# Homework #1

## Eric Tao Math 237: Homework #1

## February 5, 2024

Question 2. Let E be a normed vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$ . We call a subspace  $H \subseteq E$  a hyperplane if the quotient space E/H has dimension 1.

- 2.1) Show that the closure of any subspace of E is also a subspace of E. Conclude that a hyperplane H is either closed or dense in E.
- 2.2) Let u be a linear functional on E. Prove that u is discontinuous if and only if there exists a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in E that converges to 0 such that  $u(x_n) = 1$  for all n.
- 2.3) Let  $x_0 \in E$  be a unit norm vector, and define H as the complement of the span of  $x_0$ . Show that every  $x \in E$  can be uniquely decomposed as  $x = t(x)x_0 + y(x)$  where  $t : E \to \mathbb{R}$ , and  $y : E \to H$ , linear. Further, prove that t, y are continuous if and only if H is closed.
- 2.4) Let u be a linear functional on E. Prove that u is continuous if and only if the kernel of u, H, is closed.

### Solution. 2.1)

Let  $S \subset E$  be a vector subspace, and denote  $\overline{S}$  as its closure. Of course, if S is closed, then  $\overline{S} = S$ , and therefore, the closure is a vector space.

Now, suppose  $S \neq \overline{S}$ . Then, we may describe  $\overline{S}$  as the union of S and the limit points of S in E. Since  $0 \in S \subset \overline{S}$ , we need only show that  $\overline{S}$  is closed under addition and scalar multiplication.

To check addition, we may discard the case where  $x,y \in S$ , as S is already a vector space. Thus, suppose x is a limit point of S, and  $y \in S$ . Since x is a limit point, there exists a sequence  $\{x_n\} \subset S$  such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = x$ . Then, consider the sequence  $\{x_n+y\}$ . Clearly, since  $x_n\to x$ , we have that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n+y=x+y$ . Since  $x\notin S$ , being a limit point, x+y cannot be in S, and hence, is a limit point of S. Hence,  $x+y\in \overline{S}$ . Without too much trouble, we see that the same argument holds when y is a limit point, where we leverage the sequences  $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}$  and consider their sum  $\{x_n+y_n\}$ .

Similarly, we can just check  $x \notin S$  for scalar multiplication; if x is a limit point,  $\{x_n\} \to x$ , then of course  $\{ax_n\} \to ax$  for  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , and therefore, if  $x \in S$ ,  $ax \in S$ . Thus, we have that  $\overline{S}$  is closed under addition and scalar multiplication, and contains 0. Therefore,  $\overline{S}$  is a vector subspace of E.

Now, let H be an arbitrary hyperplane. Of course, if H is closed,  $\overline{H} = H$ . So suppose H is not closed, and therefore  $H \subset \overline{H}$  is a proper subset. Looking at E/H, since this has dimension 1, fixing some  $z \in E \setminus H$ , we may identify E/H as the span of z + H. Since  $\overline{H}$  is a proper superset of H, there exists a  $z' \in \overline{H}$  that does not belong to H. Under the projection into E/H,  $\pi(z') = \alpha z + H$  for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus 0$ , as otherwise,  $z' \in H$ , hence there exists a  $h \in H$  such that  $\alpha z + h = z'$  in E. Rearranging, this implies that  $z = \frac{1}{\alpha}(z' - h)$ . But, since  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , z',  $h \in \overline{H}$ , this implies that  $z \in \overline{H}$ . Hence, we have that  $\overline{H} = E$ . Since the closure of H in E is E, we have that H is dense in E, and we are done.

#### 2.2)

First, we prove the forward direction. Suppose u is discontinuous. In particular then, it is discontinuous at the identity, since u is continuous if and only if it is continuous at the origin. Then, there exists some fixed  $\epsilon > 0$ , such that we may find a  $x_n$  with that  $||x_n - 0|| < 1/n$  and with  $|u(x_n) - u(0)| = u(x_n) > \epsilon$ . Now, consider the modified sequence  $\{\frac{x_n}{u(x_n)}\}$ . We notice that since  $u(x_n) > \epsilon$ , that term by term, this sequence is smaller in norm than  $\{\frac{x_n}{\epsilon}\}$ . Furthermore, since  $x_n \to 0$ ,  $\frac{x_n}{\epsilon} \to 0$ , since  $\|\frac{x_n}{\epsilon}\| = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \|x_n\| < \frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{1}{n}$ , which goes to

0 as  $n \to \infty$  for a fixed  $\epsilon$ . Thus,  $\frac{x_n}{\epsilon} \to 0$  and therefore,  $\left\{\frac{x_n}{u(x_n)}\right\} \to 0$ . On the other hand though, since u is linear,  $u\left(\frac{x_n}{u(x_n)}\right) = \frac{1}{u(x_n)}u(x_n) = 1$ , as desired.

On the other hand, the backwards direction follows fairly easily. Since we have a sequence  $\{x_n\} \to 0$  with  $u(x_n) = 1$  for all n, of course, u is discontinuous at 0, because for  $\epsilon = 1/2$ , for any  $\delta > 0$ , we can find an  $x_n$  such that  $||x_n|| < \delta$ , but by definition,  $u(x_n) = 1 > \epsilon$ . Hence, u is discontinuous at some point, and thus discontinuous.

2.3)

By the description of H, we can identify E/H as spanned by  $x_0$ . Then, for any  $x \in E$ , we can consider its image under the projection  $\pi: E \to E/H$ ,  $\pi(x) = t(x)x_0 + H$ , for some map  $t: E \to \mathbb{R}$ ; moreover, since  $\pi$  is linear, so must be t. Then, we may identify  $y(x) = x - t(x)x_0$ . We notice that  $\pi(y(x)) = \pi(x - t(x)x_0) = \pi(x) - t(x)\pi(x_0) = t(x)x_0 + H - t(x)x_0 + H = 0 + H$ , hence  $y(x) \in H$ .

We see this decomposition as unique, as x maps to exactly one coset of E/H due to the injectivity of left addition, so t is distinct. The uniqueness of y follows from the uniqueness of t. We also notice in what follows, that t, y are either both continuous or both discontinuous due to the definition of y.

Now, suppose t, y are continuous. Then, we can identify H as the inverse image  $t^{-1}(0)$ . Since t is continuous,  $t^{-1}(0)$  is closed, hence  $H = t^{-1}(0)$  is closed.

On the other hand, suppose t, y discontinuous. Then, by 2.2, there exists a sequence  $\{x_n\} \subset E$  such that  $t(x_n) = 1$ , and  $x_n \to 0$ . By the previous work, we can reexpress this sequence via our decomposition as:

$$x_n = t(x_n)x_0 + y(x_n) = x_0 + y(x_n)$$

But, since  $x_n \to 0$ , this implies that  $y(x_n) \to -x_0$ . Then,  $-x_0 \in \overline{H}$ , and hence from the work in 2.1, since  $\overline{H}$  is a vector subspace, H is dense, i.e. not closed. Therefore, by the contrapositive, H being closed implies that t and thus y is continuous.

2.4)

Let u be a linear functional on E.

If u is trivial, then the result is trivial, as then the kernel of u is E, always closed, and the trivial map is continuous, because then the preimage of 0 is all of E.

Now, suppose u is not trivial. Then, because the kernel has codimension 1, looking at E/H, we may find a representative z+H such that E/H is the span of z+H. Then, via 2.3, we may decompose any  $x \in E$  as x = t(x)z + y(x).

Then, u acting on any x has the action of u(x) = u(t(x)z + y(x)) = t(x)u(z). Since u(z) is a constant, the continuity of u(x) is equivalent to the continuity of t. But, by 2.3, the continuity of t is equivalent to the closure of H. Thus, we have that:

u continuous  $\iff t$  continuous  $\iff H$  closed

exactly our desired result.

**Question 5.** Let E be a Banach space.

- 5.1) Suppose  $T \in L(E, E)$ , with ||I T|| < 1. Prove that T is invertible, and that the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (I T)^n$  converges in L(E, E) to  $T^{-1}$ .
- 5.2) Suppose  $T \in L(E, E)$  is invertible and  $||S T|| < ||T^{-1}||^{-1}$ . Prove that S is invertible. Conclude that the set of invertible operators in L(E, E) is open.

Solution. 5.1)

Firstly, we use the fact that since E is complete, so is L(E,E) from Folland 5.4. We notice, that by the definition of the norm, that  $\sup\{\|(I-T)x\|:\|x\|=1\}<1$ ; denote it as c. Considering (I-T)(I-T)(x), for  $\|x\|=1$ , call (I-T)x=y. Clearly,  $\|y\|\leq c$ . Looking at  $(I-T)(y)=\|y\|(I-T)\left(\frac{y}{\|y\|}\right)$ , due to the

operator norm again, we see that  $\|(I-T)(\frac{y}{\|y\|}\| \le c$ . Hence, for all  $\|x\| = 1$ , we have that  $\|(I-T)^2(x)\| \le c^2$ . Then,  $\sup\{\|(I-T)(I-T)x\| : \|x\| = 1\} \le c^2$ . Proceeding inductively, by considering  $(I-T)^n(x) = (I-T)(I-T)^{n-1}(x)$ , and using the same argument on  $(I-T)^{n-1}(x)$  as having norm at most  $c^{n-1}$  in the same way, we see that  $\|(I-T)^n\| \le c^n$ .

Now, we consider the sum  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|(I-T)^n\|$ . By the observations above, we have that  $\|(I-T)^n\| \le \|I-T\|^n$ . So, we have a sum:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|(I-T)^n\| \le \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|(I-T)\|^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c^n = \frac{1}{1-c}$$

where we've additionally used the fact that ||I|| = 1, which is clear, and identified this as an infinite geometric series with ratio less than 1. Then, since this is an absolutely convergent sum, and L(E, E) is complete,  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (I-T)^n$  converges.

Now, we wish to show that  $T\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(I-T)^n$  acts as the identity, where we note that because T commutes with its powers, and T commutes with I, that we can write it on the left or right without ambiguity.

First, we look at the partial sums. We claim that  $\sum_{n=0}^{k} T(I-T)^n = -(I-T)^{k+1} + I$ .

The base case is easy. For k = 1, we see that this sum is exactly:

$$TI + T(I - T) = T + T - T^{2} = 2T - T^{2} = -(I - T)^{2} + I$$

Now, suppose this is true for up to k = m. Then, we have that:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{m+1} T(I-T)^n = \sum_{n=0}^{m} T(I-T)^n + T(I-T)^{m+1} = -(I-T)^{m+1} + I + T(I-T)^{m+1} = (I-T)^{m+1}(-I+T) + I = -(I-T)^{m+2} + I$$

as desired. Then, to compute  $T\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(I-T)^n$ , we can take the following limit:

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} T \sum_{n=0}^{m} (I - T)^n = \lim_{m \to \infty} -(I - T)^{m+2} + I$$

and because of the the work done with the norm, since  $\|-(I-T)^{m+2}\| \le \|I-T\|^{m+2}$ , this goes to the 0 map as  $m \to \infty$ . Hence:

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} T \sum_{n=0}^{m} (I - T)^n = I$$

and hence, T is bijective with  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (I-T)^n$  as a left and right inverse, with the sum bounded. 5.2)

We consider the related operator  $T^{-1}(S-T)=T^{-1}S-I$ . By adapting the argument in the first part of 5.1, we see that  $||T^{-1}(S-T)|| \leq ||T^{-1}|| ||S-T||$ , where we do the same trick on considering  $T^{-1}[(S-T)(x)]/||(S-T)(x)||$ . So, we have that:

$$\|T^{-1}S - I\| = \|T^{-1}(S - T)\| \le \|T^{-1}\|\|S - T\| < \|T^{-1}\|\|T^{-1}\|^{-1} = 1$$

Thus, by 5.1 then,  $T^{-1}S$  is invertible. But T is already invertible, and the composition of invertible bounded linear operators is invertible (as composition of bijective is bijective, composition of bounded is still bounded pretty easily:  $||f \circ g(x)|| \le c_f ||g(x)|| \le c_f c_g ||x||$ , and invertibility comes from, for  $f \circ g$ , considering  $g^{-1} \circ f^{-1}$ ). Hence,  $T \circ T^{-1}S = S$  is invertible.

Thus, we have shown that there exists open ball around any invertible operator T in B(E, E) composed of invertible operators. Hence, by the local criterion for an open set, the set of invertible operators in B(E, E) is open.

Question 8. Suppose that  $\mathcal{H}$  is a Hilbert space,  $T \in L(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H})$ .

8.1) Show that there exists a unique element that we denote  $T^* \in L(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H})$  such that  $\langle Tx, y \rangle = \langle x, T^*y \rangle$  for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ . Call  $T^*$  the adjoint of T.

- 8.2) Prove that  $T^* = V^{-1}T^{\dagger}V$  where V is the conjugate linear isomorphism from  $\mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}^*$  defined as  $(Vy)(x) = \langle x, y \rangle$ .
  - 8.3) Prove that  $||T^*|| = ||T||$ ,  $||TT^*|| = ||T||^2$ ,  $(aS + bT)^* = \overline{a}S^* + \overline{b}T^*$ ,  $(ST)^* = T^*S^*$ , and  $T^{**} = T$ .
- 8.4) Let R(T), N(T) denote the range and nullspace of T, respectively. Prove that  $R(T)^{\perp} = N(T^*)$  and  $N(T)^{\perp} = \overline{R(T^*)}$ .
  - 8.5) Show that T is unitary if and only if T is invertible, with  $T^{-1} = T^*$ .

Solution. 8.1)

Suppose there exists another  $T' \in L(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H})$  such that  $\langle x, T'y \rangle = \langle Tx, y \rangle = \langle x, T^*y \rangle$ .

Then, we consider  $\langle x, T'y \rangle - \langle x, T^*y \rangle = 0$ . By conjugate symmetry, we have that:

$$\overline{\langle T'y, x \rangle} - \overline{\langle T^*y, x \rangle} = 0$$

But, the complex conjugate distributes over addition, so:

$$\overline{\langle T'y, x \rangle - \langle T^*y, x \rangle} = 0$$

Now, using linearity of the first term, we have that:

$$\overline{\langle T'y - T^*y, x \rangle} = 0$$

Since x is arbitrary, we may choose x as  $T'y - T^*y$ . Since the inner product is real in this case, and as a Hilbert space, extends to a norm, we have that

$$\langle T'y - T^*y, T'y - T^*y \rangle = 0 \implies ||T'y - T^*y||^2 = 0 \implies ||T'y - T^*y|| = 0$$

Hence, by the properties of the norm, we have that  $T'y - T^*y = 0 \implies T^*y = T'y$ . Since y was arbitrary, this implies that  $T' = T^*$  on all of  $\mathcal{H}$ .

8.2)

We consider the action of  $V^{-1}T^{\dagger}V$  on a test vector y. By definition,  $V(y) = f_y \in \mathcal{H}^*$ , which acts via  $f_y(x) = \langle x, y \rangle$ . Then, again by definition,  $T^{\dagger}$  acts on  $f_y(x)$ , sending it to the functional that acts via  $\tilde{f}_y(x) = \langle T(x), y \rangle$ . Lastly,  $V^{-1}$  takes  $\tilde{f}_y$  and sends it back to  $\mathcal{H}$  to z, such that z is the unique element in  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $\langle x, z \rangle = \langle T(x), y \rangle$ , due to the definition of  $\tilde{f}_y$ . But, letting x, y range over  $\mathcal{H}$ , this is exactly the action of  $T^*$ . Since  $T^*$  is unique, this is an equality of operators.

8.3)

First, we prove that  $(T^*)^* = T$ . Let x, y be arbitrary elements of  $\mathcal{H}$ , and consider the equation  $\langle T^*x, y \rangle = \langle x, (T^*)^*(y) \rangle$ . We have that following string of equalities:

$$\overline{\langle Ty,x\rangle}=\overline{\langle y,T^*x\rangle}=\langle T^*x,y\rangle=\langle x,(T^*)^*y\rangle=\overline{\langle (T^*)^*y,x\rangle}$$

which implies then that  $\langle Ty, x \rangle = \langle (T^*)^*y, x \rangle \Longrightarrow \langle [T - (T^*)^*](y), x \rangle = 0$  for all x, y. Then, yet again, with the same trick of choosing  $x = [T - (T^*)^*](y)$ , we see that  $T - (T^*)^* = 0$  as operators, and thus  $T = (T^*)^*$ .

Next, we prove a statement on  $V: \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}^*$  that sends  $x \mapsto f_x(y) = \langle y, x \rangle$ . First, let y be any unit norm vector, and we will consider the norm of  $f_y$ . Let x be yet another unit norm vector. Then, by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have that:

$$||f_y(x)|| = |\langle x, y \rangle| \le ||x|| ||y|| \le 1$$

where we have used the fact that ||x||, ||y|| = 1. Furthermore, by choosing x = y, we see that this attains 1. Thus, we have that  $||f_y|| = 1$ . Since this is true for all y, we may conclude that ||V|| = 1. Considering the fact that  $V^{-1} \circ V$  acts on identity on  $\mathcal{H}$  (or, equivalently,  $V \circ V^{-1}$  on  $\mathcal{H}$ ), we can conclude that  $||V^{-1}|| = 1$ .

Finally, we look at  $||T^{\dagger}||$ . Letting f be a unit norm vector in  $\mathcal{H}^*$ . Via the isomorphism that identifies  $y \in \mathcal{H}$  with  $f_y(x) = \langle x, y \rangle$ , it is clear that  $||y|| = 1 \iff ||f_y|| = 1$  due to Cauchy-Schwarz. Suppose  $||f_y|| = 1$ . Then, for  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  with unit norm, we have that:

$$|f_y(x)| \le ||x|| ||y|| \le \left\| \frac{y}{||y||} \right\| ||y|| = ||y||$$

where Cauchy-Schwarz guarantees that we achieve equality at  $\frac{y}{f}||y||$ . Then, since this inequality holds for all x, and is independent of x, we see that  $||f_y|| = ||y||$ .

In any case, looking at the action of  $T^{\dagger}$  on  $f_{y}$ , let x be a unit norm vector in  $\mathcal{H}$ , then we see that

$$||T^{\dagger}f_{y}(x)|| = ||f_{y}(T(x))|| = |\langle T(x), y \rangle| \le ||T(x)|| ||y|| \le ||T||$$

where we use the fact that x, y have unit norm. Thus, we may conclude that  $||T^{\dagger}|| \leq ||T||$ .

Then, using the same argument as used in 5.1 for showing that the operator norm is submultiplicative, we see that:

$$||T^*|| = ||V^{-1}T^{\dagger}V|| < ||V^{-1}|| ||T^{\dagger}|| ||V|| = ||T^{\dagger}|| < ||T||$$

However, we already have that  $T = (T^*)^*$ , so we may run this same argument with  $||T|| = ||(T^*)^*|| = ||(V')^{-1}(T^*)^{\dagger}V'|| \le ||T^*||$  with V' as the isomorphism from  $\mathcal{H}^* \to \mathcal{H}$  in the same way. Thus, we have that  $||T|| = ||T^*||$ .

Now, let x have unit norm. Then, we look at the following string of inequalities:

$$||Tx||^2 = \langle Tx, Tx \rangle = \langle x, T^*Tx \rangle \le ||x|| ||T^*Tx|| \le ||x|| ||T^*T|| ||x|| = ||T^*T||$$

where we notice since  $\langle Tx, Tx \rangle = \langle x, T^*Tx \rangle$ , the right side is positive and real, and thus is equal to its absolute value, where we use Cauchy-Schwarz.

Since this is true for all x with unit norm, this implies  $||T||^2 \le ||T^*T||$ . But by submultiplicativity, we have that  $||T^*T|| \le ||T^*|| ||T|| = ||T||^2$  from  $||T^*|| = ||T||$ . Hence,  $||T^*T|| = ||T||^2$ . We will see later that since  $(T^*T)^* = T^*T$ , and  $||T|| = ||T^*||$  will show this to be equivalent to the problem statement.

To see  $(aS+bT)^* = \overline{a}S^* + \overline{b}T^*$  is easy via the conjugate linearity of V, as clearly,  $V^{-1}$  must be conjugate linear itself since if we consider  $kf_y(x) = k\langle x, y \rangle = \langle x, \overline{k}y \rangle$ , evidently,  $V(\overline{k}y) = kf_y$ , and so  $V^{-1}(kf_y) = \overline{k}f_y$ . We see that:

$$(aS + bT)^*(y) = V^{-1}(aS + bT)^{\dagger}V(y) = V^{-1}(aS + bT)^{\dagger}f_y = V^{-1}(f_y \circ (aS + bT)) = V^{-1}[a(f_y \circ S) + b(f_y \circ T)] = \overline{a}V^{-1}f_y \circ S + \overline{b}V^{-1}f_y \circ T = \overline{a}S^* + \overline{b}T^*(y)$$

since this is true for arbitrary  $y \in \mathcal{H}$ , this is an equality of operators. Similarly:

$$(ST)^*(y) = V^{-1}(ST)^{\dagger}V(y) = V^{-1}(ST)^{\dagger}f_y$$

Considering an arbitrary  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ , we see that:

$$(ST)^{\dagger} f_y(x) = f_y(ST(x)) = S^{\dagger} f_y(T(x)) = T^{\dagger} \circ S^{\dagger} \circ f_y(x)$$

Since this is true for all x, y, we have that:

$$(ST)^* = V^{-1} \circ T^{\dagger} \circ S^{\dagger} \circ V$$

On the other hand, by definition, we have that:

$$T^*S^* = (V^{-1} \circ T^\dagger \circ V) \circ (V^{-1} \circ S^\dagger \circ V) = V^{-1} \circ T^\dagger \circ I \circ S^\dagger \circ V = V^{-1} \circ T^\dagger \circ S^\dagger \circ V$$

completing our proof.

8.4)

Recall that the definition of  $R(T)^{\perp} = \{x \in \mathcal{H} : \langle x, y \rangle = 0 \forall y \in R(T)\}.$ 

First, suppose  $y \in R(T)^{\perp}$ . Then, by definition, we have that  $\langle y, Tx \rangle = \langle Tx, y \rangle = 0$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ . Then, we have that  $\langle x, T^*y \rangle = 0$ . Specifically, this must be true for  $x = T^*y$ , which implies that  $T^*y = 0$ . Thus,  $R(T)^{\perp} \subseteq N(T^*)$ .

Next, suppose  $y \in N(T^*)$ . Then, we have that  $\langle x, T^*(y) \rangle = 0$  for all x, which we can see by the Schwarz inequality, and how  $||T^*y|| = 0$ . Then, we have that  $\langle T(x), y \rangle = 0$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ , which implies that  $\langle y, T(x) \rangle = 0$ , and thus by definition again,  $y \in R(T)^{\perp}$ .

Now, from the first part, we have that:

$$N(T)^{\perp} = N(T^{**})^{\perp} = (R(T^{*})^{\perp})^{\perp}$$

It should be clear that for X a subset, that  $X \subset (X^{\perp})^{\perp}$ , as for any  $x \in X$ , we have that:

$$\langle y, x \rangle = 0 = \overline{\langle x, y \rangle} = \langle x, y \rangle$$

for any  $y \in X^{\perp}$ . However, we see that the last expression is exactly the defining statement of  $(X^{\perp})^{\perp}$ . Hence,  $X \subset (X^{\perp})^{\perp}$ . So, we have that  $R(T^*) \subseteq N(T)^{\perp}$ . In particular, from problem 56, this implies that  $N(T)^{\perp}$  is the smallest closed subspace that contains  $R(T^*)$ . But from problem 2.1, since  $R(T^*)$  is a subspace, hence the smallest closed subspace, hence equal to  $N(T)^{\perp}$ .

Folland #56:

Let E be a subset of  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then  $(E^{\perp})^{\perp}$  is the smallest closed subspace containing E.

We have already shown that  $E \subset (E^{\perp})^{\perp}$ . From Proposition 5.21 in Folland, we know that any subset  $E^{\perp}$  is closed. Moreover, from the linearity of the inner product in the first argument, of course this is a vector subspace of  $\mathcal{H}$ . Thus, we need only prove that it is the smallest such closed subspace.

Suppose we have another closed subspace of  $\mathcal{H}$ , call it F such that  $E \subseteq F$ . Then, of course,  $F^{\perp} \subseteq E^{\perp}$ , since if we're orthogonal to all of F, and F contains E, then we're orthogonal to E. Evidently then,  $(E^{\perp})^{\perp} \subseteq (F^{\perp})^{\perp}$ , substituting  $E^{\perp}$  for F, and  $F^{\perp}$  for E above.

Suppose we fix some element  $x \in (F^{\perp})^{\perp}$ . By theorem 5.24 in Folland, since F is a closed subspace, then we can rewrite  $\mathcal{H} = F \oplus F^{\perp}$ , and hence, x = f + f' for  $f \in F, f' \in F^{\perp}$ . But, of course,  $0 = \langle x, f' \rangle = \langle f + f', f' \rangle = \langle f, f' \rangle + \langle f', f' \rangle = \langle f', f' \rangle$ , which implies that f' = 0. Hence, x = f. Since we can do this for all  $x \in (F^{\perp})^{\perp}$ , this implies that  $(F^{\perp})^{\perp} \subseteq F$ . Hence,  $(E^{\perp})^{\perp} \subseteq F$ , and therefore, must be the smallest such closed subspace.

8.5)

The backward direction is easy. We have that:

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \langle T^{-1}Tx, y \rangle = \langle T^*Tx, y \rangle = \langle Tx, T^{**}y \rangle = \langle Tx, Ty \rangle$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ .

On the other hand, suppose T is unitary. Then, we have that:

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \langle Tx, Ty \rangle = \langle T^*T(x), y \rangle$$

Since T is invertible, we can in particular, choose  $x = T^{-1}(z)$ . Then, we have that:

$$\langle T^{-1}z, y \rangle = \langle T^*TT^{-1}(z), y \rangle = \langle T^*z, y \rangle$$

Since z ranges over all of  $\mathcal{H}$  as T is invertible, we can conclude that  $T^{-1} - T^* = 0 \implies T^{-1} = T^*$  everywhere.

Question 12. Let M be a closed subspace of  $L^2([0,1])$ , contained in C([0,1]).

- 12.1) Prove that there exists C > 0 such that  $||f||_u \le C||f||_2$  for all  $f \in M$ .
- 12.2) For each  $x \in [0,1]$ , prove that there exists  $g_x \in M$  such that  $f(x) = \langle f, g_x \rangle$  for all  $f \in M$  and that  $||g_x||_2 \leq C$ .
- 12.3) Show that the dimension of M is at most  $C^2$ , by proving that if  $\{f_k\}$  is any orthogonal sequence in M, then  $\sum_k |f_k(x)|^2 \leq C^2$  for all  $x \in [0,1]$ .

Solution. 12.1)

Consider the inclusion as vector spaces  $i: M \to C([0,1])$ . Evidently, this map is linear, as the addition and scalar multiplication in  $L^2$  and C([0,1]) act in the same way. Then, we wish to show it as closed.

Let  $\{f_n\} \to f$  be a convergent sequence of functions in M, such that  $\{i(f_n)\} \to g \in C([0,1])$ . Suppose  $i(f) = f \neq g$ . Then, there must exist some  $x_0$  such that  $|f(x_0) - g(x_0)| > 0$ . By continuity then, since f - g is continuous as well, there exists a  $\epsilon > 0$  such that for all  $|x - x_0| < \delta$ ,  $|f(x) - g(x)| > \epsilon$ . Note that in the case  $x_0 - \delta < 0$  or  $x_0 + \delta > 1$ , we adjust  $\delta$  to be the smaller of  $\delta$  and the distance to the endpoint. Thus, we have then that:

$$||f - g||_2 = \sqrt{\int_{[0,1]} |f(x) - g(x)|^2 dx} \ge \sqrt{\int_{[x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta]} |f(x) - g(x)|^2 dx} \ge \sqrt{2\delta\epsilon^2}$$

On the other hand, we have that:

$$||f - g||_2 = ||f - f_n||_2 + ||f_n - g||_2$$

Since  $f \to f_n$  in the  $L^2$  norm, we may choose  $N_1$  such that for all  $n > N_1$ ,  $||f - f_n||_2 < \epsilon \sqrt{2\delta}/2$ .

Looking at  $||f_n - g||_2 = \sqrt{\int_{[0,1]} |f_n - g|^2} \le \sqrt{\int_{[0,1]} ||f_n - g||_u^2}$ , since  $f_n \to g$  in the uniform norm, we may choose  $N_2$  such that for all  $n > N_2$ ,  $||f_n - g||_u < \epsilon \sqrt{2\delta}/2$ .

Then, choosing  $n > \max(N_1, N_2)$ , we see that:

$$||f - g||_2 = ||f - f_n||_2 + ||f_n - g||_2 < \epsilon \sqrt{2\delta}/2 + \sqrt{\left(\epsilon \sqrt{2\delta}/2\right)^2} = \epsilon \sqrt{2\delta}$$

Thus,  $\epsilon\sqrt{2\delta} < \epsilon\sqrt{2\delta}$ , a contradiction. Hence, f = g. Therefore, the inclusion is a closed map. Moreover, C([0,1]) is a Banach space under  $\|\cdot\|_u$ . Moreover, since M is a closed subspace of a Banach space, it is itself a Banach space with the same norm. Hence, by the closed graph theorem (5.12, Folland), we have that because the inclusion is a closed linear map, then it is bounded.

By the definition of a bounded linear map then, we have that there exists a C > 0 such that  $||i(f)||_u = ||f||_u \le C||f||_2$ .

12.2)

First, we note that since M is a closed subspace of a Hilbert space, it too is a Hilbert space with the same inner product as  $L^2$ , restricted to M.

Consider the map that takes a function in M and evaluates it at a point  $x \in [0, 1]$ . Denote this map as  $T_x : M \to F$ , for F our base field.

Clearly, this map is linear, since  $T_x(af+bg)=(af+bg)(x)=af(x)+bg(x)$ , due to how addition and scalar multiplication of functions is defined pointwise. Moreover, of course,  $|T_x(f)|=|f(x)|\leq |f|_u$ , as the uniform norm is the supremum over all  $x\in[0,1]$ . But, by 12.1, this is at most  $C||f||_2$ . Hence,  $T_x$  is bounded. Since  $T_x$  is a bounded linear functional, it belongs to  $M^*$ . But then, by Theorem 5.25 (Folland), there exists a unique  $g_x\in M$  such that  $f(x)=T_x(f)=\langle f,g_x\rangle$ , for all  $f\in M$ .

In particular, we have that:

$$||g_x||_2^2 = \langle g_x, g_x \rangle = T_x(g_x) = g_x(x) \le ||g_x||_u \le C||g_x||_2$$

Assuming first that  $||g_x||_2^2 \neq 0$ , this implies after dividing both sides by  $||g_x||_2$ , that:

$$||q_x||_2 < C$$

and we notice that if  $g_x = 0$ , then this inequality is still satisfied.

Let  $\{f_k\}$  be an orthogonal sequence in M. We may replace this with an orthonormal sequence by replacing  $f_k$  with  $f_k/\|f_k\|_2$ . Further, restrict to a finite sequence, restricting to a subsequence if need be - say that  $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^N$  is our orthonormal subsequence. Fix an  $x \in [0,1]$ . By 12.2, there exists  $g_x$  such that  $f(x) = \langle f, g_x \rangle$  for all  $f \in M$ . Thus, we have that:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N} |f_n(x)|^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{N} |\langle f_n, g_x \rangle|^2$$

Now, by Bessel's Inequality, after using the fact that  $|\langle f_n, g_x \rangle|^2 = |\langle g_x, f_n \rangle|^2$ , since the modulus of the transpose is equal to the original modulus:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N} |\langle g_x, f_k \rangle|^2 \le ||g_x||_2^2$$

and by 12.2, we have that this quantity is at most  $C^2$ . Hence, we have that:

$$\sum_{k}^{N} |f_n(x)|^2 \le C^2$$

for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ .

12.3)

Then, we have that:

$$\sum_{k} \|f_n\|_2^2 = \sum_{k} \int_{[0,1]} |f_n|^2 dx$$

Question 20. Recall that  $L^p$  denotes the space of real-valued functions such that their p-th power is integrable. Suppose that  $||f_0||_{L_p} = ||f_1||_{L_p} = 1$ . Define

$$f_t = (1-t)f_0 + tf_1$$

Of course,  $||f_t||_{L_p} < 1$  for all  $t \in (0,1)$  unless  $f_0 = f_1$ .

20.1)

Let  $f \in L^p$ ,  $g \in L^q$ , with 1/p + 1/q = 1,  $||f||_{L^p} = 1$ ,  $||g||_{L^q} = 1$ . Show that if

$$\int fgd\mu = 1$$

8

then  $f(r) = \operatorname{sgn}(g(x))|g(x)|^{q-1}$ .

20.2)

Suppose that  $||f_{t'}||_{L^p} = 1$  for some 0 < t' < 1. Suppose that we have  $g \in L^q$  with  $||g||_{L^q} = 1$ , such that:

$$\int f_{t'}gd\mu = 1$$

and denote  $F(t) = \int f_t g d\mu$ . Prove that F(t) = 1 for all  $t \in [0,1]$ , and conclude that  $f_t = f_0$  for all  $t \in [0,1]$ .

20.3)

Show that this fails when  $p = 1, p = \infty$ . What can we say in these cases?

Solution.  $\Box$