# Design Principles aka Object Oriented Programming

July 4, 2017

### Why?

- Allow change without redesign.
- Allow reuse in other applications.

#### Encapsulate what varies.

- Encapsulate . . .
  - Restrict outside access to a things parts.
  - Bundle operations with the things they use.
- ... what varies.
  - This refers to source code.
  - Source code varies due to changing requirements.
  - Requirements change for a lots of reasons.
  - E.g. A change in government may cause a change in tax law.
- Restrict outside access to parts of the source code that might change due to changing requirements.
- "what [do] you want to be able to change without redesign?"
   (Gamma et al, 1977)

#### Encapsulate what varies . . .

```
class Product {
    public price: number;
}
// We have encapsulated the calculation of tax.
class TaxCalculator {
    public calculateTax(product: Product): number {
        const tax = 0:
        // Do the calculation of tax,
        // which will likely change over time.
        return tax:
}
class FarmStand {
    private cart: Array < Product >:
    public DisplayGrandTotal(): number {
        // Question: What else might we want to change without redesign?
        const taxCalculator = new TaxCalculator():
        return this.cart.reduce((sum, product) => {
            const tax = taxCalculator.calculateTax(product);
            const productGrandTotal = tax + product.price;
            return sum + productGrandTotal;
       }, 0);
```

#### Program to interfaces not to implementations.

- an interface says only what requests it will receive
- an implementation says how it will handle those requests
- programming to interfaces helps because it
  - lets us easily change an implementation, even at runtime
  - allows applications to send the same request to different classes

#### Program to interfaces . . .

```
class Orange implements Juiceable {
    public squeeze() {
        return new Juice("orange juice");
}
class Carrot implements Juiceable {
    public squeeze() {
        return new Juice("carrot juice");
}
// The juicer is programming to interfaces.
// The following only cares that it is dealing with Juiceables.
function orangeCarrotJuice(juiceGarden: JuiceGarden): Array<Juice> {
    const orange: Juiceable = juiceGarden.pickOrange();
    const carrot: Juiceable = juiceGarden.pickCarrot();
    let ingredients: Array < Juiceable > = [orange, carrot];
    return ingredients.map((j: Juiceable) => j.squeeze());
}
```

#### Depend on abstractions not on concrete classes.

- interfaces and abstractions are similar: neither can exist
- concrete classes can exist (i.e. can become objects)
- to depend on something means a direct reference to it
- The Dependency Inversion Principle (Martin, 1996)
  - Traditionally, high-level modules depend on low-level modules:
  - $\bullet \; \mathsf{Higher} \to \mathsf{Middle} \to \mathsf{Lower} \to ...$
  - Dependency Inversion inverts that:
  - Higher  $\rightarrow$  Abstraction  $\leftarrow$  Middle  $\rightarrow$  Abstraction  $\leftarrow$  Lower ...
- When layering, higher-levels define the abstractions
- and lower-levels implement the abstractions.
- Why? Enable reuse of higher-level modules.



#### Depend on abstractions . . .

```
// Both the higher-level juicer and the lower-level components
// depend on an abstraction.
namespace HigherLevel {
    export function juicer(ingredients: Array < Juiceable >): Array < string > {
        // Dependency inversion leverages programming to interfaces.
        return ingredients.map((i) => i.juice());
    }
    // The higher level module owns the abstraction on which it depends.
    export interface Juiceable {
        juice(): string;
}
namespace LowerLevel {
    export class Orange implements HigherLevel.Juiceable {
        public juice() {
            return "orange juice";
    }
    export class Carrot implements HigherLevel. Juiceable {
        public juice() {
            return "carrot juice";
}
```

#### Only talk to your friends.

- The Law of Demeter (Holland, 1987)
- aka The Princple of Least Knowledge
- Why? Promotes loose coupling via encapsulation.
- "Only talk to your friends"
- "Only use one dot"
  - More than one dot is cause for reflection;
  - it is not necessarily a violation of the LoD.
  - E.g. fluent interfaces use many dots.

### Only talk to your friends . . .

}

```
class Farmer {
   private equipment: Array < FarmEquipment >;
   private energyLevel: number;
   // A method of an object may only call methods of:
   public DigHole(place: Place) {
        const shovel = new Shovel();
        while (this.energyLevel > 0) {
           // 1. The object itself.
            this.decreaseEnergyLevel();
           // 2. Any argument of the method.
            const target = place.getHighestPlaceWithin();
            // 3. Any object created within the method.
            shovel.dig(target);
        }
        // 4. Any direct properties/fields of the object.
        this.equipment.push(shovel);
    }
   private decreaseEnergyLevel() {
        this.energyLevel = this.energyLevel - 1;
```

#### Don't call us, we'll call you.

Inversion of Control

#### A class should have only one reason to change.

• The Single Responsibility Principle ()

## Classes should be open to extension and closed for modification.

• The Open-Closed Principle ()

#### Favour composition over inheritance.

• The Liskov Substitution Principle ()

# Strive for loosely coupled designs among objects that interact.

