

AutoML: Beyond AutoML

Capping of Runtimes

Bernd Bischl Frank Hutter Lars Kotthoff
Marius Lindauer Joaquin Vanschoren

- Assumptions
 - ▶ optimization of runtime
 - ▶ each configuration run has a time limit (e.g., 300 sec)

- Assumptions
 - ▶ optimization of runtime
 - ▶ each configuration run has a time limit (e.g., 300 sec)
- E.g., $\hat{\lambda}$ needed 1 sec to solve i_1
 - ▶ Do we need to run λ' for 300 sec?
 - ▶ Terminate evaluation of λ' once guaranteed to be worse than $\hat{\lambda}$

- Assumptions

- ▶ optimization of runtime
- ▶ each configuration run has a time limit (e.g., 300 sec)

- E.g., $\hat{\lambda}$ needed 1 sec to solve i_1

- ▶ Do we need to run λ' for 300 sec?
- ▶ Terminate evaluation of λ' once guaranteed to be worse than $\hat{\lambda}$

↪ To compare against $\hat{\lambda}$ based on N runs,
we can terminate evaluation of λ' after time $\sum_{k=1}^N c(\hat{\lambda}, i_k)$

Toy-Example: Adaptive capping

runtime cutoff $\kappa = 300$, comparison based on 2 instances

	i_1	i_2
$\hat{\lambda}$	4	2

Toy-Example: Adaptive capping

runtime cutoff $\kappa = 300$, comparison based on 2 instances

	i_1	i_2
$\hat{\lambda}$	4	2
<i>Without adaptive capping</i>		
λ'		

Toy-Example: Adaptive capping

runtime cutoff $\kappa = 300$, comparison based on 2 instances

	i_1	i_2
$\hat{\lambda}$	4	2
<i>Without adaptive capping</i>		
λ'	3	

Toy-Example: Adaptive capping

runtime cutoff $\kappa = 300$, comparison based on 2 instances

	i_1	i_2
$\hat{\lambda}$	4	2
<i>Without adaptive capping</i>		
λ'	3	300

Toy-Example: Adaptive capping

runtime cutoff $\kappa = 300$, comparison based on 2 instances

	i_1	i_2
$\hat{\lambda}$	4	2
<i>Without adaptive capping</i>		
λ'	3	300
\rightarrow reject λ' (cost: 303)		

Toy-Example: Adaptive capping

runtime cutoff $\kappa = 300$, comparison based on 2 instances

	i_1	i_2
$\hat{\lambda}$	4	2
<i>Without adaptive capping</i>		
λ'	3	300
\rightarrow reject λ' (cost: 303)		
<i>With adaptive capping</i>		
λ'		

Toy-Example: Adaptive capping

runtime cutoff $\kappa = 300$, comparison based on 2 instances

	i_1	i_2
$\hat{\lambda}$	4	2
<i>Without adaptive capping</i>		
λ'	3	300
\rightarrow reject λ' (cost: 303)		
<i>With adaptive capping</i>		
λ'	3	

Toy-Example: Adaptive capping

runtime cutoff $\kappa = 300$, comparison based on 2 instances

	i_1	i_2
$\hat{\lambda}$	4	2
<i>Without adaptive capping</i>		
λ'	3	300
\rightarrow reject λ' (cost: 303)		
<i>With adaptive capping</i>		
λ'	3	300

Toy-Example: Adaptive capping

runtime cutoff $\kappa = 300$, comparison based on 2 instances

	i_1	i_2
$\hat{\lambda}$	4	2
<i>Without adaptive capping</i>		
λ'	3	300
\rightarrow reject λ' (cost: 303)		
<i>With adaptive capping</i>		
λ'	3	300
\rightarrow cut off after $\kappa = 4$ seconds, reject λ' (cost: 7)		

Toy-Example: Adaptive capping

runtime cutoff $\kappa = 300$, comparison based on 2 instances

	i_1	i_2
$\hat{\lambda}$	4	2
<i>Without adaptive capping</i>		
λ'	3	300
\rightarrow reject λ' (cost: 303)		
<i>With adaptive capping</i>		
λ'	3	300
\rightarrow cut off after $\kappa = 4$ seconds, reject λ' (cost: 7)		

Note: To combine adaptive capping with BO, we need to impute the censored observations caused by adaptive capping. [Hutter et al. 2011]

Overview of Racing and Adaptive Capping

Input : candidate configurations Λ_{new} , cutoff κ_{max} , previously evaluated runs \mathcal{D}_{Hist} , budget T , incumbent $\hat{\lambda}$

while Λ_{new} not empty **do**

- $\lambda^{(t)} \leftarrow \text{getNext}(\Lambda_{new});$
- [... add new run for incumbent ...];
- while** true **do**
 - $\mathcal{I}^+, s^+ \leftarrow \text{getAlreadyEvaluatedOn}(\hat{\lambda}, \mathcal{D}_{Hist});$
 - $\mathcal{I}^{(t)}, s^{(t)} \leftarrow \text{getAlreadyEvaluatedOn}(\lambda^{(t)}, \mathcal{D}_{Hist});$
 - $i^{(t)}, s^{(t)} \leftarrow$ drawn uniformly at random from $\mathcal{I}^+ \setminus \mathcal{I}^{(t)}$ and $s^+ \setminus s^{(t)};$
 - $\kappa^{(i)} \leftarrow \text{AdaptCutoff}(\kappa_{max}, \langle (\lambda^{(j)}, c^{(j)}) \rangle_{\lambda^{(j)} = \lambda^+}) \cdot \xi;$
 - $c_i \leftarrow \text{EvaluateRun}(\lambda^{(t)}, i^{(t)}, s^{(i)}, \kappa^{(i)});$
 - $\mathcal{D}_{Hist} \leftarrow \mathcal{D}_{Hist} \cup (\lambda^{(t)}, i^{(t)}, s^{(t)}, c^{(t)});$
 - if** average cost of $\lambda^{(t)} >$ average cost of $\hat{\lambda}$ across $\mathcal{I}^{(t)}$ and $s^{(t)}$ **then**
 - \perp break;
 - else if** average cost of $\lambda^{(t)} <$ average cost of $\hat{\lambda}$ and $\mathcal{I}^+ = \mathcal{I}^{(t)}$ and $s^{(t)} = s^+$ **then**
 - $\perp \hat{\lambda} \leftarrow \lambda^{(t)};$
- if** time spent exceeds T or Λ_{new} is empty **then**
 - \perp return $\hat{\lambda}, \mathcal{D}_{Hist}$
