

Recall that if V is a smooth hypersurface in k^n cut out by an equation

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$$

Then the gradient $\nabla f = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(x) e_i$ evaluated at a point $v \in V$ is a vector which is normal to V at v . If we define

$$D_v(f)(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(v) x_i$$

then if $\bar{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ is a point in k^n , we have

$$\langle \nabla f(v) | \bar{a} \rangle = D_v(f)(a_1, \dots, a_n).$$

This implies that

$$Z(D_v(f)(x_1, \dots, x_n))$$

consists exactly of those points (vectors) that are orthogonal to ∇f . Hence it makes sense to define the tangent space at $v \in V$ by

$$T_{v,v} = Z(D_v(f)(x_1, \dots, x_n)),$$

or more generally, if $V = Z(I)$ then

$$T_{v,v} = Z(\{D_v(f)(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid f \in I\}).$$

Remark: At a singularity, the gradient will vanish.

26. (Differentials of Morphisms) Let $\varphi : V \rightarrow W$ be a morphism of affine varieties over the algebraically closed field k and suppose $\varphi(v) = w$.

- (a) Show that φ induces a linear map from the k -vector space M_w/M_w^2 to the k -vector space M_v/M_v^2 , and use this to show that φ induces a linear map $d\varphi$ (called the differential of φ) from the k -vector space $\mathbb{T}_{v,V}$ to the k -vector space $\mathbb{T}_{w,W}$.
 (b) Prove that if $V \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$, $W \subseteq \mathbb{A}^m$ and $\varphi = (F_1(x_1, \dots, x_n), \dots, F_m(x_1, \dots, x_n))$ then $d\varphi : \mathbb{T}_{v,V} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_{w,W}$ is given explicitly by

$$(d\varphi)(a_1, \dots, a_n) = (D_v(F_1)(a_1, \dots, a_n), \dots, D_v(F_m)(a_1, \dots, a_n)).$$

[If $g = g(y_1, \dots, y_m)$ show that the chain rule implies

$$\frac{\partial(g \circ \varphi)}{\partial x_i}(v) = \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_j}(w) \frac{\partial F_j}{\partial x_i}(v),$$

so that $D_v(g \circ \varphi)(a_1, \dots, a_n) = D_w(g)(b_1, \dots, b_m)$ where $b_j = D_v(F_j)(a_1, \dots, a_n)$. Then use the fact that $g \circ \varphi \in \mathcal{I}(V)$ if $g \in \mathcal{I}(W)$.]

- (c) If $\psi : U \rightarrow V$ is another morphism with $\psi(u) = v$, prove that the associated $d(\varphi \circ \psi) : \mathbb{T}_{u,U} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_{w,W}$ is the same as $d\varphi \circ d\psi$.
 (d) Prove that if φ is an isomorphism then $d\varphi$ is a vector space isomorphism from $\mathbb{T}_{v,V}$ to $\mathbb{T}_{w,W}$ for every $\varphi(v) = w$.

Solution:

a) The morphism $\varphi : V \rightarrow W$ is determined by a morphism $\tilde{\varphi} : k[W] \rightarrow k[V]$ and $\varphi(v) = w$ means that $\tilde{\varphi}^{-1}(\mathcal{I}(v)) = \mathcal{I}(w)$ where $\mathcal{I}(v) = M_v/\mathcal{I}(V)$ and $\mathcal{I}(w) = M_w/\mathcal{I}(W)$. Hence we get an induced map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \varphi_v : k[W]_{\mathcal{I}(w)} = \mathcal{O}_{w,w} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{v,v} = k[V]_{\mathcal{I}(v)} \\ \downarrow \tilde{\varphi} & \longmapsto & \downarrow \tilde{\varphi} \\ \frac{f}{g} & \longmapsto & \frac{\tilde{\varphi}(f)}{\tilde{\varphi}(g)} \end{array}$$

we have $m_v = \mathcal{I}(v)k[V]_{\mathcal{I}(v)}$ and $m_w = \mathcal{I}(w)k[W]_{\mathcal{I}(w)}$, and hence $m_w \subseteq \varphi_v^{-1}(m_v)$. This gives an induced map $m_w \rightarrow m_v$ and since m_w^2 must map into m_v^2 we have a map $\varphi : m_w/m_w^2 \rightarrow m_v/m_v^2$.

The map of tangent spaces is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} d\varphi : \mathbb{T}_{v,v} \cong \text{Hom}_k(m_v/m_v^2, k) &\longrightarrow \text{Hom}_k(m_w/m_w^2, k) \cong \mathbb{T}_{w,w} \\ \alpha &\longmapsto \alpha \circ \varphi. \end{aligned}$$

we have for $u \in m_w/m_w^2$ that

$$\begin{aligned} d\varphi(a\alpha + b\beta)(u) &= (a\alpha + b\beta)(\gamma(u)) \\ &= a\alpha(\gamma(u)) + b\beta(\gamma(u)) \\ &= a(\alpha \circ \gamma)(u) + b(\beta \circ \gamma)(u) \\ &= a d\varphi(\alpha)(u) + b d\varphi(\beta)(u) \end{aligned}$$

and hence $d\varphi$ is k -linear.

b) The map

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\varphi}: k[w] &\longrightarrow k[v] \quad \text{is given by} \\ p &\longmapsto p \circ F \end{aligned}$$

where $p \circ F(x_1, \dots, x_n) = p(F_1(x_1, \dots, x_n), \dots, F_m(x_1, \dots, x_n))$. We

have $m_w/m_w^2 \cong M_w/M_w^2 + I(w) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\varphi}} m_v/m_v^2 \cong M_v/M_v^2 + I(v)$.

The iso.

$$D: M_v/M_v^2 + I(v) \xrightarrow{\sim} (T_{v,v})^*$$

sends $x_i - v_i$ to the element x_i which is the projection onto the i th coordinate. Hence it induces

$$\begin{aligned} \text{map } T_{v,v} &\cong ((T_{v,v})^*)^* \longrightarrow (M_v/M_v^2 + I(v))^* \\ (f: (T_{v,v})^* &\longrightarrow k) \longmapsto f \circ D \end{aligned}$$

and $f \circ D(x_i - v_i) = f(x_i)$. But the iso.

$$((T_{v,v})^*)^* \xrightarrow{\sim} T_{v,v} \quad \text{sends a function}$$

$f: (T_{v,v})^* \rightarrow k$ to the point $(f(x_1), \dots, f(x_n))$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} T_{v,v} &\xrightarrow{\sim} (M_v/M_v^2 + I(v))^* \quad \text{is given by} \\ a &\longmapsto e_v(a + v), \end{aligned}$$

the evaluation map at $a + v$. Thus we want

to show that

$$d\varphi(e_v(a+v)) = e_v(D_v(F)(a) + w)$$

where $D_v(F)(a) := (D_v(F_1)(a), \dots, D_v(F_m)(a))$. Note that

$$e_v(D_v(F)(a) + w)(y_i - w_i) = D_v(F_i)(a).$$

we have

$$d\varphi(e_v(a+v))(y_i - w_i) = e_v(a+v)(F_i(x) - w_i)$$

and $F_i(x)$ has Taylor expansion

$$F_i(x) = F_i(v) + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial x_j}(v)(x_j - v_j) + \text{"higher order terms"}$$

where all higher order terms lie in M_v^2 .

Hence $F_i(x) = F_i(v) + D_v(F_i)(x - v) \pmod{M_v^2 + I(v)}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} e_v(a+v)(F_i(v) + D_v(F_i)(x - v) - w_i) &= e_v(a+v)(D_v(F_i)(x - v)) \\ &= D_v(F_i)(a). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$