

# Homework #8

Eric Tao  
Math 235: Homework #8

November 22, 2022

## 2.1

**Problem 5.2.18.** Suppose that  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . Show that there exists partitions  $\Gamma_k$  of  $[a, b]$  such that  $\Gamma_{k+1}$  is a refinement of  $\Gamma_k$  for each  $k$ , and  $S_{\Gamma_k} \nearrow V[f; a, b]$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

*Solution.* First, we wish to show that for any partition  $\Gamma_k$  and refinement  $\Gamma_{k+1}$ , that  $S_{\Gamma_k} \leq S_{\Gamma_{k+1}}$ .

Let  $S_{\Gamma_k} = \{a = x_0 < \dots < x_i = b\}$  and  $S_{\Gamma_{k+1}} = \{a = y_0 < \dots < y_j = b\}$  be a refinement, where  $i < j$  and for every  $0 \leq i' \leq i$ , there exists a  $j'$  such that  $x_{i'} = y_{j'}$ .

Look at one pair of  $x_{i'}, x_{i'+1}$ . If, in the refinement, we have that  $x_{i'} = y_{j'}$  and  $x_{i'+1} = y_{j'+1}$ , then we have that  $|f(x_{i'+1}) - f(x_{i'})| = |f(y_{j'+1}) - f(y_{j'})|$ . Else, suppose not. Then, we have that  $x_{i'} = y_{j'}$  and  $x_{i'+1} = y_{j'+n}$  for some  $n$ . Then, by liberal usage of the triangle inequality, we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x_{i'+1}) - f(x_{i'})| &= |f(y_{j'+n}) - f(y_{j'})| = |f(y_{j'+n}) - f(y_{j'}) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (f(y_{j'+k}) - f(y_{j'+k-1}))| = \\ &= \left| \sum_{k=1}^n (f(y_{j'+k}) - f(y_{j'+(k-1)})) \right| \leq \sum_{k=1}^n |f(y_{j'+k}) - f(y_{j'+(k-1)})| \end{aligned}$$

Since we may do this for every  $0 \leq i' \leq i$ , that means that  $S_{\Gamma_k} \leq S_{\Gamma_{k+1}}$ .

First, assume  $V[f; a, b] < \infty$ . Now, since  $V[f; a, b]$  is the supremum of  $S_{\Gamma}$  over every partition  $\Gamma$ , we may construct a sequence  $\Gamma_k$  of partitions such that  $V[f; a, b] - S_{\Gamma_k} < 1/k$ .

In particular now, define a new sequence of partitions as such. Let  $\Gamma'_1 = \Gamma_1$ . Then, take  $\Gamma'_i = \Gamma'_{i-1} \cup \Gamma_i$ , where we understand the union operation as meaning to take every point in  $\Gamma'_{i-1}, \Gamma_i$  and create a partition with all points. We notice that for each  $i$ ,  $\Gamma'_i$  is a refinement of both  $\Gamma'_{i-1}, \Gamma_i$ . Then, we have that  $\Gamma'_{i-1} \leq \Gamma'_i$  from the work we did above, and further, we know that  $V[f; a, b] - 1/k \leq S_{\Gamma'_i} \leq V[f; a, b]$  by the choice of the  $\Gamma_i$ 's. Thus, we have an increasing sequence of refinements that converges to  $V[f; a, b]$ .

The unbounded case is clear, instead of taking  $V[f; a, b] - S_{\Gamma_k} < 1/k$ , we simply take  $S_{\Gamma_k} > k$  for each  $k \geq 1$ , and proceed in the same way.

□

**Problem 5.2.21.** Assume that  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is measurable, and suppose that  $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is Lipschitz on the set  $E$ , that is, there exists a  $K \geq 0$  such that:

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq K|x - y| \text{ for all } x, y \in E$$

Prove that  $|f(A)|_e \leq K|A|_e$ , for any  $A \subseteq E$ .

*Solution.* Let  $\{Q_k\}_k$  be a collection of boxes such that  $A \subseteq \cup_k Q_k$ . Let's look at one specific box,  $Q_i$ . Since  $A \subseteq E$ , we can take  $d_i = \sup(\{x - y : x, y \in E \cap Q_i\})$ , where we notice  $d_i \leq \text{Vol}(Q_i)$ . Consider the image of  $f(E \cap Q_i)$ . Since  $f$  is Lipschitz, and  $Q_i \cap E$  an intersection of measurable sets, the image is measurable. In particular, we notice that, for  $x, y \in E \cap Q_i$ , we have:

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq K|x - y| \leq Kd_i$$

Then, if we fix an  $x$ , that means  $f(E \cap Q_i)$  can be contained within an interval of length  $Kd_i$ . We may repeat this process for each  $Q_i$ . We notice, since  $Q_k$  covers  $A$ , then so must  $E \cap Q_k$ . So, we have that

$$|\cup_k f(E \cap Q_k)|_e \leq \sum_k (Kd_k) \leq K \sum_k (d_k) \leq K \sum_k \text{Vol}(Q_k)$$

Since we can do this for any cover by boxes  $Q_k$  of  $A$ ,  $f(A) \subseteq \cup_k f(E \cap Q_k)$  for every collection of boxes, and via the properties of the infimum, we have that:

$$|f(A)|_e \leq K|A|_e$$

□

**Problem 5.2.22.** Fix  $a, b > 0$  and define:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} |x|^a \sin(|x|^{-b}), & x \neq 0 \\ 0, & x = 0 \end{cases}$$

Prove the following:

- (a)  $f \in \text{BV}[-1, 1] \iff a > b$
- (b) If  $a = b$  then  $f \in C^\alpha[-1, 1]$  with exponent  $\alpha = \frac{b}{b+1}$ .
- (c)  $C^\alpha[-1, 1]$  is not contained in  $\text{BV}[-1, 1]$  for any  $0 < \alpha < 1$ .

*Solution.* (a)

First, we notice that  $f$  is symmetric across  $x = 0$ , and so we restrict ourselves to looking on  $[0, 1]$ , and we may drop the absolute values. Computing  $f'$  on  $(0, 1]$ , we find that

$$f' = ax^{a-1} \sin(x^{-b}) + x^a \cos(x^{-b}) - bx^{-b-1} = ax^{a-1} \sin(x^{-b}) - bx^{a-b-1} \cos(x^{-b})$$

Now, we wish to check if this function is in  $L^1[0, 1]$ . We see that, via the triangle inequality, and the fact that  $|\sin(y)|, |\cos(y)| \leq 1$  for all  $y$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 |ax^{a-1} \sin(x^{-b}) - bx^{a-b-1} \cos(x^{-b})| &\leq \int_0^1 |ax^{a-1} \sin(x^{-b})| + \int_0^1 |bx^{a-b-1} \cos(x^{-b})| \leq \\ &\int_0^1 |ax^{a-1}| + \int_0^1 |bx^{a-b-1}| = \int_0^1 ax^{a-1} + \int_0^1 bx^{a-b-1} = \end{aligned}$$

We notice that if  $a = b$ , then the integral on the right diverges, since the integral becomes  $\int_0^1 bx^{-1} = b \ln(x) \Big|_0^1$  which diverges. So, here, we take the case  $a \neq b$ :

$$x^a \Big|_0^1 + \frac{b}{a-b} x^{a-b} \Big|_0^1 = 1 + \frac{b}{a-b} x^{a-b} \Big|_0^1$$

Here, we notice that if  $a < b$ , that the remaining integrand goes to infinity at 0, but if we have that  $a > b$ , then:

$$1 + \frac{b}{a-b} x^{a-b} = 1 + \frac{b}{a-b} = \frac{a}{a-b} < \infty$$

So, we have then that if  $a > b$ , then  $\|f'\|_1 < \infty$ , and thus, by 5.2.9,  $f \in \text{BV}[0, 1]$ .

Now, we consider a partition with form  $\Gamma_k = \{1 > (2/\pi)^{1/b} > \dots > (2/k\pi)^{1/b} > 0\}$ , where we take  $k \geq 4$ . Let's compute  $S_{\Gamma_k}$ .

$$S_{\Gamma_k} = |\sin(1) - (2/\pi)^{a/b} \sin(\pi/2)| + |(2/\pi)^{a/b} \sin(\pi/2) - (2/2\pi)^{a/b} \sin(2\pi/2)| + \dots + |(2/k\pi)^{a/b} \sin(k\pi/2) - 0| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} |(2/i\pi)^{a/b} \sin(i\pi/2) - (2/(i+1)\pi)^{a/b} \sin((i+1)\pi/2)|$$

where we've omitted the first and last term. We notice, that  $\sin(i\pi/2)$  is 0 whenever  $i$  is even. Then, we can rewrite this as:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} |(2/i\pi)^{a/b} \sin(i\pi/2) - (2/(i+1)\pi)^{a/b} \sin((i+1)\pi/2)| = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor - 1} (2/(2i+1)\pi)^{a/b}$$

Because we count each odd  $(2/i\pi)$  twice, once with  $i-1$ , and once with  $i+1$ , and we drop the sin and absolute values, because sin takes on  $\pm 1$ . We also ignore  $2i-1=1$ , because it's only counted once, due to the  $\sin(1)$  term.

Here, we consider the sum  $2 \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor - 1} (2/(2i+1)\pi)^{a/b} = 2(2/\pi)^{a/b} \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor - 1} (1/(2i+1))^{a/b}$ . We recognize this as some constant times the sum of odd reciprocals. In particular, we know that as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , this sum diverges so long as  $a/b \leq 1$ . Thus, since we have found a partition that diverges,  $V[f; a, b]$  must diverge as well, since we can always union this sequence of partitions into any other partition. Therefore, for  $f \in bv[a, b]$ ,  $a/b > 1$ , and thus,  $a > b$ .

Therefore, we have a biconditional.

(b)

Suppose  $a = b$ , then  $f = x^b \sin(x^{-b})$  on  $(0, 1]$ . Again, we restrict ourselves to looking on  $(0, 1]$  due to symmetry, as if it is true here, then it is true on all of  $[0, 1]$

First, suppose  $0 < x < y \leq 1$ , define  $h = y - x$ , and then consider the case where  $h \leq x^b + 1$ .

We have, via the Mean Value Theorem, that because  $f$  is differentiable on  $(0, 1]$ , that  $|f(x) - f(y)| = |f'(t)|h$  for some  $x < t < b$ . From part (a), we computed the derivative as:

$$f'(x) = bx^{b-1} \sin(x^{-b}) - bx^{-1} \cos(x^{-b}) = bx^{-1}(x^b \sin(x^{-b}) - \cos(x^{-b}))$$

We notice, that because sin, cos are bounded by  $\pm 1$ , and since  $t \in [0, 1]$ , we have that  $t^b \in (0, 1)$ , we may take the estimate:

$$|f'(t)| = |bt^{-1}||t^b \sin(t^{-b}) - \cos(t^{-b})| \leq |b/t|(|t^b \sin(t^{-b})| + |\cos(t^{-b})|) \leq 2b/t$$

Then, we have that  $|f(x) - f(y)| = |f'(t)|h \leq 2bh/t$ . Now, we have that  $x < t < y$ , so therefore, since  $b+1 > 0$ , we have that  $x^{b+1} \geq t^{b+1}$ , and by our case, this implies that  $t^{b+1} > h \implies t > h^{1/(b+1)}$ . Since this is a lower bound for  $t$ , this is an upper bound for the fraction  $2b/t$ , so we have that:

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq 2bh/t \leq 2bh/h^{1/(b+1)} = 2bh^{1-1/(b+1)} = 2bh^{b/b+1}$$

Since  $2b$  is a constant, we have  $b/b+1$  as a Hölder exponent in this case.

Now, suppose  $h > x^{b+1}$ .

If we look at  $|f(y) - f(x)|$ , we have that:

$$|f(y) - f(x)| \leq |f(y)| + |f(x)| \leq |y^b \sin(y^{-b})| + |x^b \sin(x^{-b})| \leq y^b + x^b$$

Now, from our case, we already have that because  $x^{b+1} < h$ , since  $b > 0 \implies b/b+1 > 0$ , we may take both sides to the  $b/b+1$ -th power, and obtain that  $(x^{b+1})^{b/b+1} < h^{b/b+1} \implies x^b < h^\alpha$ .

On the other hand, we look at  $y^b/h^\alpha$ . In particular, since  $0 < b/b + 1 < 1, 0 < h < 1$ , we have that  $y^b/h^\alpha \leq y^b/h^b = (y/y - x)^b$ . We notice here that because  $h > x^{b+1}$ , that instead, if we view this as fixing a  $y$ ,  $h$  can be no less than some constant multiple of  $y$ ,  $Cy$ , as otherwise,  $x$  cannot get too close to  $y$  without making  $h \leq x^{b+1}$ . Then, we have that:

$$|f(y) - f(x)| \leq y^b + x^b \leq h^\alpha + C^b h^\alpha = (1 + C^b)h^\alpha$$

Now, to finish, we just take our Hölder constant to be the max of  $(1 + C^b), 2b$  and we are done.

(c)

Fix an  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . Then, since  $\alpha = b/b + 1 = 1 - 1/b + 1$ , we have that  $1/(1 + b) = 1 - \alpha \implies b + 1 = 1/(1 - \alpha) \implies b = \alpha/(1 - \alpha)$ . By our choice of  $\alpha$ ,  $b > 0$ . Then, from part (a), (b), we may find a function  $f_b$  defined as above with this choice of  $b$  such that it belongs to  $C^\alpha[-1, 1]$  but does not belong to  $BV[-1, 1]$ .  $\square$

**Problem 5.2.23.** (a) Suppose that  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a sequence of complex-valued functions  $f_n : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and that  $f_n \rightarrow f$  pointwise on  $[a, b]$ . Prove that:

$$V[f; a, b] \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} V[f_n; a, b]$$

(b) Exhibit functions  $f_n, f$  such that  $f_n \in BV[a, b]$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $f_n \rightarrow f$  pointwise, but  $f \notin BV[a, b]$ .

*Solution.* (a)

Let  $\Gamma$  be a partition on  $[a, b]$ . Then, by 4.2.18, Fatou's lemma for series, we can say that

$$S_\Gamma = \sum_{j=1}^n |f(x_j) - f(x_{j-1})| = \sum_{j=1}^n \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} |f_n(x_j) - f_n(x_{j-1})| \leq$$

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^n |f_n(x_j) - f_n(x_{j-1})| = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_\Gamma[f_n; a, b]$$

Since this is true for an arbitrary partition, this is true for every partition. Then, since  $V$  is the sup over all  $\Gamma$  of  $S_\Gamma$ , this implies that:

$$V[f; a, b] \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} V[f_n; a, b]$$

(b)

Consider the sequence of functions

$$f_n = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \in [a, a + 1/n) \\ 1/(x - a), & \text{if } x \in [a + 1/n, b] \end{cases}$$

It is clear that this function has bounded variation, because for any  $f_n$ , it is monotone increasing on  $[a, a + 1/n]$  and monotone decreasing on  $[a + 1/n, b]$ , so it has total variation exactly equal to  $n + (n - 1/(b - a)) = 2n - 1/(b - a)$ , thus  $f_n \in BV[a, b]$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . However, this converges to  $1/(x - a)$ , which is not bounded, and thus is not in  $BV[a, b]$ .  $\square$

**Problem 5.2.26.** Prove the following:

(a)  $\|f\| = V[f; a, b]$  defines a seminorm on  $BV[a, b]$  and

$$\|f\|_{BV} = V[f; a, b] + \|f\|_u : f \in BV[a, b]$$

is a norm on  $BV[a, b]$ .

(b)  $BV[a, b]$  is a Banach space with respect to  $\|\cdot\|_{BV}$ .

(c)  $\|f\|_{BV'} = V[f; a, b] + |f(a)|$  defines an equivalent norm for  $BV[a, b]$ . That is, it is a norm, and there exists  $C_1, C_2 > 0$  such that:

$$C_1\|f\|_{BV} \leq \|f\|_{BV'} \leq C_2\|f\|_{BV} : f \in BV[a, b]$$

*Solution.* (a)

Clearly, we have that  $V[f; a, b] \geq 0$  for any  $f \in BV[a, b]$ , because it is the supremum of non-negative numbers. Then, we need only check for the triangle inequality, and factoring scalars.

Let  $f, g \in BV[a, b]$ , and fix a partition  $\Gamma = \{a = x_0 < \dots < x_n = b\}$ . We notice, by the triangle inequality on the complex numbers, we have that, for each  $(x_i, x_{i+1})$ :

$$|f + g(x_{i+1}) - f + g(x_i)| = |f(x_{i+1}) + g(x_{i+1}) - f(x_i) - g(x_i)| \leq |f(x_{i+1}) - f(x_i)| + |g(x_{i+1}) - g(x_i)|$$

Since this is true for every interval in the partition, this implies then that  $S_\Gamma^{f+g} \leq S_\Gamma^f + S_\Gamma^g$ , where we use  $S_\Gamma^f$  to denote the sum for the function  $f$ . Then, since the variation is simply the supremum over all partitions, and this holds for every partition, we have that:

$$\|f + g\| = V[f + g; a, b] \leq V[f; a, b] + V[g; a, b] = \|f\| + \|g\|$$

Now, let  $k \in \mathbb{R}$ . Consider now  $\|kf\|$ . Again, looking at any partition  $\Gamma$ , we see that:

$$|kf(x_{i+1}) - kf(x_i)| = |k||f(x_{i+1}) - f(x_i)|$$

Since this is true for each interval in our partition, it implies that  $S_\Gamma^{kf} = |k|S_\Gamma^f$ . Again, via the properties of the supremum, this implies then that  $\|kf\| = |k|\|f\|$ .

Now, we look at  $\|f\|_{BV} = V[f; a, b] + \|f\|_u : f \in BV[a, b]$ . Because of the fact that we have shown that  $V[f; a, b]$  is a seminorm on  $BV[a, b]$  and that we already know that  $\|f\|_u$  is a norm, we know that this is already a seminorm. Then, it suffices to show that  $\|f\|_{BV} = 0 \implies f = 0$ . Since both portions are non-negative, this implies, in particular,  $\|f\|_u = 0$ . But, because this is a norm, this implies that  $f = 0$ , and we are done. Thus, this is a norm.

(b)

Suppose we have a Cauchy sequence of functions  $f_n \in BV[a, b]$ , that is, such that  $\|f_m - f_n\|_{BV} \rightarrow 0$  as  $m, n \rightarrow \infty$ . By the definition of  $\|\cdot\|_u$ , for this to go to 0, we must have that  $\|f_m - f_n\|_u \rightarrow 0$  as well, that is, it must be Cauchy with respect to the uniform norm. Then, fix any  $x \in [a, b]$ , and look at  $|f_m(x) - f_n(x)|$ . In particular, we have that, for an  $\epsilon > 0$  given, there must be  $N$  such that for all  $m, n > N$ ,  $|f_m(x) - f_n(x)| \leq \|f_m - f_n\|_u < \epsilon$ , by the properties of the supremum. Then, this means that  $f_n(x)$  is a sequence of Cauchy real numbers, and thus convergent. Then, define  $f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x)$ , that is, the point-wise convergence of the sequence.

Now, we claim that if  $f_n$  is Cauchy, then it is convergent to  $f$ , and that  $f \in BV[a, b]$ . Firstly, we see that  $f$  must be bounded, because from the fact that  $f_n \rightarrow f$  in the uniform norm, let  $\epsilon > 0$ , we can see that  $\|f - f_n\|_u < \epsilon$  for at least some  $n$ . Then, by the reverse triangle inequality, we have that  $|\|f\|_u - \|f_n\|_u| < \epsilon \implies -\epsilon < \|f\|_u - \|f_n\|_u < \epsilon \implies -\epsilon < \|f_n\|_u - \epsilon < \|f\|_u < \|f_n\|_u + \epsilon \implies \|f\|_u < \|f_n\|_u + \epsilon < \infty$ .

Now, we wish that  $f$  to be of bounded variation. Because the  $f_n$  are Cauchy in  $\|\cdot\|_{BV}$ , we have that they must be Cauchy as well in  $\|\cdot\|$ , that is, in their variation, since both the uniform norm and the seminorm must go to 0. But, this then implies that the sequence of  $\|f_n\|$  under the seminorm is bounded. Then, if that's bounded, we have from problem 5.2.23 part (a), that:

$$V[f; a, b] \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} V[f_n; a, b] < \infty$$

Thus,  $f \in \text{BV}[a, b]$ . Then, it is clear from the triangle inequality and from the seminorm properties that  $f_n \rightarrow f$  in the seminorm as well, and thus  $f_n \rightarrow f$  in the full norm.

(c)

First, we look at the case  $f(a) \geq 0$ . Then, using the Jordan decomposition on  $f = g - h$  for  $g, h$  monotone increasing, and the seminorm properties to see that  $V[f; a, b] \leq V[g; a, b] + V[h; a, b]$ , we conclude that  $f(a) \leq \|f\|_u \leq f(a) + V[f; a, b]$ , since to maximize  $|f|$ , we would need  $V[h; a, b] = 0$ . We can actually see that this argument works for  $f(a) < 0$ , where instead of taking the positive distance, we take  $V[g; a, b] = 0$  to maximize  $|f|$ . So, we actually have that  $|f(a)| \leq \|f\|_u \leq |f(a)| + V[f; a, b]$ .

Then, we take  $C_1 = 1, C_2 = 2$ .

From  $|f(a)| \leq \|f\|_u$ , we can add  $V[f; a, b]$  to both sides to obtain:

$$\|f\|_{\text{BV}'} = V[f; a, b] + |f(a)| \leq V[f; a, b] + \|f\|_u = \|f\|_{\text{BV}}$$

so we have that  $C_1 \|f\|_{\text{BV}'} = \|f\|_{\text{BV}'} \leq \|f\|_{\text{BV}}$

Further, we have that from the other side, we obtain:

$$\|f\|_u \leq |f(a)| + V[f; a, b] \implies V[f; a, b] + \|f\|_u \leq |f(a)| + 2V[f; a, b]$$

so we can see that:

$$C_2 \|f\|_{\text{BV}'} = 2|f(a)| + 2V[f; a, b] \geq |f(a)| + 2V[f; a, b] \geq V[f; a, b] + \|f\|_u = \|f\|_{\text{BV}}$$

Thus, these norms are equivalent. If you really want the other inclusion, we can reverse the inclusions by dividing via the constants.  $\square$

## 2.2

**Problem 5.3.5.** Assume that  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  satisfies that  $0 < |E|_e < \infty$ , and let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a Vitali covering of  $E$ . Given an  $\epsilon > 0$ , prove that there exist a countable collection of balls  $B_k \in \mathcal{B}$  such that

$$|E \setminus \cup_k B_k|_e = 0 \text{ and } \sum_k |B_k| < |E|_e + \epsilon$$

*Solution.* We first proceed in the same way as the proof of 5.3.3.

Let  $U \supseteq E$  be an open set such that  $|U| < |E|_e + \epsilon$ . Call  $\mathcal{B}'$  the restriction of  $\mathcal{B}$  such that for all  $B \in \mathcal{B}'$ ,  $B \subseteq U$ . Since these were closed sets, and we live in an open set surrounding  $U$ , we must still have a Vitali cover, as we just shrink ourselves to the case where the ball has radius less than the open ball around each point.

Fix any  $B_1 \in \mathcal{B}$  and proceed inductively, picking disjoint balls as follows. Suppose we have picked  $n$  balls. Then, if  $|E \setminus B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_n|_e = 0$  we are done. Otherwise, pick a point in  $E \setminus B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_n$ . Since this has positive measure, we can find an open set around it  $U' \setminus B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_n$ , with set difference of measure less than  $\epsilon$ . Then, we pick  $B_{n+1}$  such that it contains  $x$ , disjoint from the other  $B_1, \dots, B_n$ , and, defining

$$s_n = \sup\{\text{radius}(B) : B \in \mathcal{B}, B \cap B_i, 1 \leq i < n\}$$

such that  $\text{radius}(B_{n+1}) = s_n$ . We continue this process, stopping only if  $|E \setminus B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_N|_e = 0$ , otherwise obtaining a countable collection of disjoint balls. From the argument of 5.3.3, we have that:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |B_k| = |\cup_k B_k| \leq |U| < |E|_e + \epsilon$$

Now, take a point  $x \in E \setminus \cup_k^\infty B_k$ . Fix a  $m$ . By necessity,  $x$  must also be in  $x \in E \setminus \cup_{k=1}^m B_k$ . Then, by the argument in 5.3.3, for some  $i > m$ , it belongs to some  $B_i^*$ , where this is a closed ball with the same center as  $B_i$  but  $\text{radius}(B_i^*) = 5\text{radius}(B_i)$ . Then, we have that:

$$|E \setminus \cup_k^\infty B_k|_e \leq |E \setminus \cup_k^m B_k|_e \leq \sum_{k=m+1}^\infty |B_k^*| = 5^d \sum_{k=m+1}^\infty |B_k|$$

However, since  $\sum_{k=1}^\infty |B_k| < \infty$ , we must have that  $\sum_{k=m+1}^\infty |B_k|$  can be picked arbitrarily small, i.e. we can find  $m_n$  such that  $\sum_{k=m_n+1}^\infty |B_k| < 1/k$ . Since the choice of  $m$  was arbitrary, we can pick this sequence of  $m_n$ 's which implies then that:

$$|E \setminus \cup_k^\infty B_k|_e \leq \sum_{k=m_k+1}^\infty |B_k| < 1/k$$

for every  $k$ . Then, we must have that  $|E \setminus \cup_k B_k|_e = 0$ .

□