$

$$a = \frac{-1.1371}{6} - (-1.3601)\frac{-37.098}{6}$$
$$= -8.5990$$

Hence

$$\eta = -8.5990 - 1.3601 \times \ln i$$

Attributed to: University of South Florida: Holistic Numerical Methods Institute

Saylor.org

Figure 5 Overpotential as a function of current. $\eta(V)$

Power Functions
The power function equation describes many scientific and engineering phenomena. In chemical engineering, the rate of chemical reaction is often written in power function form as

$$y = ax^b \qquad\qquad (30)$$

The method of least squares is applied to the power function by first linearizing the data (the assumption is that b is not known). If the only unknown is a, then a linear relation exists between x^b and y. The linearization of the data is as follows.

$$\ln(y) = \ln(a) + b\ln(x) \tag{31}$$

The resulting equation shows a linear relation between $\ln(y)$ and $\ln(x)$.

Let

$$z = \ln y$$
$$w = \ln(x)$$

$$a_0 = \ln a \text{ implying } a = e^{a_0}$$

$$a_1 = b$$

we get

$$z = a_0 + a_1 w \tag{32}$$

$$a_1 = \frac{n\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i z_i - \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i \sum_{i=1}^{n} z_i}{n\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i^2 - \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i\right)^2} \tag{33a,b}$$

$$a_0 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} z_i}{n} - a_1 \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i}{n}$$

Since a_0 and a_1 can be found, the original constants of the model are

$$b = a_1$$
$$a = e^{a_0} \tag{34a,b}$$

Example 6

The progress of a homogeneous chemical reaction is followed and it is desired to evaluate the rate constant and the order of the reaction. The rate law expression for the reaction is known to follow the power function form

$$-r = kC^n \tag{35}$$

Use the data provided in the table to obtain n and k.

Saylor.org

Table 9 Chemical kinetics.

C_A (gmol/l)	4	2.25	1.45	1.0	0.65	0.25	0.006
$-r_A$ (gmol/l·s)	0.398	0.298	0.238	0.198	0.158	0.098	0.048

Solution

Taking the natural log of both sides of Equation (35), we obtain

$$\ln(-r) = \ln(k) + n \ln(C)$$

Let

$$z = \ln(-r)$$

$$w = \ln(C)$$

$$a_0 = \ln(k) \text{ implying that } k = e^{a_0} \tag{36}$$

$$a_1 = n \tag{37}$$

We get

$$z = a_0 + a_1 w$$

This is a linear relation between z and w, where

$$a_1 = \frac{n\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i z_i - \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i \sum_{i=1}^{n} z_i}{n\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i^2 - \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i\right)^2}$$

Saylor.org

$$a_0 = \left(\frac{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} z_i}{n} \right) - a_1 \left(\frac{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} w_i}{n} \right)$$

(38a,b)

Table 10 Kinetics rate law using power function

i	C	$-r$	w	z	$w \times z$	w^2
1	4	0.398	1.3863	-0.92130	-1.2772	1.9218
2	2.25	0.298	0.8109	-1.2107	-0.9818	0.65761
3	1.45	0.238	0.3716	-1.4355	-0.5334	0.13806
4	1	0.198	0.0000	-1.6195	0.0000	0.00000
5	0.65	0.158	-0.4308	-1.8452	0.7949	0.18557
6	0.25	0.098	-1.3863	-2.3228	3.2201	1.9218
7	0.006	0.048	-5.1160	-3.0366	15.535	26.173
$\sum\limits_{i=1}^{7}$			-4.3643	-12.391	16.758	30.998

$n = 7$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{7} w_i = -4.3643$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{7} z_i = -12.391$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{7} w_i z_i = 16.758$$

Attributed to: University of South Florida: Holistic Numerical Methods Institute

$$\sum_{i=1}^{7} w_i^2 = 30.998$$

From Equation (38a,b)

$$a_1 = \frac{7 \times (16.758) - (-4.3643) \times (-12.391)}{7 \times (30.998) - (-4.3643)^2}$$

$$= 0.31943$$

$$a_0 = \frac{-12.391}{7} - (.31943)\frac{-4.3643}{7}$$

$$= -1.5711$$

From Equation (36) and (37), we obtain

$$k = e^{-1.5711}$$

$$= 0.20782$$

$$n = a_1$$

$$= 0.31941$$

Finally, the model of progress of that chemical reaction is

$$-r = 0.20782 \times C^{0.31941}$$

Attributed to: University of South Florida: Holistic Numerical Methods Institute

Saylor.org

Figure 6 Kinetic chemical reaction rate as a function of concentration.

Growth Model
Growth models common in scientific fields have been developed and used successfully for specific situations. The growth models are used to describe how something grows with changes in a regressor variable (often the time). Examples in this category include growth of thin films or population with time. In the logistic growth model, an example of a growth model in which a measurable quantity y varies with some quantity x is

$$y = \frac{ax}{b+x} \tag{39}$$

For $x = 0$, $y = 0$ while as $x \rightarrow \infty$, $y \rightarrow a$. To linearize the data for this method,

Saylor.org

$$\frac{1}{y} = \frac{b+x}{ax}$$

$$= \frac{b}{a}\frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{a} \tag{40}$$

Let

$$z = \frac{1}{y}$$

$$w = \frac{1}{x},$$

$$a_0 = \frac{1}{a} \text{ implying that } a = \frac{1}{a_0}$$

$$a_1 = \frac{b}{a} \text{ implying } b = a_1 \times a = \frac{a_1}{a_0}$$

Then

$$z = a_0 + a_1 w \tag{41}$$

The relationship between z and w is linear with the coefficients a_0 and found as follows.

$$a_1 = \frac{n\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} w_i z_i - \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} w_i \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} z_i}{n\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} w_i^2 - \left(\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} w_i\right)^2}$$

$$a_0 = \left(\frac{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} z_i}{n}\right) - a_1\left(\frac{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} w_i}{n}\right) \tag{42a,b}$$

Finding a_0 and a_1, then gives the constants of the original growth model as

Saylor.org

$$a = \frac{1}{a_0}$$

$$b = \frac{a_1}{a_0} \tag{43a,b}$$

Attributed to: University of South Florida: Holistic Numerical Methods Institute

Saylor.org