

# Homework #1

Eric Tao  
Math 233: Homework #1

February 10, 2023

**Question 1.** The following fact was tacitly used in this chapter: if  $A, B$  are disjoint subsets of the plane,  $A$  is compact,  $B$  is closed, then there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that, for all  $\alpha \in A$ ,  $\beta \in B$ ,  $|\alpha - \beta| \geq \delta > 0$ . Prove this for  $A, B \subset X$  for  $X$  an arbitrary metric space.

*Solution.* Let  $X$  be a metric space,  $A \subseteq X$  compact,  $B \subseteq X$  closed,  $A \cap B = \emptyset$

Suppose not. Then, there exist pairs of points  $(\alpha_n, \beta_n)$  such that  $d(\alpha_n, \beta_n) < \frac{1}{n}$ . Now, consider the sequence of points  $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ . Since  $A$  is compact, we know that there exists a subsequence  $\{\alpha_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^\infty$ , convergent to  $\alpha$ .

Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. Since  $\alpha_{n_k} \rightarrow \alpha$ , choose  $N_k$  such that  $d(\alpha, \alpha_{n_k}) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$  for all  $n_k > N_k$ . Choose  $N$  such that  $\frac{1}{n} < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$  for all  $n > N$ . Choose  $M_k$  such that  $M = \max(N, N_k)$ . Assume  $m > M$ ,  $m \in \{n_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ . Consider the sequence of  $\{\beta_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^\infty$ , and in particular, consider:

$$d(\alpha, \beta_m) \leq d(\alpha, \alpha_m) + d(\alpha_m, \beta_m) < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon$$

Thus, we have that  $\beta_{n_k} \rightarrow \alpha$ . Since  $\{\beta_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset B$ , a closed set,  $\alpha \in B$ , because closed sets contain its limit points. But, this is a contradiction. Thus,  $\delta > 0$  exists.  $\square$

**Question 3.** Suppose  $f, g$  are entire functions, and suppose that for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ , that  $|f(z)| \leq |g(z)|$ . What conclusion can you draw?

*Solution.* Claim: for some  $m \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $f = mg$ .

First suppose  $g = 0$ . Then, since  $|f| \leq |g| = 0$ , this implies that  $f = 0$  everywhere. Then, of course  $f = mg$ , for actually any  $m$ .

Now, suppose not. Then, define  $Z(g) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : g(z) = 0\}$ , that is, the zero set of  $g$ , and consider the function  $h = \frac{f}{g}$ . By the algebra of holomorphic functions, we have that  $h$  is holomorphic on at least  $\mathbb{C} \setminus Z(g)$ .

Because  $\mathbb{C}$  is of course a connected open set, we have the result that  $Z(g)$  has no limit points in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then, let  $a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus Z(g)$ . Because  $a$  is not a limit point, there exists  $r > 0$  such that  $D(a, r) \cap Z(g) = \emptyset$ . We have then that  $h$  is holomorphic on  $D(a, r) \setminus \{a\}$ , a region. Further, on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus Z(g)$ , we have that  $|h| = \frac{|f|}{|g|} \leq 1$ . So, in particular, on  $D'(a, \frac{r}{2}) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 < |z - a| < \frac{r}{2}\} \subseteq \mathbb{C} \setminus Z(g)$ , we have that  $h$  is bounded. Then, by Theorem 10.20 from Rudin, we have that  $f$  has a removable singularity at  $a$ .

Now, we recall from Theorem 10.18, that  $Z(g)$  is at most countable. So, we may patch  $h$  countably many times at each point in  $Z(g)$  to produce a holomorphic function everywhere, which we call  $\tilde{h}$ . Further, since  $\tilde{h}$  is holomorphic, it must be continuous everywhere. Thus, since  $|\tilde{h}(z)| \leq 1$  at every point other than  $z \in Z(g)$ , we must have that  $|\tilde{h}(z)| \leq 1$  everywhere by continuity. Thus, we have that  $\tilde{h}$  is a bounded, entire function, and by Liouville's Theorem, it must be constant, that is,  $\tilde{h} = k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then, we have that at least on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus Z(g)$ , that  $f(z) = kg(z)$ .

However,  $kg(z)$  is certainly holomorphic, and it agrees with  $f(z)$  almost everywhere, which of course is a set with limit points in  $\Omega$ . Thus,  $f = kg$  everywhere.  $\square$

**Question 4.** Suppose that  $f$  is an entire function, and

$$|f(z)| \leq A + B|z|^k$$

for all  $z$ , where  $A, B, k$  are positive real numbers. Prove that  $f$  must be polynomial.

*Solution.* Because  $f$  is entire, it is analytic, specifically at  $a = 0$ , with infinite radius of convergence. Then, we may rewrite  $f$  as:

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n$$

Now, we apply Theorem 10.22. We have that:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |c_n|^2 r^{2n} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta$$

Here, we use our hypothesis. Since we have that  $|f(z)| \leq A + B|z|^k$ , we must have that:

$$|f(re^{i\theta})| \leq A + B|re^{i\theta}|^k = A + Br^k$$

Thus, using our first equation then, we have a bound:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |c_n|^2 r^{2n} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (A + Br^k)^2 d\theta = (A + Br^k)^2$$

Now, suppose we have that  $c_n \neq 0$  for some  $n > k$ . Then, we would have that:

$$\frac{|c_n| r^{2n}}{(A + Br^k)^2} = \frac{|c_n| r^{2(n-k)}}{(\frac{A}{r^k} + B)^2}$$

Now, since  $f$  is entire and thus the radius of convergence is infinite, we may take the limit as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ . But, since  $n > k$ , we have that:

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|c_n| r^{2(n-k)}}{(\frac{A}{r^k} + B)^2} = \infty$$

Then,  $c_n = 0$  for every  $n > k$ . Then, this implies that we have that

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\lfloor k \rfloor} c_n z^n$$

and since this holds everywhere, with finite degree,  $f$  is polynomial. □

**Question 6.** There is a region  $\Omega$  such that  $\exp(\Omega) = D(1, 1)$ . Show that the exponential function is one-to-one on  $\Omega$ , but that there are many such  $\Omega$ . Fix one, and define  $\log(z)$ , for  $|z - 1| < 1$  to be  $w \in \Omega$  such that  $e^w = z$ . Prove that  $\log'(z) = \frac{1}{z}$ . Further, find the coefficients  $a_n$  in

$$\frac{1}{z} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z - 1)^n$$

and hence, find the coefficients  $c_n$  in the expansion

$$\log z = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n (z-1)^n$$

In what other discs can this be done?

*Solution.* First, we find the shape of one such  $\Omega$ . We notice that  $D(1,1) = \{z : |z-1| < 1\}$ . Thus, we would have that, for  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}, z = x + yi$ :

$$|e^z - 1| < 1 \implies |e^x(\cos(y) + i\sin(y)) - 1| < 1 \implies |e^x \cos(y) - 1 + ie^x \sin(y)| < 1 \implies \sqrt{e^{2x} - 2e^x \cos(y) + 1} < 1$$

However, we make one more observation, that first of all  $e^z$  is cyclic in the imaginary component  $y$ , with a period of  $2\pi$ . Further, we have that in terms of the radial component,  $D(1,1)$  is completely contained within  $(-\pi/2, \pi/2)$ , and that other regions may be found, but they are separated by integer multiples of  $2\pi$  and therefore disconnected from this one. So, then, we have that we may describe our region as  $\Omega = \{x + yi : \sqrt{e^{2x} - 2e^x \cos(y) + 1} < 1, y \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)\}$ . We notice that this must be 1:1 because the exponential  $e^z = e^x(\cos(y) + i\sin(y))$  must be 1:1 on  $y \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$ :

$$e^x(\cos(y) + i\sin(y)) = e^{x'}(\cos(y') + i\sin(y')) \implies \begin{cases} e^x \cos(y) = e^{x'} \cos(y') \\ e^x \sin(y) = e^{x'} \sin(y') \end{cases}$$

$$\implies \begin{cases} e^{2x} \cos^2(y) = e^{2x'} \cos^2(y') \\ e^{2x} \sin^2(y) = e^{2x'} \sin^2(y') \end{cases} \implies e^{2x} = e^{2x}(\cos^2(y) + \sin^2(y)) = e^{2x'}(\cos^2(y') + \sin^2(y')) = e^{2x'}$$

Thus, we have that  $x = x'$ . Now, we note that on  $(-\pi/2, \pi/2)$ ,  $\sin$  is 1:1, so therefore

$$e^x \sin(y) = e^{x'} \sin(y') \implies \sin(y) = \sin(y') \implies y = y'$$

Thus, we have that  $e^z$  is one-to-one on this region.

As noted earlier though, we notice that we can find another region easily -  $\Omega' = \{x + yi : \sqrt{e^{2x} - 2e^x \cos(y) + 1} < 1, y \in (3\pi/2, 5\pi/2)\}$  is certainly another valid region, and there are actually infinitely many, separated by  $2\pi n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Now, choose an arbitrary one of these  $\Omega$ . Define  $\log z = w \in \Omega$  such that  $e^w = z$ , where  $z \in D(1,1)$ . It should be clear that because the exponential is injective on  $\Omega$ ,  $\log$  must be injective on  $D(1,1)$ .

Fix some  $z_0 \in D(1,1)$ . Since the exponential is one-to-one on  $\Omega$ , this corresponds to  $\log(z_0) = w_0$ . Then, for arbitrary  $w \in \Omega$  and  $z \in D(1,1)$ , we have that:

$$\frac{\log(z) - \log(z_0)}{z - z_0} = \frac{w - w_0}{e^w - e^{w_0}}$$

just by the injective nature of these functions and using the fact that  $z = e^w, w = \log(z)$ .

Then, by the continuity of the exponential, we have that as  $w \rightarrow w_0$ , that  $z \rightarrow z_0$ . Thus, we have that, by taking the limit of both sides as  $w \rightarrow w_0, z \rightarrow z_0$ :

$$\frac{\log(z) - \log(z_0)}{z - z_0} = \frac{w - w_0}{e^w - e^{w_0}} \implies \lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} \frac{\log(z) - \log(z_0)}{z - z_0} = \lim_{w \rightarrow w_0} \frac{w - w_0}{e^w - e^{w_0}} \implies \log'(z_0) = \frac{1}{e^{w_0}}$$

But, by our definition of  $\log$ ,  $e^{w_0}$  is exactly  $z_0$ . So  $\log'(z_0) = \frac{1}{z_0}$  as desired.

Well, now we notice that  $\frac{1}{z}$  is holomorphic on regions that exclude the origin, thus we can use the corollary to 10.6 to compute coefficients to our power series. Since

$$\frac{d^n}{dz^n} \frac{1}{z} = \frac{(-1)^n n!}{z^{n+1}}$$

we have that

$$n!c_n = f^{(n)}(1) \implies c_n = \frac{1}{n!} \frac{(-1)^n n!}{1^{n+1}} = -1^n$$

So, we have that

$$\frac{1}{z} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n (z-1)^n$$

Doing term by term integration, then, we have that the  $n$ -th term becomes:

$$\int (-1)^n (z-1)^n dz = \frac{(-1)^n}{n+1} (z-1)^{n+1}$$

up to a constant. So, then, we have that:

$$\log(z) = c_0 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n+1} (z-1)^{n+1}$$

where  $c_0$  is some constant connected to the choice of  $\Omega$ .

We remark that this procedure is not special to the disk  $D(1, 1)$ , but rather, is permissible on any disk that does not include the origin, as if it does, there is no  $z$  such that  $e^z = 0$ . In such a case, the inverse function defined on a region missing the origin would have a pole at 0, and discs that include 0 may come from a single region, and may be hard to restrict to a disconnected domain. For example, the disk  $D(0, 1)$  has, as a preimage under the exponential,  $\{x + yi : x \leq 0\}$ , which has a many to 1 relation with  $D(0, 1)$ . □

**Question 7.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ . Under certain conditions on  $z, \Gamma$ , we have that:

$$f^{(n)}(z) = \frac{n!}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)^{n+1}} d\zeta$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . State these, and prove the formula.

*Solution.* Before we start, we will prove a necessary result. We will prove that for a closed cycle  $\Gamma$ , an open set  $\Omega$ , and  $z \in \Omega \setminus \Gamma^*$ , that  $\int_{\Gamma} (z - \zeta)^{-m} d\zeta = 0$  for  $m > 1$ .

Well, since  $z \notin \Gamma^*$ , we have that  $(z - \zeta)^{-m}$  is continuous, and thus integrable on  $\Gamma^*$ . In particular, since we have that  $m > 1$ , it has exactly anti-derivative  $F(\zeta) = \frac{(z - \zeta)^{-m+1}}{-m+1}$ . Then, if  $\Gamma = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \dots + \gamma_n$ , and if the endpoints of  $\gamma_i$  are  $\alpha_i, \beta_i$ , we can rewrite this as:

$$\int_{\Gamma} (z - \zeta)^{-m} d\zeta = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\gamma_i} (z - \zeta)^{-m} d\zeta = \sum_{i=1}^n F(\beta_i) - F(\alpha_i) = 0$$

because since  $\Gamma$  is a closed cycle, we must have that  $\beta_i = \alpha_{i+1}$  with the understanding that  $\beta_n = \alpha_1$ . So, this is a telescoping sum and vanishes, at least when  $m > 1$ . Note that although we may prove this for really, more generally,  $m \neq 1$  in the same manner, this is all we need here.

We will need that  $z \in \Omega \setminus \Gamma^*$ , so that we can take a contour integral over  $\Gamma$ , as well as  $\text{Ind}_{\Gamma}(z) = 1$  and  $\text{Ind}_{\Gamma}(\alpha) = 0$  when  $\alpha \notin \Omega$  for use in Cauchy's theorem.

First, fix some  $z \in \Omega \setminus \Gamma^*$ , and choose some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Define the related function  $P(\zeta)$  on  $\Omega$  via:

$$P(\zeta) = f(z) + f'(z)(\zeta - z) + \dots + \frac{f^{(n-1)}(z)}{(n-1)!}(\zeta - z)^{n-1}$$

First, we show that  $f(\zeta) - P(\zeta) = (\zeta - z)^n h(\zeta)$ :

Since  $f$  is holomorphic and thus analytic, we can write a power series for  $f$  around  $z$ :

$$f(\zeta) = f(z) + f'(z)(\zeta - z) + \dots = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(i)}(z)}{i!}(\zeta - z)^i$$

where the coefficients come from the corollary to theorem 10.6.

Then, we can compute:

$$f(\zeta) - P(\zeta) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(i)}(z)}{i!}(\zeta - z)^i - \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{f^{(i)}(z)}{i!}(\zeta - z)^i = \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(i)}(z)}{i!}(\zeta - z)^i = (\zeta - z)^n \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(i)}(z)}{i!}(\zeta - z)^{i-n}$$

Identifying  $h(\zeta) = \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(i)}(z)}{i!}(\zeta - z)^{i-n}$ , we notice that:

$$h(z) = \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(i)}(z)}{i!}(z - z)^{i-n} = \frac{f^{(n)}(z)}{n!}$$

Next, we claim that:

$$\int_{\Gamma} \frac{P(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)^{n+1}} d\zeta = 0$$

Well:

$$\int_{\Gamma} \frac{P(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)^{n+1}} d\zeta = \int_{\Gamma} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{f^{(i)}(z)(\zeta - z)^i}{i!(\zeta - z)^{n+1}} d\zeta = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f^{(i)}(z)}{i!(\zeta - z)^{n+1-i}} d\zeta = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{f^{(i)}(z)}{i!} \int_{\Gamma} (\zeta - z)^{i-n-1} d\zeta$$

Since for all  $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ , we have that  $-n-1 \leq i-n-1 \leq -2$  and since  $z \notin \Gamma^*$ , we apply the lemma we proved at the beginning to show that  $\int_{\Gamma} (\zeta - z)^{i-n-1} d\zeta = 0$  for all  $i$ . Thus, the entire integral vanishes, and we have that:

$$\int_{\Gamma} \frac{P(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)^{n+1}} d\zeta = 0$$

Now, consider the following quantity:

$$\int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)^{n+1}} d\zeta = \int_{\Gamma} \frac{P(\zeta) + (\zeta - z)^n h(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)^{n+1}} d\zeta = \int_{\Gamma} \frac{P(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)^{n+1}} d\zeta + \int_{\Gamma} \frac{h(\zeta)(\zeta - z)^n}{(\zeta - z)^{n+1}} d\zeta = \int_{\Gamma} \frac{h(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)} d\zeta$$

where we applied the facts that  $\int_{\Gamma} \frac{P(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)^{n+1}} d\zeta = 0$  and  $f(\zeta) - P(\zeta) = (\zeta - z)^n h(\zeta) \implies f(\zeta) = P(\zeta) + (\zeta - z)^n h(\zeta)$ .

Now, since  $h$  is analytic, it must be holomorphic on  $\Omega$ . Further, due to our conditions on  $z$  and  $\Gamma$ , we may apply Cauchy's theorem to claim that:

$$h(z) * \text{Ind}_\Gamma(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\Gamma \frac{h(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\Gamma \frac{f(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)^{n+1}} d\zeta$$

Now, we use the condition that  $\text{Ind}_\Gamma(z) = 1$ , and the result that  $h(z) = \frac{f^{(n)}(z)}{n!}$  to get that:

$$\frac{f^{(n)}(z)}{n!} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\Gamma \frac{f(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)^{n+1}} d\zeta \implies f^{(n)}(z) = \frac{n!}{2\pi i} \int_\Gamma \frac{f(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)^{n+1}} d\zeta$$

Since the choice of  $n$  was arbitrary, we can use this procedure for any natural number  $n$ , the desired result.

□