

Problem 1: Newton Raphson

Problem Statement

a

Using Newton-Raphson, solve the following system of equations in \mathbb{R}^2 :

$$f_1(x) := 4x_2^2 + 4x_2 + 52x_1 - 19 = 0$$

$$f_2(x) := 169x_1^2 + 3x_2^2 + 111x_1 - 10x_2 - 10 = 0$$

starting from $x^0 = (5, 0)$.

Report x^1 and x^2 . Terminate your iteration when the 2-norm of f is less than 10^{-10} . Report if it does not converge in 100 iterations. If it converges, report x^* and the iteration count.

Plot the error magnitudes $\|x^k - x^*\|$ as a function of k on a semilog plot.

b

Repeat (a), but with forward-difference approximation, using $h = 0.5$.

b

Repeat (a), but with center-difference approximation, using $h = 0.5$.

d

Repeat (a), but using Jacobian surrogates defined by Broyden's method. Clearly state how you start the sequence of Jacobian surrogates and how you choose x^1 .

e

Vary the starting point appropriately and discuss qualitatively how the iterative process in parts a-d behave. If you have to choose between methods b-d, which one would you choose? Will this change if evaluation of f is much more computationally expensive?

Solution

Problem 2: Understanding Numerical Differentiation

Problem Statement

We have empirically seen in class that the approximation quality of center difference approximation is far superior to that of forward and backward difference ones, when performing numerical differentiation. In this problem, we gain analytical insight into this behavior.

Suppose $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is at least C^3 over $[a, b]$. The following Taylor's series expansion of f might be useful:

$$f(x+h) = f(x) + hf'(x) + \frac{h^2}{2}f''(x) + \frac{h^3}{6}f'''(\zeta)$$

$\forall x, x+h \in (a, b)$ where ζ lies on the line segment joining x and $x+h$.

a

Show that

$$\left| \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h} - f'(x) \right| \leq M|h| \forall x \in (a, b), M > 0$$

b

Show that

$$\left| \frac{f(x+h) - f(x-h)}{2h} - f'(x) \right| \leq N|h|^2 \forall x \in (a, b), N > 0$$

c

Qualitatively discuss what the results in (a) and (b) mean.

Solution

a

Re-arrange the provided Taylor series expansion and take the absolute value of both sides:

$$\left| \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h} - f'(x) \right| = \left| h \left(\frac{1}{2}f''(x) + \frac{1}{6}hf'''(\zeta) \right) \right| = |h| \left| \frac{1}{2}f''(x) + \frac{1}{6}hf'''(\zeta) \right|$$

Now we just need to bound the rightmost absolute value. From the class notes ("Solving nonlinear equations"), note that because ζ lies on the line segment connecting a and b , $\zeta \in [a, b]$. Because the function is C^3 over $[a, b]$, there exists a scalar M_1 and M_2 that bounds f'' and f''' (repspectively) from above. So:

$$\left| \frac{1}{2}f''(x) + \frac{1}{6}hf'''(\zeta) \right| \leq \left| \frac{1}{2}M_1 + \frac{1}{6}hM_2 \right|$$

Finally, because h is some fixed value, the whole quantity is bounded, and we can say:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{2}M_1 + \frac{1}{6}hM_2 \right| &\leq M \\ \therefore \left| \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h} - f'(x) \right| &\leq M|h| \end{aligned}$$

□

b

We can modify the expansion to consider a negative h , or equivalently the subtraction of a positive h :

$$f(x - h) = f(x) - hf'(x) + \frac{h^2}{2}f''(x) - \frac{h^3}{6}f'''(\zeta)$$

Then, subtract the two series to find:

$$\begin{aligned} f(x + h) - f(x - h) &= f(x) + hf'(x) + \frac{h^2}{2}f''(x) + \frac{h^3}{6}f'''(\zeta) - f(x) + hf'(x) - \frac{h^2}{2}f''(x) + \frac{h^3}{6}f'''(\zeta) \\ &= 2hf'(x) + \frac{2h^3}{6}f'''(\zeta) \end{aligned}$$

Rearranging:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f(x + h) - f(x - h)}{2h} - f'(x) &= \frac{h^2}{6}f'''(\zeta) \\ \left| \frac{f(x + h) - f(x - h)}{2h} - f'(x) \right| &= \left| \frac{h^2}{6}f'''(\zeta) \right| = |h^2| \left| \frac{1}{6}f'''(\zeta) \right| \end{aligned}$$

By the same logic as in (2.a), we know that $f'''(\zeta)$ is upper bounded, so we can say:

$$\frac{1}{6}f'''(\zeta) \leq N$$

Thus:

$$\left| \frac{f(x + h) - f(x - h)}{2h} - f'(x) \right| \leq |h^2| N$$

□

c

As the proofs show, there's a cancellation effect that occurs for some of the more significant error terms, resulting in the far better approximation (at least for "small" h).

To riff on the statistical investigation demonstrated in class, I think its useful to consider this as a sort of statistical filter¹; i.e., some "signal" (the error of the approximation) is oversampled at a $2x$ rate and then is passed through an averaging filter. Assuming that:

1. The samples are uncorrelated
2. The distribution of each sample is *normal*

Then, letting X_i denote the distribution of the samples (where $i = 1, 2$), and \bar{X} denote the average (i.e. the output of the filter), we can say:

$$\text{Var}(\bar{X}) = \text{Var}\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N X_i\right) = \text{Var}\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N X_i\right) = \frac{1}{N^2} \text{Var}\left(\sum_{i=1}^N X_i\right) = \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{i=1}^N \text{Var}(X_i)$$

¹Note: I'm not exactly a wizard at stats, take this with a grain of salt...