

# AutoML: Beyond AutoML

## Structured Procastination

Bernd Bischl   Frank Hutter   Lars Kotthoff  
Marius Lindauer   Joaquin Vanschoren

# Structured Procrastination [Kleinberg et al. 2017]

## Idea

- incumbent driven methods (such as aggressive racing with adaptive capping) provide no theoretical guarantees about runtime

# Structured Procrastination [Kleinberg et al. 2017]

## Idea

- incumbent driven methods (such as aggressive racing with adaptive capping) provide no theoretical guarantees about runtime
- task: for a fix set of configuration, identify the one with the best average runtime
- instead of top-down capping, use bottom up capping

# Structured Procrastination [Kleinberg et al. 2017]

## Idea

- incumbent driven methods (such as aggressive racing with adaptive capping) provide no theoretical guarantees about runtime
- task: for a fix set of configuration, identify the one with the best average runtime
- instead of top-down capping, use bottom up capping
- start with a minimal cap-time and increase it step by step

# Structured Procrastination [Kleinberg et al. 2017]

## Idea

- incumbent driven methods (such as aggressive racing with adaptive capping) provide no theoretical guarantees about runtime
  - task: for a fix set of configuration, identify the one with the best average runtime
  - instead of top-down capping, use bottom up capping
  - start with a minimal cap-time and increase it step by step
  - unsuccessful runs (with too small cap-time) are procrastinated to later
- ~> worst-case runtime guarantees

# Structured Procrastination: Outline [Kleinberg et al. 2017]

---

## Algorithm 1 Structured Procrastination

---

**Input** : finite (small) set of configurations  $\Lambda$ , minimal cap-time  $\kappa_0$ , sequence of instances  $i^{(1)}, \dots, i^{(N)}$

**Output** : best incumbent configuration  $\hat{\lambda}$

for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  initialize a queue  $Q_\lambda$  with entries  $(i^{(k)}, \kappa_0)$ ;

// small queue in the beginning

initialize a look-up table  $R(\lambda, i) = 0$ ;

// optimistic runtime estimate

# Structured Procrastination: Outline [Kleinberg et al. 2017]

---

## Algorithm 2 Structured Procrastination

---

**Input** : finite (small) set of configurations  $\Lambda$ , minimal cap-time  $\kappa_0$ , sequence of instances  $i^{(1)}, \dots, i^{(N)}$

**Output** : best incumbent configuration  $\hat{\lambda}$

for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  initialize a queue  $Q_\lambda$  with entries  $(i^{(k)}, \kappa_0)$ ;

// small queue in the beginning

initialize a look-up table  $R(\lambda, i) = 0$ ;

// optimistic runtime estimate

**while** *b remains* **do**

|

# Structured Procrastination: Outline [Kleinberg et al. 2017]

---

## Algorithm 3 Structured Procrastination

---

**Input** : finite (small) set of configurations  $\Lambda$ , minimal cap-time  $\kappa_0$ , sequence of instances  $i^{(1)}, \dots, i^{(N)}$

**Output** : best incumbent configuration  $\hat{\lambda}$

for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  initialize a queue  $Q_\lambda$  with entries  $(i^{(k)}, \kappa_0)$ ;

// small queue in the beginning

initialize a look-up table  $R(\lambda, i) = 0$ ;

// optimistic runtime estimate

**while** *b remains* **do**

    determine the best  $\hat{\lambda}$  according to  $R(\lambda, \cdot)$ ;



# Structured Procrastination: Outline [Kleinberg et al. 2017]

---

## Algorithm 4 Structured Procrastination

---

**Input** : finite (small) set of configurations  $\Lambda$ , minimal cap-time  $\kappa_0$ , sequence of instances  $i^{(1)}, \dots, i^{(N)}$

**Output** : best incumbent configuration  $\hat{\lambda}$

for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  initialize a queue  $Q_\lambda$  with entries  $(i^{(k)}, \kappa_0)$ ;

// small queue in the beginning

initialize a look-up table  $R(\lambda, i) = 0$ ;

// optimistic runtime estimate

**while** *b remains* **do**

    determine the best  $\hat{\lambda}$  according to  $R(\lambda, \cdot)$ ;

    get first element  $(i^{(k)}, \kappa)$  from  $Q_{\hat{\lambda}}$ ;

# Structured Procrastination: Outline [Kleinberg et al. 2017]

---

## Algorithm 5 Structured Procrastination

---

**Input** : finite (small) set of configurations  $\Lambda$ , minimal cap-time  $\kappa_0$ , sequence of instances  $i^{(1)}, \dots, i^{(N)}$

**Output** : best incumbent configuration  $\hat{\lambda}$

for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  initialize a queue  $Q_\lambda$  with entries  $(i^{(k)}, \kappa_0)$ ;

// small queue in the beginning

initialize a look-up table  $R(\lambda, i) = 0$ ;

// optimistic runtime estimate

**while** *b remains* **do**

    determine the best  $\hat{\lambda}$  according to  $R(\lambda, \cdot)$ ;

    get first element  $(i^{(k)}, \kappa)$  from  $Q_{\hat{\lambda}}$ ;

    Run  $\hat{\lambda}$  on  $i^{(k)}$  capped at  $\kappa$ ;

**if** *terminates* **then**

$R(\hat{\lambda}, i^{(k)}) := t$ ;

# Structured Procrastination: Outline [Kleinberg et al. 2017]

---

## Algorithm 6 Structured Procrastination

---

**Input** : finite (small) set of configurations  $\Lambda$ , minimal cap-time  $\kappa_0$ , sequence of instances  $i^{(1)}, \dots, i^{(N)}$

**Output** : best incumbent configuration  $\hat{\lambda}$

for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  initialize a queue  $Q_\lambda$  with entries  $(i^{(k)}, \kappa_0)$ ;

// small queue in the beginning

initialize a look-up table  $R(\lambda, i) = 0$ ;

// optimistic runtime estimate

**while** *b remains* **do**

    determine the best  $\hat{\lambda}$  according to  $R(\lambda, \cdot)$ ;

    get first element  $(i^{(k)}, \kappa)$  from  $Q_{\hat{\lambda}}$ ;

    Run  $\hat{\lambda}$  on  $i^{(k)}$  capped at  $\kappa$ ;

**if** *terminates* **then**

$R(\hat{\lambda}, i^{(k)}) := t$ ;

**else**

$R(\hat{\lambda}, i^{(k)}) := \kappa$ ;

        Insert  $(i^{(k)}, 2 \cdot \kappa)$  at the end of  $Q_{\hat{\lambda}}$ ;

# Structured Procrastination: Outline [Kleinberg et al. 2017]

---

## Algorithm 7 Structured Procrastination

---

**Input** : finite (small) set of configurations  $\Lambda$ , minimal cap-time  $\kappa_0$ , sequence of instances  $i^{(1)}, \dots, i^{(N)}$

**Output** : best incumbent configuration  $\hat{\lambda}$

for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  initialize a queue  $Q_\lambda$  with entries  $(i^{(k)}, \kappa_0)$ ;

// small queue in the beginning

initialize a look-up table  $R(\lambda, i) = 0$ ;

// optimistic runtime estimate

**while**  $b$  remains **do**

    determine the best  $\hat{\lambda}$  according to  $R(\lambda, \cdot)$ ;

    get first element  $(i^{(k)}, \kappa)$  from  $Q_{\hat{\lambda}}$ ;

    Run  $\hat{\lambda}$  on  $i^{(k)}$  capped at  $\kappa$ ;

**if** *terminates* **then**

$R(\hat{\lambda}, i^{(k)}) := t$ ;

**else**

$R(\hat{\lambda}, i^{(k)}) := \kappa$ ;

        Insert  $(i^{(k)}, 2 \cdot \kappa)$  at the end of  $Q_{\hat{\lambda}}$ ;

    Replenish queue  $Q_{\hat{\lambda}}$  if too small;

# Structured Procrastination: Outline [Kleinberg et al. 2017]

---

## Algorithm 8 Structured Procrastination

---

**Input** : finite (small) set of configurations  $\Lambda$ , minimal cap-time  $\kappa_0$ , sequence of instances  $i^{(1)}, \dots, i^{(N)}$

**Output** : best incumbent configuration  $\hat{\lambda}$

for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  initialize a queue  $Q_\lambda$  with entries  $(i^{(k)}, \kappa_0)$ ;

// small queue in the beginning

initialize a look-up table  $R(\lambda, i) = 0$ ;

// optimistic runtime estimate

**while**  $b$  remains **do**

    determine the best  $\hat{\lambda}$  according to  $R(\lambda, \cdot)$ ;

    get first element  $(i^{(k)}, \kappa)$  from  $Q_{\hat{\lambda}}$ ;

    Run  $\hat{\lambda}$  on  $i^{(k)}$  capped at  $\kappa$ ;

**if** terminates **then**

$R(\hat{\lambda}, i^{(k)}) := t$ ;

**else**

$R(\hat{\lambda}, i^{(k)}) := \kappa$ ;

        Insert  $(i^{(k)}, 2 \cdot \kappa)$  at the end of  $Q_{\hat{\lambda}}$ ;

    Replenish queue  $Q_{\hat{\lambda}}$  if too small;

**return**  $\hat{\lambda} := \arg \min_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \sum_{k=1}^N R(\lambda, i^{(k)})$

---

- We can derive theoretical optimality guarantees with structured procrastination (SP)

- We can derive theoretical optimality guarantees with structured procrastination (SP)
- In practice, plain SP is rather slow and requires the setting of some hyperparameters

- We can derive theoretical optimality guarantees with structured procrastination (SP)
- In practice, plain SP is rather slow and requires the setting of some hyperparameters
- Several extensions and similar ideas:
  - ▶ [Kleinberg et al. 2019]
  - ▶ [Weisz et al. 2018]
  - ▶ [Weisz et al. 2019]