

# First Assignment

Eric Tao  
Math 240: Homework #3

September 29, 2022

**Problem 3.1.** Let  $X \subset \mathbb{A}^n, Y \subset \mathbb{A}^m$  be algebraic sets. Consider  $X \times Y \subset \mathbb{A}^n \times \mathbb{A}^m \cong \mathbb{A}^{n+m}$

- (a) Show that  $X \times Y$  is an algebraic subset of  $\mathbb{A}^{m+n}$ .
- (b) Show that if either  $X$  or  $Y$  are reducible, then  $X \times Y$  is reducible.
- (c) Show that if both  $X, Y$  are irreducible, then  $X \times Y$  is irreducible.
- (d) Compute the dimension of  $X \times Y$  in terms of the dimensions of  $X$  and  $Y$ .

*Solution.* (a)

Let  $I = \langle f_1, f_2, \dots, f_i \rangle$  be the radical ideal in  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  such that  $V(I) = X \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$ , and let  $J = \langle g_1, g_2, \dots, g_j \rangle$  be the radical ideal in  $k[x_1, \dots, x_m]$  such that  $V(J) = Y \subseteq \mathbb{A}^m$ . Consider, the ideal generated by  $\bar{I} = \langle f_1, f_2, \dots, f_i \rangle \subseteq k[x_1, \dots, x_{m+n}]$  where we take the  $f_k$  to be variables in the first  $n$  variables. The zero set of this ideal will have points that look like  $V(\bar{I}) = \{(x, y) : x \in X, y \in \mathbb{A}^m\} = X \times \mathbb{A}^m$  due to  $X$  being the zero set in the first  $n$  variables, and the other variables being free. Analogously, we have the same to be true for  $Y$ , that is, we may take  $\bar{J} = \langle g_1, \dots, g_j \rangle \subseteq k[x_1, \dots, x_{m+n}]$  where we take the polynomials to only be in the last  $m$  variables, and free otherwise, and  $V(\bar{J}) = \{(x, y) : x \in \mathbb{A}^n, y \in Y\} = \mathbb{A}^n \times Y$ . Consider now the ideal generated by  $\langle \bar{I}, \bar{J} \rangle = \langle f_1, \dots, f_i, g_1, \dots, g_j \rangle$ . We claim that  $V(\langle \bar{I}, \bar{J} \rangle) = X \times Y$ , as a point vanishes on any polynomial in the span when it belongs to a point both in  $X$  and in  $Y$  as a Cartesian product.

Let  $x \in V(\langle \bar{I}, \bar{J} \rangle)$ . Then,  $x$  is the zero of every polynomial in this ideal. In particular, looking at the generators, this implies that  $x$  is 0 on every polynomial in the generators. This implies that  $x \in \{(x, y) : x \in X, y \in \mathbb{A}^m\}$  and  $x \in \{(x, y) : x \in \mathbb{A}^n, y \in Y\}$ , which implies that  $x$  is in their intersection,  $x \in (X \times \mathbb{A}^m) \cap (\mathbb{A}^n \times Y) = X \times Y$ . Now, suppose  $z = (x, y) \in X \times Y$ , where we associate the first  $n$  coordinates with  $X$  and the last  $m$  with  $Y$ . Since  $f_k((x, y)) = 0$  for all  $k$ , since  $X \times Y \subseteq X \times \mathbb{A}^m$  and same for the  $g_k$ , we have that  $z$  is in the zero set of  $\langle \bar{I}, \bar{J} \rangle$ . Thus, we have that  $X \times Y$  is the zero set of some ideal of polynomials, and is thus algebraic.

(b)

Suppose, without loss of generality, that  $X$  is reducible. Then,  $X = X_1 \cup X_2$ , for  $X_1, X_2$  closed, and  $X_1 \neq X, X_2 \neq X$ . Then, we may find two ideals in  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , such that  $Z(I_1) = X_1$  and  $Z(I_2) = X_2$ . By the structure we set up in part (a), then, we can see that we can construct  $X_1 \times Y$  and  $X_2 \times Y$  from the ideals  $\langle \bar{I}_1, \bar{J} \rangle$  and  $\langle \bar{I}_2, \bar{J} \rangle$ . Then, we have that  $X \times Y = X_1 \times Y \cup X_2 \times Y$  due to our hypothesis that  $X = X_1 \cup X_2$ , and because  $X \neq X_1$  or  $X \neq X_2$ ,  $X \times Y \neq X_1 \times Y$  and  $X \times Y \neq X_2 \times Y$ .

(c)

Suppose we have closed sets  $Z_1, Z_2 \subseteq X \times Y$  such that  $Z_1 \cup Z_2 = X \times Y$ . Consider the subset  $S_y = X \times \{y\}$  for some fixed element  $y \in Y$ . This must be contained within one of  $Z_1$  or  $Z_2$  as, suppose not, then we would have  $X_1 \times \{y\} \subseteq Z_1$  and  $X_2 \times \{y\} \subseteq Z_2$ , and we've found two closed sets  $X_1, X_2$  that union to  $X$ , but neither are the full space. WLOG, suppose  $X \times \{y\} \subseteq Z_1$ . Now, consider the set of  $\{y \in Y : X \times \{y\} \subseteq Z_1\}$ . If this is all of  $Y$ , then we are done, otherwise, suppose not. Then, we have that due to the irreducibility of  $Y$ , then  $\{y \in Y : X \times \{y\} \subseteq Z_2\} = Y$ , as otherwise we've found two closed sets that join up to  $Y$  and neither are all of  $Y$ . But if that's true, then by construction,  $Z_2 = X \times Y$  and we are done.

(d)

Let  $I$  be the ideal in  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  such that  $V(I) = X \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$ , and let  $J = \langle g_1, g_2, \dots, g_j \rangle$  be the radical ideal in  $k[x_1, \dots, x_m]$  such that  $V(J) = Y \subseteq \mathbb{A}^m$ . Consider the ideal in  $k[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{m+n}]$  generated by the image  $\bar{I}$  under inclusion where we associate the variables in  $I$  with the first  $m$  variables. In particular, the generators are exactly the same, since we just include them into a larger space. This must also be true for the image of  $I_y$ , where we associate those  $n$  variables with the last  $n$  variables. Now, consider the degree of  $k[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{m+n}] / \langle \bar{I}, \bar{J} \rangle$ . In particular, we notice here that because the generators of  $\bar{I}$  are polynomials only in the first  $m$  variables, and  $\bar{J}$  in the last  $n$  variables, then modding out by  $\bar{I}$  will not affect the last  $m$  variables, and vice versa for  $\bar{J}$ . In particular, if we call  $\dim(X) = a, \dim(Y) = b$ , construct the map that sends  $k[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{m+n}] \rightarrow k[y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{a+b}]$  that sends a polynomial  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \rightarrow [f]$  modulo  $I$  identified with the first  $a$  variables, a polynomial  $f(x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{m+n}) \rightarrow [g]$  modulo  $J$  identified with the last  $b$  variables, and extend this linearly. This must be surjective, as we know that  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I \cong k[x_1, \dots, x_a]$  as  $k$ -algebras by definition of the degree, and same with the last  $b$  variables. Then, for any monomial in  $g \in k[y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{a+b}]$ , we can identify a coset  $[a] \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I$  and one in  $[b] \in k[x_1, \dots, x_m]/J$  such that  $[a] * [b] = g$  from our identification, which we can lift to a polynomial in  $k[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{m+n}]$ . Further, the kernel is exactly those things that go to the zero coset in either, which is exactly the ideal generated by  $\langle \bar{I}, \bar{J} \rangle$ . So, then, the dimensionality of  $X \times Y$  is the transcendental degree of  $k[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{m+n}] / \langle \bar{I}, \bar{J} \rangle$  which by our isomorphism is  $\dim(X) + \dim(Y)$ . □

**Problem 3.2.** Let  $X$  be a closed set in  $\mathbb{A}^n$ . Consider  $\mathbb{A}^n$  as a linear subspace of  $\mathbb{A}^m$ , for  $m > n$  by taking the last  $m - n$  coordinates equal to 0. Let  $P = (0, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{A}^m$ . Define the set  $Y \subseteq \mathbb{A}^m$  via:

$$Y = \{Q \in \mathbb{A}^m \setminus \{P\} : \text{the line } \overline{PQ} \cap X \neq \emptyset\} \cup \{P\}$$

- (a) Show that  $Y$  is an algebraic subset of  $\mathbb{A}^m$ .
- (b) Compute the dimension of  $Y$ .

*Solution.* (a)

Let  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_m)$  be a point in  $Y$ , and consider the line  $\overline{ap}$  that we can express parametrically as  $h(t) = at + p(1 - t)$  for  $t \in k$ . Consider the intersection of the line with a point in  $X$ , which must exist due to construction. By our embedding, we have that the points in  $X$  have form  $(x_1, \dots, x_n, 0, \dots, 0)$ . Then, we know that this intersects  $X$  when  $a_n * t + (1 - t) = 0$ , which implies that  $t = \frac{1}{1 - a_m}$ . Then, we have the following set of coordinates on the line:  $h_i = \frac{a_i}{1 - a_m}$  for  $i \leq n$ ,  $h_j = 0$  for  $n < j < m$ , and  $h_m$  is free.

Now, since  $X$  is an algebraically closed set in  $\mathbb{A}^n$ , take  $X = V(I)$  for some ideal  $I \subseteq k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . In particular, for each  $f \in I$  we may then write  $f(\frac{x_1}{1 - x_m}, \frac{x_2}{1 - x_m}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{1 - x_m}) \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . We may clear the denominators by multiplying through via  $(1 - x_m)^k$  for some  $k$  to recover  $(1 - x_m)^k f(\frac{x_1}{1 - x_m}, \frac{x_2}{1 - x_m}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{1 - x_m}) \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n, x_m]$ . Because this vanishes on  $X$  and we've merely introduced a parametrization on a line intersecting  $X$ ,  $f$  vanishes on any copy of  $X$  scaled along our line through  $\overline{ap}$ . Therefore, the cone  $Y$  is algebraic.

(b)

Since the dimension of  $X$  is well defined, take  $X = V(I)$  as above, we know that  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I$  has transcendental degree  $\dim(X)$  over  $k$  as a  $k$ -algebra. In particular, since the point  $P$  is linearly independent of every point in  $X$ , we claim that  $\dim(Y) > \dim(X)$ . However, we also see from part (a) that we can express the zero set of  $Y$  as a polynomial in  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n, x_m]$ , and thus, one extra variable, therefore the transcendental degree can be at most one more than the transcendental degree of  $X$  because we add one new variable. Thus,  $\dim(Y) = \dim(X) + 1$ .

$$k[x_1, \dots, x_n, x_m]/I$$

□

**Problem 3.3.** Fix a polynomial  $f_0 \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  without multiple irreducible factors. Define  $A = \{\frac{g}{f_0^k}\}$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , where we identify  $\frac{g}{f_0^k} \sim \frac{g'}{f_0^{k'}} if  $gf_0^{k'} = g'f_0^k$ .$

- (a) Show that  $A$  is a ring with a natural addition and multiplication.  
(b) Show that there exists a natural injective morphism  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow A$ .  
(c) Show that the prime ideals of  $A$  are in bijection with the prime ideals of  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  that do not contain  $f_0$ .  
(d) Let  $U$  be the open set of  $\mathbb{A}^n$  given by  $f_0 \neq 0$ . Show that the ring of regular functions in  $U$  is identified with  $A$ .

*Solution.* (a)

Well, we claim that we can define an addition via:

$$\frac{g}{f_0^k} + \frac{g'}{f_0^{k'}} = \frac{f_0^{k'}g + f_0^k g'}{f_0^{k+k'}}$$

and a multiplication via:

$$\frac{g}{f_0^k} * \frac{g'}{f_0^{k'}} = \frac{gg'}{f_0^{k+k'}}$$

Firstly, we wish to check that this is well-defined. Suppose we have that  $\frac{g}{f_0^k} \sim \frac{g''}{f_0^{k''}} \implies gf_0^{k''} = g''f_0^k$ . Consider  $\frac{f_0^{k'}g + f_0^k g'}{f_0^{k+k'}}$  and  $\frac{f_0^{k'}g'' + f_0^k g'}{f_0^{k'+k''}}$ . In particular, consider  $(f_0^{k'}g + f_0^k g') * (f_0^{k''+k'})$  and  $(f_0^{k'}g'' + f_0^k g') * (f_0^{k+k'})$ . We have that:

$$(f_0^{k'}g + f_0^k g') * (f_0^{k''+k'}) = (f_0^{2k'+k''}g + f_0^{k+k'+k''}g') = (f_0^{2k'}g''f_0^k + f_0^{k+k'+k''}g') = (f_0^{2k'+k}g'' + f_0^{k+k'+k''}g')$$

and

$$(f_0^{k'}g'' + f_0^k g') * (f_0^{k+k'}) = (f_0^{k+2k'}g'' + f_0^{k+k'+k''}g')$$

So addition is well-defined.

Doing the same for multiplication, we have that:

$$gg'f_0^{k''+k'} = (gf_0^{k''})g'f_0^{k'} = g''f_0^k g'f_0^{k'} = g''g'f_0^{k'+k}$$

and

$$g''g'f_0^{k+k'}$$

Now, we have that these operations are associative, commutative, etc from the inheritance on polynomial addition/multiplication. So, we need only check that we have a group under addition, a multiplicative identity exists, and that multiplication distributes over addition.

We identify  $\frac{0}{1}$  as an additive identity, where we denote 0, 1 as the zero and one from  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , because  $\frac{0}{1} + \frac{g}{f_0^k} = \frac{0+g}{f_0^k} = \frac{g}{f_0^k}$

It should be clear that every element has an additive inverse. For any  $\frac{g}{f_0^k}$ , take  $\frac{-g}{f_0^k}$ , where  $-g$  is the additive inverse of  $g$  in  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . Then, we have:

$$\frac{g}{f_0^k} + \frac{-g}{f_0^k} = \frac{f_0^k g + f_0^k (-g)}{f_0^{2k}} = \frac{f_0^k g + (-g)}{f_0^{2k}} = \frac{0}{f_0^{2k}}$$

which we see as equivalent to  $\frac{0}{f_0^0} = \frac{0}{1}$ , as  $1 * 0 = f_0^{2k} * 0$ .

We identify  $\frac{1}{1}$  as a multiplicative identity, as  $\frac{g}{f_0^k} * \frac{1}{1} = \frac{g * 1}{f_0^k * 1} = \frac{g}{f_0^k}$ .

Now, we just need to show that this multiplication distributes over addition. Here, we notice that  $\frac{f_0^k}{f_0^k} \sim \frac{1}{1}$ .

$$\frac{g}{f_0^k} \left( \frac{g'}{f_0^{k'}} + \frac{g''}{f_0^{k''}} \right) = \frac{g}{f_0^k} * \frac{f_0^{k'} g' + f_0^{k''} g'}{f_0^{k'+k''}} = \frac{gg' f_0^{k'} + gg' f_0^{k''}}{f_0^{k+k'+k''}} * \frac{f_0^k}{f_0^k} = \frac{gg' f_0^{k+k'} + gg' f_0^{k+k''}}{f_0^{(k+k')} f_0^{(k+k'')}} = \frac{gg''}{f_0^{k+k''}} + \frac{gg'}{f_0^{k+k'}}$$

Thus,  $A$  has a ring structure.

(b)

Take the morphism  $i : k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow A$  that sends a polynomial  $f \rightarrow \frac{f}{1}$ .

We may verify that this is actually a ring hom:

$$i(f) + i(g) = \frac{f}{1} + \frac{g}{1} = \frac{f * 1 + g * 1}{1 * 1} = \frac{f + g}{1} = i(f + g)$$

and

$$i(f)i(g) = \frac{f}{1} * \frac{g}{1} = \frac{f * g}{1 * 1} = \frac{fg}{1} = i(fg)$$

Further, this must be injective. Suppose  $i(f) = i(f')$ . Then, we have that  $\frac{f}{1} = \frac{f'}{1}$ . This implies that  $\frac{f}{1} + \frac{-f'}{1} = \frac{0}{1} \implies \frac{f-f'}{1} = \frac{0}{1}$ . Then, we have that  $f - f' = 0 \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , and thus that  $f = f'$ .

(c)

This is exactly the same as what we did in class with localizations of rings. However, let's write it out.

Firstly, via our injective morphism  $\phi : k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow A$ , we can bring any prime ideal  $P$  to  $\phi(P)$  via  $f \rightarrow \frac{f}{1}$ . Clearly, if  $f_0^k \in P$ , then  $\phi(P)$  is trivial, since  $\frac{f_0^k}{1} \in \phi(P)$  and  $\frac{f_0^k}{1} * \frac{1}{f_0^k} = \frac{f_0^k}{f_0^k} \sim \frac{1}{f_0^0} = \frac{1}{1}$  since  $f_0^k = f_0^0 f_0^k = f_0^k * 1 = f_0^k$ . Then, a unit is in  $\phi(P)$  and  $\phi(P) = A$ . So we can assume first that  $f_0^k \notin P$  for any  $k \geq 0$ . Now, consider the prime ideal spanned by  $\phi(P)$ ,  $S = \{f_0^k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$  called  $S^{-1}P$ . From class, we showed that this must have form  $\{[\frac{p}{f_0^k}] : p \in P, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . These must be distinct, because suppose not, then, two images have the same span, but because our original morphism was injective, they must come from the same elements in  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . Further, these clearly pull back to the same preimage under  $\phi^{-1}$ , since for any  $p \in P$ , we have that  $[\frac{p}{1}] \in S^{-1}P$ , so  $p \in \phi^{-1}(S^{-1}P)$ , and if  $p \in \phi^{-1}(S^{-1}P)$ , then we can say  $p = \phi^{-1}(a)$  for some  $a \in S^{-1}P$ . But by the construction,  $a = \frac{p}{f_0^k}$ , which implies that  $p \in P$ .

Now, instead, suppose we have a prime ideal in  $A$  called  $P_A$ . We can see that  $\phi^{-1}(P_A)$  is a prime ideal. Suppose  $x, y \in \phi^{-1}(P_A)$ , then  $\phi(x - y) = \phi(x) - \phi(y) \in P_A$ , so  $x - y \in \phi^{-1}(P_A)$ . and we have that it is a subring. Further, let  $f \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , and take  $x \in \phi^{-1}(P_A)$ . Then,  $\phi(xf) = \phi(x)\phi(f) \in P_A$ , because  $\phi(x) \in P_A$  and  $P_A$  an ideal, so  $xf \in \phi^{-1}(P_A)$ . So this has the property of multiplicative absorption. Further,  $f_0^k$  cannot be in this because if it were, then  $\phi(f_0^k) \in P_A$ , which we've shown to be a unit, and then  $P_A$  is trivial. Now, consider  $S^{-1}\phi^{-1}(P_A)$ . It is clear that  $S^{-1}\phi^{-1}(P_A) \subseteq P_A$ . Now suppose we have a  $\frac{a}{b} \in P_A$ . Then, we notice that since  $\frac{b}{1} \in A$ , then  $\frac{ab}{b} \sim \frac{a}{1} \in P_A$ . Then, we have that  $a \in \phi^{-1}(P_A)$ , and then of course,  $\frac{a}{b} \in S^{-1}\phi^{-1}(P_A)$ , because  $\frac{a}{1} \in \phi^{-1}(P_A)$ . Further, this must be injective because if  $\phi^{-1}(P) = \phi^{-1}(P')$ , then traveling back by  $\phi$  into  $A$ , they would generate the same span, and if the generating set for two ideals are equal, the original ideals were equal. So, by these two constructions, we have a one to one association that takes a prime ideal in  $A$  to  $\phi^{-1}(A)$  and a prime ideal in  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  to the prime ideal spanned by its image in  $A$ .

(d)

Recall that the ring of regular functions on  $U \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$  is defined as functions  $\phi : V \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  for  $V \subseteq U$  an open neighborhood and acting via  $\phi : x \rightarrow \frac{f}{g}(x)$ , that is, via some rational functions of polynomials such that  $g(x) \neq 0$  on  $V$ . Here, we notice something: since  $f_0$  has only a single irreducible factor, call it  $h$ , then  $h \in \text{rad}(\langle f_0 \rangle)$ . In particular, since  $h$  is the only irreducible polynomial that divides  $f_0$ , then

$\langle h \rangle = \text{rad}(\langle f_0 \rangle)$  and  $f_0 = ch^j$  for some field element  $c$  and some number  $j$ . Then, for any regular function, we may take a  $g$  that's defined on the whole space that vanishes on the zeros of  $f_0$ . Now, let  $f/g$  be a regular function defined on all of  $U$ , which we can do, because if it were defined piecewise, we may find a smaller open set that it agrees on piece by piece.. Then, if  $g$  is non-constant,  $g$  may only be 0 on the zeroes of  $f_0$ . Then, we have that  $g$  is exactly a power of  $h$ . In particular, since  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is a UFD, we may identify a power  $i$  such that  $g = c'h^i$  and for  $c'$  a field element. Then, we may identify a regular function  $f/g$  as  $m/f_0^k$ , where  $k$  is the smallest natural such that  $jk - i > 0$ , and  $m = c'^{-1}c^k f h^{jk-i}$ . We may confirm this:  $gm = c'h^i * c'^{-1}c^k f h^{jk-i} = c^k f h^{jk} = f_0^k f$ .

□