

# Group 50 Progress Report: Neural Network-Based Wildfire Risk Classification

Eric Solak, Tyler Yue, Ahmed Sahi  
{solake, yuet5, sahia8}@mcmaster.ca

## 1 Introduction

Wildfires are a growing threat to infrastructure, ecosystems, and human safety. In addition to the immediate danger of fire, wildfire smoke can travel thousands of kilometers, degrading air quality and affecting millions of people [NASA \(2025b\)](#). Predicting the likelihood of wildfires in advance can help authorities, first responders, and communities with preparation, resource allocation, and reduce potential damage.

This project aims to classify regions into low, medium, and high wildfire risk using a machine learning model trained on environmental and meteorological data. The resulting risk predictions can support proactive decision making and reduce the overall impact of wildfires on both people and the environment.

## 2 Related Work

Predicting wildfires is a sought after problem in both environmental science and machine learning. Existing approaches can be categorized into deterministic models, statistical models, and machine learning models.

Deterministic models, such as the Canadian Forest Fire Danger Rating System (CFFDRS) rely on empirical formulae derived from field experiments to estimate wildfire risk based on meteorological factors [Government of Canada \(2025\)](#). These models are generally used in operational settings but are limited in their ability to capture non-linear interactions between variables.

Statistical models include regression-based and Bayesian approaches that predict wildfire likelihood using historical fire and weather data [Cisneros et al. \(2024\)](#); [Joseph et al. \(2019\)](#). These methods are effective in identifying trends but they are limited in their ability to capture rare or extreme weather conditions, which is critical for high-risk classification.

Machine learning approaches have used tree-ensemble methods such as XGBoost to classify wildfire risk based on tabular environmental data [Hu et al. \(2025\)](#). More recently however, neural networks have been trained on both satellite imagery and meteorological data to predict wildfire spread [Shadrin et al. \(2024\)](#). These models can learn complex, non-linear relationships but require preprocessing and normalization of inputs.

## 3 Dataset

For this project, we will utilize a Wildfire Prediction Dataset found on Kaggle [Vijayaragul \(2025\)](#). This dataset contains 118858 entries and 17 attributes, with no missing values. Further, all values are numerical (float64), simplifying any data manipulation by avoiding issues revolving around categorical data.

### 3.1 Data Sources

There are two main sources used by this dataset: NASA's FIRMS VIIRS SCC system for fire radiative power (FRP) data, and Open-Meteo's meteorological data [NASA \(2025a\)](#); [Open-Meteo \(2025\)](#). As these sources are open and publicly available, the data used is compliant with all terms of service.

### 3.2 Features

The dataset contains the following features:

- **daynight\_N**: Indicator for whether the observation was taken during the day or night.
- **lat, lon**: Latitude and longitude coordinates of the location where the observation was recorded.
- **fire\_weather\_index**: An index representing the overall fire risk based on meteorological conditions.
- **pressure\_mean**: Mean atmospheric pressure at the location.

- **wind\_direction\_mean, wind\_direction\_std:** Mean and standard deviation of wind direction.
- **solar\_radiation\_mean:** Average solar radiation received.
- **dewpoint\_mean:** Average dew point temperature.
- **cloud\_cover\_mean:** Average cloud cover percentage.
- **evapotranspiration\_total:** Total evapotranspiration over the observation period.
- **humidity\_min:** Minimum humidity recorded.
- **temp\_mean, temp\_range:** Mean temperature and temperature range.
- **wind\_speed\_max:** Maximum wind speed observed.
- **occurred:** Binary flag indicating whether a fire occurred (1) or not (0).
- **frp:** Fire Radiative Power, measuring the intensity of a fire if it occurred.

### 3.3 Preprocessing

The dataset requires minimal preprocessing due to its numerical format. The preprocessing steps used are:

- Clipping negative values: Negative *fire\_weather\_index* values are set to 0, as negative FWI is meaningless (i.e., negative risk is nonsensical).
- Feature normalization: All numeric features are standardized to facilitate stable training of the neural network. Each feature was scaled to have a mean of 0 and a standard deviation of 1, ensuring that features with larger ranges do not dominate the training process.

### 3.4 Data Split

A standard training/validation/testing split will be used, 70% for training, 15% for validation, 15% for testing.

## 4 Features

All 17 numerical features are used as input to the neural network. These features, described in Section 3.2, include positional, meteorological and environmental data. Positional features (*lat, lon*) provide the geographical context, important to the input as wildfire risk is often region-specific due to climate and vegetation. Meteorological features, such as *temp\_mean, temp\_range, humidity\_min, pressure\_mean, cloud\_cover\_mean, dewpoint\_mean, solar\_radiation\_mean*, etc, describe the atmospheric conditions that directly influence fire ignition and propagation. Environmental features, including *evapotranspiration\_total, fire\_weather\_index*, etc, describe the conditions that influence fire behaviour and ignition potential.

The model’s target variable is the wildfire risk category, derived from the *fire\_weather\_index* and *occurred* variables in the dataset, classified into low, medium, or high risk. By combining multiple features that capture positional, meteorological, and environmental conditions, the neural network can learn complex, non-linear relationships that contribute to wildfire risk.

## 5 Implementation

Describe your model and implementation here. Refer to item 4. This may take around a page.

## 6 Results and Evaluation

Our model performs a three-way data split into training, test, and validation datasets. We used a stratified split to maintain the distribution of our target variable *risk\_level* between the sets. The purpose of the splits are as follows:

1. **Training set:** used to fit the model parameters and to update the weights during backpropagation.
2. **Validation set:** used to determine performance during training and used for our early stop gradient descent and dynamic learning rate scheduling.
3. **Test set:** used to evaluate the model performance on unseen data and provide an accurate measurement of our model’s ability to generalize and predict on new data.

Our evaluation metrics are based on the classification performance. We computed the precision,

recall, and F1-score for each risk class, together with the overall accuracy and a confusion matrix. Accuracy serves as the primary evaluation metric, while the F1-score provides a more balanced measure of performance across all risk categories.

The neural-network classifier (three hidden layers with ReLU activations and Dropout) was trained on GPU for approximately 120 epochs with early stopping. The model achieved a validation accuracy of about 78 before convergence and a test accuracy of 78.5. The F1 scores show relatively good performance:

- **Low-risk (class 0):** F1 = 0.80
- **Medium-risk (class 1):** F1 = 0.74
- **High-risk (class 2):** F1 = 0.80

The confusion matrix shows that most misclassifications are between medium and high-risk wildfires. Precision and recall remain above 0.75 for all classes.

## 7 Feedback and Plans

During the project, our TA provided guidance on narrowing the scope of our wildfire risk classification task and ensuring that our model design aligns with the project requirements. In particular, we were advised to focus on using the numerical environmental and meteorological data effectively, rather than attempting to include complex satellite imagery or external data sources, which could overcomplicate the project given the time constraints. This advice helped us narrow the project scope and prioritize the features most relevant to predicting wildfire risk. The TA also emphasized the importance of properly preprocessing features, specifically the negative and extreme values in *fire\_weather\_index* to ensure stable neural network training.

Based on this feedback and reflections on the project's progress so far, our plan for the remaining weeks is to finalize the preprocessing pipeline to ensure all unrealistic values are handled appropriately (extreme *fire\_weather\_index* values). We also plan on refining the presentation of the results and conduct exploratory analysis. This includes generating detailed visualizations of feature importance using partial dependence plots to explain the model's predictions for specific regions. Including these graphs in the final report will help communicate both the strengths and limitations of the model.

## 7.1 References

Many websites where you can find academic papers also allow you to export a bib file for citation or bib formatted entry. Copy this into the `custom.bib` and you will be able to cite the paper in the `LATEX`. You can remove the example entries.

## 7.2 Equations

An example equation is shown below:

$$A = \pi r^2 \quad (1)$$

Labels for equation numbers, sections, subsections, figures and tables are all defined with the `\label{label}` command and cross references to them are made with the `\ref{label}` command. This an example cross-reference to Equation 1. You can also write equations inline, like this:  $A = \pi r^2$ .

## Team Contributions

- **Eric Solak:** Created Team Contract. Authored and completed Project Proposal sections *Overview*, and *Task Definition*. Set up the GitHub repository, including modularization, project structuring, separation of concerns. Authored and completed Project Progress Report sections 1 *Introduction*, 2 *Related Work*, 3 *Dataset*, 4 *Features*, and 7 *Feedback and Plans*.
- **Tyler Yue:**
- **Ahmed Sahi:**

## References

- Daniela Cisneros, Jordan Richards, Ashok Dahal, Luigi Lombardo, and Raphaël Huser. 2024. Deep statistical regression for jointly moderate and extreme australian wildfires. *Spatial Statistics*.
- Government of Canada. 2025. Canadian forest fire danger rating system.
- Chenglei Hu, Regina Baltazar Bispo, Håvard Rue, Carlos C. DaCamara, Ben Swallow, and Daniela Castro-Camilo. 2025. Xgboost meets inla: a two-stage spatio-temporal forecasting of wildfires in portugal. Cornell University.
- Maxwell B Joseph, Matthew W Rossi, Nathan P Mietkiewicz, Adam L Mahood, Megan E Cattau, Lise Ann St Denis, R Chelsea Nagy, Virginia Iglesias, John T Abatzoglou, and Jennifer K Balch. 2019. Deep statistical regression for jointly moderate and extreme australian wildfires. National Center for Biotechnology Information.

NASA. 2025a. Fire information for resource management system.

NASA. 2025b. Wildfires and climate change. *NASA Science*.

Open-Meteo. 2025. Free weather api.

Dmitrii Shadrin, Svetlana Illarionova, Fedor Gubanov, Ksenia Evteeva, Maksim Mironenko, Ivan Levchunets, Roman Belousov, and Evgeny Bur-naev. 2024. Wildfire spreading prediction using multimodal data and deep neural network approach. *Scientific Reports*.

V. R. Vijayaragul. 2025. Global wildfire dataset.