## Homework #10

Eric Tao Math 235: Homework #10

December 4, 2022

## 2.1

**Problem 5.4.6.** Assume that  $f:[a,b]to\mathbb{R}$  is continuous, and  $D^+f\geq 0$  on (a,b). Prove that f is monotone increasing on [a,b].

Solution. First, suppose  $D^+f \ge \delta > 0$ , and we have  $x,y \in (a,b)$  such that x < y. Then, since f is a continuous function on a closed and bounded interval [x,y] it attains a maximum on that interval. Suppose  $x_0$  be a point on (x,y) such that  $f(x_0)$  is a maximum. Then, we have, for  $t > x_0$ :

$$\frac{f(t) - f(x_0)}{t - x_0} \le 0$$

due to being a maximum. Then, since this is true for any  $t > x_0$ , this implies that:

$$D^+ f(x_0) = \limsup_{h \to 0^+} \frac{f(x_0 + h) - f(x_0)}{h} \le 0$$

But, by hypothesis,  $D^+f \geq \delta$  on  $(a,b) \supset [x,y]$ , and we have a contradiction. Thus, this means that  $x_0 \notin (x,y)$ , and therefore, we may only have a maximum at x or y itself. But, because of the rightwards limit on  $D^+$ , we may make the same argument for x. Therefore, f(y) is a maximum on [x,y], and thus  $f(x) \leq f(y)$ . Since the choice of  $x,y \in (a,b)$  was arbitrary, this means that we are monotone increasing on all of (a,b), and due to continuity, this remains true on [a,b].

Now, suppose we have  $D^+f \ge 0$ . Fix some  $\delta < 0$ , and define the function  $g(x) = f(x) + \delta x$ . This is a continuous function on [a,b], being the sum of two continuous functions, and further, we have that:

$$D^+g(x) = \limsup_{h \to 0^+} \frac{g(x+h) - g(x)}{h} = \limsup_{h \to 0^+} \frac{f(x+h) + \delta(x+h) - f(x) - \delta x}{h} = \limsup_{h \to 0^+} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h} + \delta = D^+f + \delta x$$

Since we have that  $D^+f \ge 0, \delta > 0$ , we have that  $D^+g > 0$ . Then, we have that g is monotone increasing, by above. Then, take  $x, y \in [a, b]$  such that x < y. We have that:

$$g(x) \le g(y) \implies f(x) + \delta x \le f(y) + \delta y \implies f(y) - f(x) \ge \delta(x - y)$$

However, the choice of  $\delta > 0$  was arbitrary. So, we take a sequence of  $\delta \to 0$  and retrive that  $f(y) - f(x) \ge 0$ . Thus, f is monotone increasing on [a, b].

**Problem 5.4.8.** Let  $\phi$  be the Cantor-Lebesgue function on [0,1]. Extend  $\phi$  onto all of  $\mathbb{R}$  by setting  $\phi(x) = \phi(0) = 0$  for x < 0 and  $\phi(x) = \phi(1) = 1$  for x > 1. Let  $\{[a_n, b_n]\}_n$  be an enumeration of all subintervals of [0,1] such that  $a_n, b_n$  are rational endpoints in [0,1] with  $a_n < b_n$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , set:

$$f_n(x) = 2^{-n}\phi\left(\frac{x - a_n}{b_n - a_n}\right)$$

Observe that  $f_n$  is monotone increasing on  $\mathbb{R}$  and has uniform norm  $||f_n||_u = 2^{-n}$ . Prove the following:

- (a) The series  $f = \Sigma f_n$  converges uniformly on [0, 1].
- (b) f is continuous and monotone increasing on [0,1].
- (c) f is strictly increasing on [0,1].
- (d) f is singular on [0,1], that is, f'(x) exists for almost every  $x \in [0,1]$  and f'=0 almost everywhere.

## Solution. (a)

Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. We notice, by the shape of the  $f_n$ , that because  $\phi$  is bounded between 0 and 1, that  $f_n$  is bounded between 0 and  $2^{-n}$ . Then, take any point  $x \in [0,1]$ , and choose k such that  $2^{-k} < \epsilon$ . If we look at partial sums, then we notice:

$$f(x) - \sum_{i=1}^{M} f_i(x) = \sum_{i=M+1}^{\infty} f_i(x) \le \sum_{i=M+1}^{\infty} 2^{-i} = 2^{-M}$$

Thus, if we choose M = k, then we have that the difference from f to the partial sum  $\sum_{i=1}^{k} f_i$  can be no more than  $2^{-k} < \epsilon$ . Since this is true regardless of the point x, this implies that this is uniform convergence.

(b)

We recall that  $\phi$  is continuous, therefore, since  $f_n$  merely multiplies it by a constant, and shifts the window on where  $f_n$  is increasing,  $f_n$  is continuous as well. Then, since we've proved in part (a) that the convergence to f is uniform, we must have that f is continuous, since the uniform convergence of continuous functions is continuous. Further, because each  $f_n$  is monotone increasing, the sum of monotone increasing, non-negative functions must also be monotone.

(c)

Let  $0 \le x < y \le 1$ . We may find two rational points p,q such that  $0 \le x . Since these are rational numbers, it has some enumeration in the subintervals with rational endpoints <math>\{[a_i,b_i]\}$  and corresponds with a  $f_i = 2^{-i}\phi\left(\frac{x-a_i}{b_i-a_i}\right)$ . In particular, we notice that  $f_i(p) = f_i(a_i) = 0$ ,  $f_i(q) = f_i(b_i) = 2^{-i}$ . Then, if we consider the series  $\sum f_n(y), \sum f_n(x)$ , looking term by term, because each of the  $f_n$  are monotone, non-negative, and because at least  $f_i(y) = f_i(q) > f_i(p) > f_i(x)$ , we have that  $\sum f_n(y) > \sum f_n(x)$ . Since this can be done with any choice of x, y, we have then that f is actually strictly increasing.

(d)

Fixing an  $x \in [0,1]$ , due to the fact that we are bounded above on each  $f_n$  by  $||f_n||_u = 2^{-n}$ , we are actually bounded above on f by  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} = 1$ . Further, because of the fact that the  $f_n$  are non-negative, we have that the partial sums are monotone increasing. Thus, by the monotone convergence theorem, we have that the series  $f = \sum f_n$  converges for every  $x \in [0,1]$ . Then, by lemma 5.4.4, we have that f is differentiable almost everywhere, and:

$$f'(x) = \Sigma f'_n(x)$$

almost everywhere. However, we know from working with the Cantor-Lebesgue function, that this function has 0 derivative almost everywhere on [0,1], and on the extension to the full real line, it still has 0 derivative almost everywhere. Then, we can see that, for each  $f_n$ , there is a  $Z_n$  such that  $|Z_n| = 0$ , and that  $f_n$  has non-0 derivative. Then, if we look at  $[0,1] \setminus \bigcup_n Z_n$ , on this set, by definition,  $f'_n = 0$  for all n. Then, on that set, we have that:

$$f'(x) = \Sigma f'_n(x) = \Sigma 0 = 0$$

and because  $|\cup_n Z_n| = 0$ , this is almost everywhere.

**Problem 5.5.17.** Given a locally integrable function f on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , define a non-centered maximal function by:

$$M^*f(x) = \sup \left\{ \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |f| : B \text{ is any open ball that contains } x \right\}$$

Prove that  $Mf \leq M^*f \leq 2^d Mf$ .

Solution. Clearly, since Mf is defined as

$$Mf(x) = \sup_{h>0} \frac{1}{|B_h(x)|} \int_{B_h(x)} |f(t)| dt$$

that is, the supremum over only balls centered on x and we are defining  $M^*f$  over every ball containing x, which includes balls centered on x, this implies, by the properties of the supremum, that  $Mf \leq M^*f$ . So, we need only prove that  $M^*f \leq 2^d Mf$ 

Suppose we have a ball B with center c, radius r, such that  $x \in B$ . Let  $z \in B$ . We claim that |z-x| < 2r. We can see this via the triangle inequality:

$$|z - x| \le |z - c| + |c - x| \le r + r = 2r$$

Therefore, z is contained within a ball of radius 2r around x. Since the choice of z was arbitrary, this implies that all of B is contained within this ball, which we will call B'. We also recall, that from 2.3.15, about linear changes of variable, since this is merely a translation composed with a dilation by 2 of B, that we have that  $|B'| = |L(B)| = |2I \cdot T(B)| = |\det(2I \cdot T)||B|$ , where we use the trick about looking at the ball in a  $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$  space to view a translation as a linear transformation.

Here, we notice that the determinant of a translation is 1, and the determinant of a dilation by 2 in every coordinate is  $2^d$ . Thus, we have that  $|B'| = 2^d |B|$ .

Then, looking at the integrand of the maximal functions, we have that:

$$\frac{1}{|B|} \int_{B} |f| \le \frac{2^d}{|B'|} \int_{B'} |f| dt$$

because the fractions are equal, but  $B \subseteq B'$  and |f| is non-negative, so  $\int_B |f| \le \int_{B'} |f|$ .

But, then we have that, by the definition of Mf, that since B' is a ball centered on x:

$$\frac{2^d}{|B'|} \int_{B'} |f| dt = 2^d \frac{1}{|B'|} \int_{B'} |f| dt \le 2^d M f$$

Since we may do this for every ball B that contains x, this extends to the supremum. Thus, we have that  $M^*f \leq 2^d Mf$ 

**Problem 5.5.19.** Let A be any subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with  $|A|_e > 0$ . Define the density of A at a point  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  to be:

$$D_A(x) = \lim_{r \to 0} \frac{|A \cap B_r(x)|_e}{|B_r(x)|}$$

whenever this limits exists. Prove the following:

- (a)  $D_A(x) = 1$  for almost every  $x \in A$ .
- (b) A is measurable if and only if  $D_A(x) = 0$  for almost every  $x \in A$ .

Additionally, exhibit a measurable set E and a point x such that  $D_E(x)$  does not exist, and given  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , exhibit a measurable set E and a point X such that  $D_E(x) = \alpha$ .

Solution.  $\Box$ 

## 2.3

**Problem 6.1.9.** Prove that  $f \in AC[a, b]$  if and only if, for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that for every finite collection of nonoverlapping subintervals  $\{[a_j, b_j]\}_j$  of [a, b], we have that:

$$\Sigma_{j=1}^{N}(b_j - a_j) < \delta \implies \Sigma_{j=1}^{N}|f(b_j) - f(a_j)| < \epsilon$$

Solution. It is clear that if  $f \in AC[a, b]$ , then the statement holds, because we recall that we define absolutely continuous as, for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for either finite or countably infinite non overlapping collections of subintervals,

$$\Sigma_{j=1}^{N}(b_j - a_j) < \delta \implies \Sigma_{j=1}^{N}|f(b_j) - f(a_j)| < \epsilon$$

So, it is already true by definition.

Now, instead, suppose we only know that the  $\epsilon - \delta$  criteria holds for finitely many collections of subintervals. Then, we wish that this holds for countably infinite collections of subintervals.

Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. Choose  $\delta > 0$  such that, for every finite collection of intervals, we have that

$$\Sigma_{j=1}^{N}(b_j - a_j) < \delta \implies \Sigma_{j=1}^{N}|f(b_j) - f(a_j)| < \epsilon/2$$

Let  $\{[x_j, y_j]\}_j$  be a countably infinite collection of nonoverlapping subintervals such that  $[a_j, b_j] \subseteq [a, b]$  for all j, and such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (y_j - x_j) < \delta$$

Then, we look at a sequence of finite collection of subintervals, that is,  $\{x_j, y_j\}_j^M$ . In particular, we have that:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{M} (y_j - x_j) \le \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (y_j - x_j) < \delta$$

because of the fact  $y_j - x_j \ge 0$ . Then, we have that, by hypothesis:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{M} |f(y_j) - f(x_j)| < \epsilon/2$$

But, this is true for every N, since they are all finite. Then, taking the limit as  $N \to \infty$ , we have that:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} = \lim_{M \to \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{M} |f(y_j) - f(x_j)| < \epsilon/2 < \epsilon$$

Thus,  $f \in AC[a, b]$ .

**Problem 6.1.10.** (a) Prove that AC[a, b] is a closed subspace of BV[a, b] with respect to the norm  $||f||_{BV}$  defined by 5.2.26. That is, show that if  $f_n \in AC[a, b]$  then  $f \in BV[a, b]$ , and if  $||f - f_n||_{BV} \to 0$ , then  $f \in AC[a, b]$ .

(b) Exhibit functions  $f_n$ , f such that  $f_n \in AC[a, b]$  and  $f_n$  converges uniformly to  $f \in BV[a, b]$ , but  $f \notin AC[a, b]$ . Thus the uniform limit of absolutely continuous functions need not be absolutely continuous.

Solution.  $\Box$