

# Homework #2

Eric Tao  
Math 285: Homework #2

September 21, 2023

**Question 1.** Let  $\omega$  be the 1-form  $zdx - dz$  and let  $X$  be the vector field  $y\partial/\partial x + x\partial/\partial y$  on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

Compute  $\omega(X)$  and  $d\omega$ .

*Solution.* We recall that  $\omega(X)$ , in coordinates, is simply  $\sum_i a_i b^i$ , where  $\omega = \sum_i a_i dx^i$ , and  $X = \sum_j b^j \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}$ . Thus, we have that:

$$\omega(X) = \sum_i a_i b^i = z * y + 0 * x + -1 * 0 = yz$$

In a similar fashion, recall that, by definition:

$$d\omega = \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial a_i}{\partial x^j} dx^j \wedge dx^i$$

Thus, we have that:

$$d\omega = 1dz \wedge dx = dz \wedge dx$$

since we notice that the only non-vanishing partial of  $zdx$  is  $\partial/\partial z$  and none of the partials of  $-dz$  survive. □

**Question 2.** Suppose the standard coordinates on  $\mathbb{R}^3$  are called  $\rho, \phi, \theta$ . If we have that:

$$\begin{cases} x = \rho \sin \phi \cos \theta \\ y = \rho \sin \phi \sin \theta \\ z = \rho \cos \phi \end{cases}$$

Compute the following quantities in terms of  $d\rho, d\phi, d\theta$ :  $dx, dy, dz, dx \wedge dy \wedge dz$ .

*Solution.* □

**Question 3.** Let  $V$  be a vector space of dimension 3 with basis  $e_1, e_2, e_3$  and dual basis  $\alpha^1, \alpha^2, \alpha^3$ . For a 1-covector  $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^3 a_i \alpha^i$  on  $V$ , we associate the vector  $v_\alpha = \langle a_1, a_2, a_3 \rangle \in \mathbb{R}^3$ . For a 2-covector

$$\gamma = c_1 \alpha^2 \wedge \alpha^3 + c_2 \alpha^3 \wedge \alpha^1 + c_3 \alpha^1 \wedge \alpha^2$$

we associate the vector  $v_\gamma = \langle c_1, c_2, c_3 \rangle \in \mathbb{R}^3$ .

Show that under this correspondence, the wedge product of 1-covectors corresponds to the cross product of vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , that is:

$$v_{\alpha \wedge \beta} = v_\alpha \times v_\beta$$

*Solution.* Recall that if we have identifications of 1-covectors:  $\alpha = \sum_i a_i dx^i$  and  $\beta = \sum_j b_j dx^j$ , then we have that:

$$\alpha \wedge \beta = \sum_{i,j} (a_i b_j) dx^i \wedge dx^j$$

Writing this out in terms of coordinates, with respect to the dual basis, i.e.  $dx^i = \alpha^i$ , we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \wedge \beta &= a_1 b_2 \alpha^1 \wedge \alpha^2 + a_1 b_3 \alpha^1 \wedge \alpha^3 + a_2 b_1 \alpha^2 \wedge \alpha^1 + a_2 b_3 \alpha^2 \wedge \alpha^3 + a_3 b_1 \alpha^3 \wedge \alpha^1 + a_3 b_2 \alpha^3 \wedge \alpha^2 = \\ &= (a_2 b_3 - a_3 b_2) \alpha^2 \wedge \alpha^3 + (a_3 b_1 - a_1 b_3) \alpha^3 \wedge \alpha^1 + (a_1 b_2 - b_1 a_2) \alpha^1 \wedge \alpha^2 \end{aligned}$$

where we've used the fact that since  $\alpha^i$  are covectors,  $\alpha^i \wedge \alpha^i = 0$ .

So, we have that:

$$v_{\alpha \wedge \beta} = \langle a_2 b_3 - a_3 b_2, a_3 b_1 - a_1 b_3, a_1 b_2 - b_1 a_2 \rangle$$

In contrast, let's consider the cross product of  $v_\alpha \times v_\beta = \langle a_1, a_2, a_3 \rangle \times \langle b_1, b_2, b_3 \rangle$ . Using matrix notation:

$$\begin{aligned} v_\alpha \times v_\beta &= \begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{i} & \mathbf{j} & \mathbf{k} \\ a_1 & a_2 & a_3 \\ b_1 & b_2 & b_3 \end{vmatrix} = (a_2 b_3 - a_3 b_2) \mathbf{i} - (a_1 b_3 - a_3 b_1) \mathbf{j} + (a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1) \mathbf{k} = \\ &= \langle a_2 b_3 - a_3 b_2, a_3 b_1 - a_1 b_3, a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1 \rangle \end{aligned}$$

We notice these are the same, and we conclude that  $v_{\alpha \wedge \beta} = v_\alpha \times v_\beta$ . □

**Question 4.** Let  $A = \bigoplus_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} A^k$  be a graded algebra over a field  $K$ , with  $A^k = 0$  for  $k < 0$ . Let  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Define a superderivation of  $A$  with degree  $m$  as a  $K$ -linear map  $D : A \rightarrow A$  such that for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have that  $D(A^k) \subset A^{k+m}$  and that for all  $a \in A^k, b \in A^l$ :

$$D(ab) = (Da)b + (-1)^{km} a(Db)$$

Let  $D_1, D_2$  be superderivations of  $A$  with degrees  $m_1, m_2$  respectively. Define their commutator as:

$$[D_1, D_2] = D_1 \circ D_2 - (-1)^{m_1 m_2} D_2 \circ D_1$$

Show that the commutator  $[D_1, D_2]$  is a superderivation of degree  $m_1 + m_2$ .

*Solution.* □

**Question 5.** Consider the set  $S = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \cup \{A, B\}$ , the bug-eyed line or the line with two origins.

For  $c, d \in \mathbb{R}$ , define the following notation:

$$\begin{cases} I_A(-c, d) = (-c, 0) \cup \{A\} \cup (0, d) \\ I_B(-c, d) = (-c, 0) \cup \{B\} \cup (0, d) \end{cases}$$

Define a topology on  $S$  as follows: On  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ , use the subspace topology from  $\mathbb{R}$  with open intervals as a basis. At the point  $A$ , use the collection of sets  $\{I_A(-c, d) : c, d > 0\}$  as a basis, and analogously at  $B$ .

(a) Prove that the map  $h : I_A(-c, d) \rightarrow (-c, d) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  defined by:

$$\begin{cases} h(x) = x & \text{when } x \neq A \\ h(A) = 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

is a homeomorphism.

(b) Show that  $S$  is locally Euclidean, second countable, but not Hausdorff.

*Solution.* (a)

Take the map  $h$  as defined in the statement above. We need only show that  $h$  is a continuous bijection that admits a continuous inverse.

We will show that  $g : (-c, d) \rightarrow I_A(-c, d)$  defined by:

$$g(a) = \begin{cases} A & \text{if } a = 0 \\ a & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

is a left inverse and a right inverse.

First, consider the map  $h \circ g : (-c, d) \rightarrow (-c, d)$ .

Fix an  $a \in (-c, d)$ . If  $a = 0$ , then we have that:

$$h \circ g(0) = h(g(0)) = h(A) = 0$$

Else, suppose  $a \neq 0$ . Then, by definition, we have that:

$$h \circ g(a) = h(g(a)) = h(a) = a$$

Thus,  $g$  is a right inverse.

Similarly, looking at  $g \circ h : I_A(-c, d) \rightarrow I_A(-c, d)$ , fixing a  $b \in I_A(-c, d)$ , if  $b = A$ , then we have that:

$$g \circ h(A) = g(h(A)) = g(0) = A$$

otherwise, for  $b \neq A$ , we have that:

$$g \circ h(b) = g(h(b)) = g(b) = b$$

Thus, we have that  $g$  acts as a left and right inverse, and thus  $h$  is bijective, and  $g$  is an inverse to  $h$ .

Now, we wish to show that  $h, g$  is continuous. To do so, we need only show that pre-images of basis elements are taken to basis elements. This is because, working in our image space, suppose  $U = \cup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} B$  for a collection of basis elements  $\mathcal{B}$ . If we have that  $h^{-1}(B)$  is a basis element in our codomain for every  $B$ , then of course,  $\cup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} h^{-1}(B)$ , being a union of basis elements is an open set, and thus  $h^{-1}(U)$  is open.

Then, it is enough to consider an open interval  $(a, b) \subseteq (-c, d)$ . If  $0 \notin (a, b)$ , then  $(a, b) \subseteq \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ . Since  $S$  inherits the subspace topology on this set, then of course  $(a, b)$  is a basis element of the topology on  $S$ . Furthermore, since  $h$  acts via identity on  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $h^{-1}((a, b)) = (a, b)$ .

Now, suppose  $0 \in (a, b)$ . In the notation we have established then, write this interval as  $(-a, b)$ . Then, from the action of  $h$ , we see that  $h^{-1}((-a, b)) = (-a, 0) \cup A \cup (0, b)$ . But, from the definition of  $I_A$ , this is exactly  $I_A(-a, b)$ , and from the definition of the topology on  $S$ , this is exactly a basis element for neighborhoods of  $A$ .

Therefore,  $h^{-1}(a, b)$  for any  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  is taken to a basis element of  $S$ , and therefore  $h$  is continuous.

In a similar fashion, we may do the same for  $g : (-c, d) \rightarrow I_A(-c, d)$ .

Take a basis element from  $I_A(-c, d)$ , and call it  $C$ . If  $A \notin C$ , then of course  $C$  comes from an open interval on  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ , and thus  $g^{-1}(C) = C$ , as it acts via identity on  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ .

Now, suppose  $A \in C$ . Then, being a basis element,  $C = I_A(-a, b)$  for  $-c \leq -a < b \leq d$ . Looking at the action of  $g^{-1}(I_A(-a, b))$ , we see that this is exactly:

$$g^{-1}(I_A(-a, b)) = g^{-1}((-a, 0) \cup \{A\} \cup (0, b)) = g^{-1}((-a, 0)) \cup g^{-1}(A) \cup g^{-1}(A)((0, b)) =$$

$$(-a, 0) \cup \{0\} \cup (0, b) = (-a, b)$$

Thus, for every basis element in  $I_A(-c, d)$ , the inverse image under  $g$  is a basis element of  $(-c, d)$ . Thus,  $g$  is continuous.

Therefore, since  $h$  is a continuous bijection that admits a continuous inverse,  $h$  is a homeomorphism.

(b)

Without too much trouble, it should be clear that  $S$  is locally Euclidean. Fix a  $c, d \in \mathbb{R} : c, d > 0$ . From part (a), we already have a chart from  $I_A(-c, d)$  to a neighborhood of  $\mathbb{R}$ , an open interval, via  $h$ . It should be easy to see that swapping  $B$  for  $A$  everywhere, this also extends to a similar chart for  $I_B(-c, d)$ . Furthermore, on  $S \setminus \{A, B\}$ , we see that we may take  $f : S \setminus \{A, B\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  via  $f(x) = x$ , the identity, and the image is exactly  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  an open set. It should be clear that the identity is continuous. Thus, between these three charts,  $S$  is locally Euclidean (of dimension 1).

Furthermore,  $S$  is second countable. We may take our basis to be the union of:

1) Open intervals with rational endpoints in  $\mathbb{R}$  such that either both endpoints are positive or both are negative:

$$\{(a, b) : a, b \in \mathbb{Q}, a \neq 0, ab > 0\}$$

2) Open intervals of the form  $I_A(-c, d)$  where  $c, d > 0, c, d \in \mathbb{Q}$ .

3) Open intervals of the form  $I_B(-c, d)$  where  $c, d > 0, c, d \in \mathbb{Q}$ .

Using the fact that open intervals with rational endpoints are a countable basis for  $\mathbb{R}$ , we see that (1) generates the open sets for  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ . Further, by the definition of the topology for  $S$ , (2) and (3) generate the neighborhoods for  $A, B$  respectively, since for any  $c, d \in \mathbb{R}$ , we may take a sequence of rational numbers approaching  $c, d$  from above and below, respectively.

Since each of these sets are countable, being at most  $\mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}$ , their union is also countable. Thus  $S$  is second countable.

However, it should be clear that  $S$  is not Hausdorff. Take the points  $A, B$ . From the definition of our topology, we already know that the neighborhoods of  $A$  can be generated by  $I_A(-c, d)$  and analogously for  $B, I_B(-e, f)$ .

Fix any two neighborhoods  $I_A(-c, d), I_B(-e, f)$ . Pick any point:

$$p \in (\max\{-c, -e\}, \min\{d, f\}) \setminus \{0\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$$

Clearly, since  $\max\{-c, -e\} < p < \min\{d, f\}$ , we have that  $p \in (-c, d) \setminus \{0\}$  and that  $p \in (-e, f) \setminus \{0\}$ . Thus,  $p \in I_A(-c, d)$  and  $p \in I_B(-e, f)$ . Since this procedure may be done regardless of the choice of  $c, d, e, f$ , we can never find disjoint neighborhoods of  $A, B$ , and therefore  $S$  is not Hausdorff.

□

**Question 6.** Define  $S^2 = \{(x, y, z) : x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ , the unit sphere in 3-D.

Define the following charts:

$$\begin{cases} U_1 = \{(x, y, z) \in S^2 : x > 0\}, & \phi_1(x, y, z) = (y, z) \\ U_2 = \{(x, y, z) \in S^2 : x < 0\}, & \phi_2(x, y, z) = (y, z) \\ U_3 = \{(x, y, z) \in S^2 : y > 0\}, & \phi_3(x, y, z) = (x, z) \\ U_4 = \{(x, y, z) \in S^2 : y < 0\}, & \phi_4(x, y, z) = (x, z) \\ U_5 = \{(x, y, z) \in S^2 : z > 0\}, & \phi_5(x, y, z) = (x, y) \\ U_6 = \{(x, y, z) \in S^2 : z < 0\}, & \phi_6(x, y, z) = (x, y) \end{cases}$$

Describe the domain of  $\phi_1 \circ \phi_4^{-1}$ , and show that  $\phi_1 \circ \phi_4^{-1}$  is a  $C^\infty$  function on its domain. Do the same for  $\phi_6 \circ \phi_1^{-1}$ .

*Solution.*

□