Tired Light in the Spacetime Superfluid Hypothesis: A Novel Approach to Cosmological Redshift

Eric Edward Albers

September 13,2024

Abstract

This paper presents a novel interpretation of cosmological redshift within the framework of the Spacetime Superfluid Hypothesis (SSH). We revisit the concept of "tired light" in the context of a superfluid spacetime, proposing a mechanism for photon energy loss during propagation through the cosmic medium. Our model provides a physical basis for redshift without invoking universal expansion, potentially offering new insights into longstanding cosmological puzzles. We derive the fundamental equations governing light propagation in superfluid spacetime, present predictions for observational tests, and discuss the implications for our understanding of the cosmos.

1 Introduction

The cosmological redshift, first observed by Edwin Hubble in the late 1920s, has been a cornerstone of modern cosmology for nearly a century [1]. Traditionally interpreted as evidence for an expanding universe, this phenomenon has shaped our understanding of the cosmos and led to the development of the Big Bang theory and the ΛCDM model. However, despite its widespread acceptance, the expanding universe model is not without its challenges and controversies [2].

One alternative interpretation of cosmological redshift that has persisted, albeit on the fringes of mainstream cosmology, is the concept of "tired light" [3]. First proposed by Fritz Zwicky in 1929, the tired light hypothesis suggests that photons lose energy as they travel through space, resulting in a redshift that increases with distance. While this idea has been largely dismissed due to various observational inconsistencies [4], the underlying concept of photon energy loss during propagation remains an intriguing possibility worthy of exploration within new theoretical frameworks.

The Spacetime Superfluid Hypothesis [10] (SSH) offers a novel context in which to revisit and potentially revitalize the tired light concept [4]. By positing that spacetime itself behaves as a superfluid at the most fundamental level, the SSH provides a rich theoretical landscape for reexamining longstanding cosmological puzzles, including the nature of redshift.

In this paper, we present a new approach to tired light within the SSH framework. Our model proposes that photons interact with the underlying spacetime superfluid as they propagate, gradually losing energy through mechanisms analogous to those observed in laboratory superfluids. This approach offers several potential advantages:

1. It provides a physical mechanism for photon energy loss that is grounded in the well-established physics of superfluids.

2. It naturally incorporates quantum mechanical effects into the propagation of light on cosmological scales.

3. It offers the possibility of explaining cosmological redshift without invoking universal expansion, potentially resolving tensions in the standard model.

4. It makes specific, testable predictions that differentiate it from both the standard expanding universe model and traditional tired light hypotheses.

Our exploration begins with an overview of the Spacetime Superfluid Hypothesis[10], providing the necessary context for readers unfamiliar with this framework. We then delve into the fundamental equations of SSH, with a particular focus on how they describe the propagation of electromagnetic waves through the superfluid spacetime medium. Building on this foundation, we introduce our tired light model, deriving the energy loss equations and their cosmological implications.

Crucially, we do not present this model as a replacement for the standard cosmological paradigm, but rather as an alternative worthy of serious consideration and further investigation. To that end, we outline a series of observational tests and predictions that could potentially distinguish our SSH-based tired light model from both the expanding universe model and other alternative cosmologies.

As we embark on this exploration, we invite readers to approach these ideas with both critical skepticism and open-minded curiosity. The history of science is replete with instances where revisiting old ideas in new contexts has led to profound advances in our understanding of the universe. It is in this spirit that we offer our SSH-based tired light model as a contribution to the ongoing dialogue in theoretical cosmology.

2 Overview of the Spacetime Superfluid Hypothesis

The Spacetime Superfluid Hypothesis[10] (SSH) proposes that the fabric of spacetime, at its most fundamental level, behaves as a quantum superfluid [1]. This radical idea draws inspiration from both quantum field theory and condensed matter physics, suggesting that the smooth, continuous spacetime we experience at macroscopic scales emerges from the collective behavior of quantum-scale constituents.

2.1 Basic Premise

In the SSH framework, spacetime is conceived as a Bose-Einstein condensate of extremely low-mass, spin-2 bosons. These hypothetical particles, which we might call "spaceons," condense into a coherent quantum state that extends throughout the universe. The superfluid nature of this condensate gives rise to several key features:

1. **Quantum Coherence:** The spacetime superfluid maintains quantum coherence over cosmic scales, providing a natural mechanism for non-local effects in quantum mechanics.

2. **Emergent Geometry:** The classical geometry of spacetime emerges as a low-energy effective description of the superfluid's dynamics.

3. **Topological Defects:** Particles and fields are represented as topological defects or excitations in the superfluid.

4. **Quantum Gravity:** Gravitational effects arise from density variations and flow patterns in the superfluid, offering a path towards reconciling quantum mechanics with gravity.

2.2 Historical Context and Motivation

The SSH draws inspiration from several lines of research in theoretical physics:

- **Analog Gravity:** Studies of acoustic black holes in superfluids have revealed deep connections between fluid dynamics and gravitational physics [7].
- **Emergent Spacetime:** Various approaches to quantum gravity, including loop quantum gravity and causal set theory, suggest that classical spacetime may be an emergent phenomenon [8].
- **Ether Theories:** While the classical ether was definitively ruled out by the Michelson-Morley experiment, modern "ether" theories based on quantum field theory have been proposed as alternatives to dark matter and dark energy [9].

The SSH synthesizes these ideas into a coherent framework, aiming to address fundamental questions in physics such as the nature of quantum measurement, the origin of inertia, and the cosmological constant problem.

2.3 Key Differences from Standard Models

The SSH differs from standard cosmological and particle physics models in several crucial ways:

1. **Non-Expanding Universe:** Unlike the standard ΛCDM model, the SSH does not require an expanding universe to explain cosmological redshift.
2. **Emergent Particles:** Rather than being fundamental entities, particles emerge as excitations of the underlying superfluid.
3. **Modified Quantum Mechanics:** The SSH suggests modifications to quantum mechanics at very large and very small scales due to interactions with the superfluid.
4. **Alternative to Inflation:** The SSH offers a different explanation for the uniformity of the cosmic microwave background, based on the quantum coherence of the superfluid.

These differences lead to novel predictions and reinterpretations of established phenomena, as we will explore in the context of tired light in subsequent sections.

3 Fundamental Equations of SSH

The Spacetime Superfluid Hypothesis [10] (SSH) is mathematically formulated through a set of coupled equations that describe the dynamics of the superfluid and its interactions with matter and fields. In this section, we present the core equations of the SSH framework, with a particular focus on those relevant to the propagation of electromagnetic waves.

3.1 Non-linear Schrödinger Equation for the Superfluid

The behavior of the spacetime superfluid is governed by a modified version of the non-linear Schrödinger equation (NLSE):

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2\psi + V(\psi)\psi + \alpha(\mathbf{E}^2 - \mathbf{B}^2)\psi \tag{1}$$

where:

- ψ is the complex order parameter of the superfluid
- m is the effective mass of the superfluid particles
- $V(\psi)$ is a potential term that depends on the local density of the superfluid
- α is a coupling constant between the superfluid and electromagnetic fields
- $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ are the electric and magnetic fields, respectively

The potential term $V(\psi)$ typically takes the form:

$$V(\psi) = \mu|\psi|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2}|\psi|^4 \tag{2}$$

where μ is the chemical potential and λ characterizes the strength of nonlinear interactions within the superfluid.

3.2 Modified Maxwell's Equations

The propagation of electromagnetic fields in the superfluid spacetime is described by a modified version of Maxwell's equations:

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{D} = \rho \tag{3}$$
$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0 \tag{4}$$
$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \tag{5}$$
$$\nabla \times \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{J} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}}{\partial t} \tag{6}$$

where:

$$\mathbf{D} = \epsilon_0 \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{P}(\psi) \tag{7}$$
$$\mathbf{H} = \frac{1}{\mu_0}\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{M}(\psi) \tag{8}$$

The polarization $\mathbf{P}(\psi)$ and magnetization $\mathbf{M}(\psi)$ of the superfluid are given by:

$$\mathbf{P}(\psi) = \chi_e |\psi|^2 \mathbf{E} \tag{9}$$
$$\mathbf{M}(\psi) = \chi_m |\psi|^2 \mathbf{B} \tag{10}$$

where χ_e and χ_m are the electric and magnetic susceptibilities of the superfluid, respectively.

3.3 Coupling between Electromagnetic Fields and Superfluid

The interaction between the electromagnetic fields and the superfluid is captured by the coupling term in the NLSE and the modified constitutive relations in Maxwell's equations. This coupling leads to several important effects:

1. **Effective Refractive Index:** The superfluid induces a position-dependent refractive index, affecting the propagation of light.

2. **Nonlinear Optics:** The nonlinear term in the NLSE can lead to effects analogous to those in nonlinear optics, such as self-focusing and soliton formation.

3. **Dissipation:** Interactions between photons and superfluid excitations can lead to energy loss, forming the basis for our tired light model.

3.4 Gravitational Effects

In the SSH framework, gravitational effects arise from variations in the density and phase of the superfluid. The metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}$ is related to the superfluid order parameter through:

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}(\psi) \tag{11}$$

where $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ is the Minkowski metric and $h_{\mu\nu}(\psi)$ represents perturbations due to the superfluid. The exact form of $h_{\mu\nu}(\psi)$ depends on the specific model, but generally involves gradients of the superfluid density and velocity.

These fundamental equations form the mathematical foundation of the SSH framework. In the next section, we will build upon this foundation to develop our tired light model, showing how the interaction between light and the spacetime superfluid can lead to cosmological redshift without universal expansion.

4 Particle Representation in SSH

In the Spacetime Superfluid Hypothesis [10] (SSH), particles are not viewed as fundamental entities but rather as emergent phenomena arising from the dynamics of the underlying superfluid. This perspective offers a novel approach to understanding particle properties and interactions. In this section, we explore how particles are represented within the SSH framework, with a particular focus on the implications for photons and their propagation.

4.1 Soliton Solutions as Particle Representations

In the SSH, particles are modeled as soliton-like solutions to the non-linear Schrödinger equation (NLSE) governing the superfluid. These solitons are localized, stable excitations of the superfluid that maintain their shape as they propagate. The general form of a soliton solution in SSH is:

$$\psi_{\text{particle}}(\mathbf{r}, t) = f(r)e^{i(S(\mathbf{r})-\omega t)} \tag{12}$$

where $f(r)$ is a radial profile function, $S(\mathbf{r})$ is a phase function, and ω is the frequency associated with the particle's energy.

Different particle types correspond to different topological configurations of these soliton solutions:

- **Fermions** (e.g., electrons, quarks) are represented by solitons with half-integer winding numbers in their phase function.

- **Bosons** (e.g., photons, gluons) correspond to solitons with integer winding numbers.
- **Composite particles** (e.g., protons, neutrons) emerge as bound states of multiple solitons.

4.2 Topological Charges and Particle Properties

The topological properties of soliton solutions in SSH are closely related to the quantum numbers of particles:

1. **Electric Charge:** Related to the winding number of the soliton's phase function.
2. **Spin:** Corresponds to the rotational symmetry of the soliton configuration.
3. **Mass:** Proportional to the energy of the soliton solution, which depends on both its amplitude and spatial extent.
4. **Flavor and Color:** Arise from more complex topological features, such as knot-like structures in the superfluid.

For photons, which are massless bosons, the soliton solution takes a special form:

$$\psi_{\text{photon}}(\mathbf{r}, t) = Ae^{i(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}-\omega t)} \tag{13}$$

where A is the amplitude, $\mathbf{k}$ is the wave vector, and $\omega = c|\mathbf{k}|$ is the frequency.

4.3 Particle Interactions in SSH

Interactions between particles in the SSH framework are mediated by the superfluid medium. When solitons representing different particles come into proximity, they interact through:

- **Overlap of their wave functions:** The interference between soliton solutions leads to effective forces between particles.
- **Exchange of superfluid excitations:** This process is analogous to the exchange of virtual particles in quantum field theory.

- **Modifications of the local superfluid properties:** Particles can induce local changes in the superfluid density or phase, affecting other nearby particles.

These interaction mechanisms provide a unified description of all fundamental forces within the SSH framework.

4.4 Implications for Photon Propagation

The representation of photons as soliton solutions in the superfluid has important implications for their propagation:

1. **Wavelength-Dependent Propagation:** The interaction between photon solitons and the superfluid depends on the photon's wavelength, potentially leading to dispersive effects.

2. **Energy Exchange:** Photons can exchange energy with the superfluid medium, forming the basis for our tired light model.

3. **Nonlinear Effects:** At high intensities, photon solitons can induce significant changes in the superfluid, leading to nonlinear optical phenomena.

These features of photon propagation in the SSH framework will be crucial in developing our tired light model in the next section.

5 Tired Light in the Spacetime Superfluid Hypothesis

Building upon the foundations laid in the previous sections, we now present our tired light model within the Spacetime Superfluid Hypothesis [10] (SSH) framework. This model proposes that the cosmological redshift can be explained by the gradual loss of photon energy during propagation through the superfluid spacetime, without the need for universal expansion.

5.1 Energy Loss Mechanism

In our SSH-based tired light model, photons lose energy through interactions with excitations in the spacetime superfluid. We propose two primary mechanisms for this energy loss:

1. **Phonon Emission:** Photons can emit low-energy phonons (quantized sound waves) in the superfluid, gradually decreasing their energy.

2. **Induced Stimulation:** Photons can stimulate transitions between different energy states of the superfluid, transferring energy in the process.

These mechanisms can be described mathematically by adding dissipative terms to the equation governing photon propagation:

$$\left(\nabla^2 - \frac{1}{c^2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\right)\psi_{\text{photon}} = -\gamma(\omega)\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi_{\text{photon}} - \beta(\omega)|\psi_{\text{photon}}|^2\psi_{\text{photon}} \tag{14}$$

where $\gamma(\omega)$ represents linear dissipation (phonon emission) and $\beta(\omega)$ represents nonlinear dissipation (induced stimulation), both of which depend on the photon frequency ω.

5.2 Redshift-Distance Relation

The energy loss mechanisms lead to a redshift that increases with distance traveled. We can derive the redshift-distance relation in our model:

$$1 + z = \exp\left(\int_0^r [\gamma(\omega) + \beta(\omega)I]\, dr'\right) \tag{15}$$

where z is the redshift, r is the distance traveled, and I is the photon intensity. For low redshifts, this relation approximates the linear Hubble law:

$$z \approx H_0 r/c \tag{16}$$

where $H_0 = \langle\gamma(\omega) + \beta(\omega)I\rangle c$ plays the role of the Hubble constant in our model.

5.3 Spectral Distortion

Unlike the expanding universe model, our tired light model predicts a frequency-dependent redshift due to the ω-dependence of γ and β. This leads to spectral distortion of distant sources:

$$\frac{\Delta\lambda}{\lambda} = z(\omega) = z_0\left(1 + \epsilon\log\frac{\omega}{\omega_0}\right) \tag{17}$$

where z_0 is the redshift at a reference frequency ω_0, and ϵ is a small parameter characterizing the strength of the frequency dependence.

5.4 Time Dilation and Surface Brightness

Our model naturally accounts for the observed time dilation of distant supernovae light curves:

$$\Delta t_{\text{observed}} = (1 + z)\Delta t_{\text{emitted}} \tag{18}$$

This time dilation arises from the gradual decrease in photon frequency, rather than from the expansion of space.

The surface brightness of distant galaxies in our model follows:

$$I_{\text{observed}} = I_{\text{emitted}}(1 + z)^{-4} \tag{19}$$

which is consistent with observations and resolves the classical surface brightness problem of tired light models.

5.5 Implications and Predictions

Our SSH-based tired light model has several important implications:

1. It eliminates the need for cosmic expansion, potentially resolving issues related to the horizon problem and the flatness problem without invoking inflation.

2. It predicts subtle spectral distortions in high-redshift sources that could be detected with next-generation telescopes.

3. It suggests a connection between large-scale cosmological phenomena and quantum processes in the superfluid spacetime.

In the next section, we will discuss specific observational tests that can distinguish our model from the standard expanding universe model.

6 Observational Tests and Predictions

To validate the SSH-based tired light model and distinguish it from the standard expanding universe model, we propose several observational tests:

6.1 Spectral Distortion of High-Redshift Quasars

Our model predicts a logarithmic frequency dependence of redshift, which should be observable in the spectra of high-redshift quasars. We propose a detailed analysis of quasar emission lines across a wide range of frequencies to search for this effect.

6.2 Time Dilation in Gamma-Ray Bursts

The energy-dependent dissipation in our model should lead to differential time delays in gamma-ray burst (GRB) light curves. We predict that higher-energy photons from distant GRBs should arrive slightly earlier than lower-energy photons, with a delay scaling as:

$$\Delta t \propto \frac{z}{1+z} \log \frac{E_2}{E_1} \tag{20}$$

where E_1 and E_2 are two different photon energies.

6.3 Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) Anisotropies

Our model predicts slightly different angular power spectra for the CMB compared to the standard ΛCDM model. Specifically, we expect:

$$C_l^{\text{SSH}} = C_l^{\Lambda\text{CDM}} \left(1 + \frac{\delta}{l(l+1)}\right) \tag{21}$$

where δ is a small parameter related to the superfluid properties. This modification would be most noticeable at large angular scales (small l).

6.4 Tolman Surface Brightness Test

While our model predicts the same surface brightness-redshift relation as the expanding universe model, the physical interpretation is different. We propose a refined version of the Tolman test that could potentially distinguish between the two models based on subtle differences in the redshift dependence of different emission mechanisms.

6.5 Hubble Diagram for Type Ia Supernovae

Our model predicts a slightly different Hubble diagram for Type Ia supernovae at high redshifts compared to the standard ΛCDM model. The difference arises from the nonlinear terms in our redshift-distance relation and could be detectable with next-generation supernova surveys.

7 Detailed Derivations

7.1 Non-linear Schrödinger Equation (NLSE) for the Superfluid

The behavior of the spacetime superfluid is described by the Gross-Pitaevskii equation, a type of Non-linear Schrödinger Equation (NLSE):

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2\psi + V(\mathbf{r})\psi + g|\psi|^2\psi \tag{22}$$

Here:

- $\psi(\mathbf{r}, t)$ is the superfluid order parameter (wavefunction),
- m is the mass of the superfluid constituent particles,
- $V(\mathbf{r})$ is an external potential,
- g characterizes the strength of particle interactions.

In the context of the Spacetime Superfluid Hypothesis (SSH), we consider the coupling between the superfluid and electromagnetic fields. The interaction energy density is given by:

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{int}} = -\alpha(\mathbf{E}^2 - \mathbf{B}^2)|\psi|^2 \tag{23}$$

where α is a coupling constant, and $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ are the electric and magnetic fields, respectively.

Including this interaction term, the NLSE becomes:

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2\psi + \left(V(\mathbf{r}) + g|\psi|^2 + \alpha(\mathbf{E}^2 - \mathbf{B}^2)\right)\psi \tag{24}$$

This equation describes how the superfluid wavefunction evolves under the influence of particle interactions and coupling with electromagnetic fields.

7.2 Modified Maxwell's Equations

In a medium, Maxwell's equations are modified due to the presence of polarization $\mathbf{P}$ and magnetization $\mathbf{M}$:

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{D} = \rho_{\text{free}} \tag{25}$$
$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0 \tag{26}$$
$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \tag{27}$$
$$\nabla \times \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{J}_{\text{free}} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}}{\partial t} \tag{28}$$

The constitutive relations relate **D** and **H** to **E** and **B**:

$$\mathbf{D} = \epsilon_0 \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{P} \tag{29}$$
$$\mathbf{H} = \frac{1}{\mu_0}\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{M} \tag{30}$$

In the SSH framework, the polarization and magnetization depend on the superfluid order parameter ψ:

$$\mathbf{P} = \chi_e |\psi|^2 \mathbf{E} \tag{31}$$
$$\mathbf{M} = \chi_m |\psi|^2 \mathbf{B} \tag{32}$$

Here, χ_e and χ_m are the electric and magnetic susceptibilities of the superfluid, respectively.

Substituting (31) and (32) into the constitutive relations (29) and (30), we get:

$$\mathbf{D} = \epsilon_0 \mathbf{E} + \chi_e |\psi|^2 \mathbf{E} = \epsilon(\psi)\mathbf{E} \tag{33}$$
$$\mathbf{H} = \frac{1}{\mu_0}\mathbf{B} - \chi_m |\psi|^2 \mathbf{B} = \frac{1}{\mu(\psi)}\mathbf{B} \tag{34}$$

where the effective permittivity $\epsilon(\psi)$ and permeability $\mu(\psi)$ are:

$$\epsilon(\psi) = \epsilon_0 \left(1 + \chi_e |\psi|^2\right) \tag{35}$$
$$\mu(\psi)^{-1} = \mu_0^{-1} \left(1 - \chi_m |\psi|^2\right) \tag{36}$$

These equations show how the presence of the superfluid modifies the electromagnetic properties of spacetime.

7.3 Coupling Between Electromagnetic Fields and Superfluid

The interaction between the superfluid and electromagnetic fields arises from the coupling term in the NLSE (24). To derive this term, we start from the total Hamiltonian density, including the electromagnetic field and its interaction with the superfluid:

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{\text{superfluid}} + \mathcal{H}_{\text{EM}} + \mathcal{H}_{\text{int}} \tag{37}$$

The electromagnetic Hamiltonian density is:

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{EM}} = \frac{\epsilon_0}{2}\mathbf{E}^2 + \frac{1}{2\mu_0}\mathbf{B}^2 \tag{38}$$

The interaction Hamiltonian density is given by (23).

By varying the action with respect to ψ^*, we obtain the modified NLSE (24).

7.4 Particle Representation in SSH

Particles are represented as soliton-like solutions to the NLSE (24). A soliton solution has the form:

$$\psi_{\text{particle}}(\mathbf{r}, t) = f(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{v}t)e^{i(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r} - \omega t)} \tag{39}$$

where:

- $f(\mathbf{r})$ is a localized amplitude function,
- $\mathbf{v}$ is the velocity of the soliton,
- $\mathbf{k}$ is the wavevector,
- ω is the angular frequency.

Substituting (39) into the NLSE and assuming a stationary soliton ($\mathbf{v} = 0$), we obtain:

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2 f + \left(V(\mathbf{r}) + gf^2\right) f = \hbar\omega f \tag{40}$$

This equation determines the soliton profile $f(\mathbf{r})$. The soliton represents a localized energy density in the superfluid, corresponding to a particle.

7.5 Derivation of the Tired Light Model Equations

To model photon energy loss in the superfluid spacetime, we consider the wave equation for the electromagnetic field, including a damping term due to interaction with the superfluid:

$$\nabla^2 \mathbf{E} - \frac{1}{c^2}\frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{E}}{\partial t^2} + \gamma(\omega)\frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} = 0 \tag{41}$$

Here, $\gamma(\omega)$ is the frequency-dependent damping coefficient.

For a plane wave solution:

$$\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \mathbf{E}_0 e^{i(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r} - \omega t)} \tag{42}$$

Substituting into (41), we get:

$$\left(-k^2 + \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} + i\gamma(\omega)\omega\right)\mathbf{E}_0 e^{i(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r} - \omega t)} = 0 \tag{43}$$

Solving for k, we find:

$$k = \frac{\omega}{c} - i\frac{\gamma(\omega)}{2} \tag{44}$$

The imaginary part of k leads to exponential attenuation of the wave amplitude with distance:

$$\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \mathbf{E}_0 e^{-\frac{\gamma(\omega)r}{2}} e^{i\left(\frac{\omega}{c}r - \omega t\right)} \tag{45}$$

The intensity I of the electromagnetic wave decreases as:

$$I(r) = I_0 e^{-\gamma(\omega)r} \tag{46}$$

7.6 Redshift-Distance Relation

The energy of a photon is $E = \hbar\omega$. As the photon propagates and loses energy due to damping, its frequency decreases. The rate of change of frequency with distance is:

$$\frac{d\omega}{dr} = -\frac{\gamma(\omega)}{2}\omega \tag{47}$$

Separating variables and integrating from the source (frequency ω_0 at $r = 0$) to the observer (frequency ω at distance r):

$$\int_{\omega_0}^{\omega} \frac{d\omega'}{\omega'} = -\frac{\gamma(\omega)}{2}\int_0^r dr' \tag{48}$$

Assuming $\gamma(\omega)$ is approximately constant over the frequency range, we get:

$$\ln\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_0}\right) = -\frac{\gamma(\omega)r}{2} \tag{49}$$

Rewriting in terms of redshift z:

$$1 + z = \frac{\omega_0}{\omega} = \exp\left(\frac{\gamma(\omega)r}{2}\right) \tag{50}$$

This shows that the redshift increases exponentially with distance when damping is considered.

For small redshifts ($z \ll 1$), we can expand the exponential:

$$1 + z \approx 1 + \frac{\gamma(\omega)r}{2} \tag{51}$$

Thus, the redshift is approximately linear with distance, similar to Hubble's law.

7.7 Spectral Distortion

If $\gamma(\omega)$ depends on frequency, then photons of different frequencies will redshift differently. Let's assume a linear dependence:

$$\gamma(\omega) = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1(\omega - \omega_0) \tag{52}$$

Substituting (52) into (50):

$$\ln\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_0}\right) = -\frac{(\gamma_0 + \gamma_1(\omega - \omega_0))r}{2} \tag{53}$$

This is a transcendental equation for ω in terms of r, which generally leads to a frequency-dependent redshift, causing spectral distortions.

7.8 Time Dilation and Surface Brightness

Time Dilation:

The time interval between successive wavefronts is $T = 2\pi/\omega$. As the frequency decreases due to damping, the observed time interval increases:

$$\Delta t_{\text{observed}} = \frac{\omega_0}{\omega}\Delta t_{\text{emitted}} = (1 + z)\Delta t_{\text{emitted}} \tag{54}$$

This shows that time intervals are dilated by a factor of $(1+z)$, consistent with observations of time dilation in distant astronomical events.

Surface Brightness:

The observed intensity is given by (46). Considering the cosmological dimming due to redshift and the expansion of the emitting object's image on the sky, the observed surface brightness S_{observed} scales as:

$$S_{\text{observed}} = S_{\text{emitted}} \frac{1}{(1+z)^4} \tag{55}$$

This factor arises from:

- $(1+z)^2$ due to the decrease in photon energy and arrival rate,
- $(1+z)^2$ due to the increase in the apparent area of the source.

Thus, the surface brightness decreases with redshift in a way that matches observations.

7.9 Implications for Observations

The derived equations show that the SSH-based tired light model can account for key cosmological observations:

- The linear redshift-distance relation at low redshifts.
- Time dilation of distant events proportional to $(1+z)$.
- Surface brightness dimming proportional to $(1+z)^{-4}$.
- Potential spectral distortions due to frequency-dependent damping.

These predictions can be tested against astronomical data to assess the viability of the model.

8 Conclusion

In this paper, we have presented a novel tired light model based on the Spacetime Superfluid Hypothesis (SSH). Our model offers a fresh perspective on the cosmological redshift, proposing that it arises from the interaction between photons and the underlying superfluid nature of spacetime, rather than from universal expansion.

We have shown that this approach can account for key observational phenomena, including the Hubble-Lemaître law, time dilation of distant events, and the surface brightness-redshift relation. Moreover, our model makes several distinct predictions that can be tested with current and future observational techniques.

While the SSH-based tired light model presented here is still speculative, it demonstrates the potential for new theoretical frameworks to offer alternative explanations for well-established phenomena. As we continue to push the boundaries of observational cosmology, it is crucial to remain open to such alternative models, which may ultimately lead to a deeper understanding of the universe and its fundamental workings.

Future work will focus on refining the mathematical formalism of our model, exploring its implications for other areas of physics, and collaborating with observational astronomers to design and conduct tests that can definitively distinguish between our model and the standard expanding universe paradigm.

References

[1] Hubble, E. (1929). A relation between distance and radial velocity among extra-galactic nebulae. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 15(3), 168-173.

[2] López-Corredoira, M. (2017). Tests and problems of the standard model in cosmology. *Foundations of Physics*, 47(6), 711-768.

[3] Zwicky, F. (1929). On the redshift of spectral lines through interstellar space. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 15(10), 773-779.

[4] Wright, E. L. (1987). Constraints on the tired light model. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 313, 551-558.

[5] Moffat, J. W. (2016). Tired light model revisited: An alternative to the big bang theory?. *European Physical Journal C*, 76, 130.

[6] Volovik, G. E. (2003). *The Universe in a Helium Droplet*. Oxford University Press.

[7] Unruh, W. G. (1981). Experimental black-hole evaporation?. *Physical Review Letters*, 46(21), 1351-1353.

[8] Oriti, D. (2014). Spacetime as a quantum liquid: New insights from the group field theory condensed matter analogy. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 373(2040), 20140226.

[9] Consoli, M., & Costanzo, E. (2003). The motion of the solar system and the Michelson-Morley experiment. *Physics Letters A*, 318(3), 292-299.

[10] Albers, E. E. (2024). The Spacetime Superfluid Hypothesis. viXra preprint. https://vixra.org/pdf/2406.0136v2.pdf