Week 2 - Building the Bomb

- 1. Atomic Energy for Military Purposes Book
 - Released 3 days after the bombing of Nagasaki
 - NOTE: War was not yet over
 - Written during the Manhattan project
 - Translated to Russian and people were able to know about atomic bombs outside of their govt.
 - Groves (Major General, USA) in foreword:
 - This book contains everything we can say about the bomb
 - If you say anything else not in this book, then you will be punished by Espionage Act
 - Reason for releasing: If you give them something, then they won't go looking on their own accord
 - Mainly about theoretical physics since Smyth was a theoretical physicist
 - Missing chemistry, engineering, and other types of work
 - It's the least sensitive stuff about the bomb, and everyone mainly understood the ideas prior to the war

2. Timeline

phase goal methods primary output to see if a nuclear small-scale exploratory experireports ments and theoretical weapon program is feasible studies to evaluate the feasipilot building mediumreports, plans, tacit bility of manufacturscale facilities knowledge, test saming methods ples making actual nubuilding large-scale production fissile material, clear weapons facilities, designing atomic bomb bombs, preparing for

country	exploratory	pilot	production
United States	1939	1941	1942
Germany	1939	1942 (reactor only)	X
UK	1940	X	X
USSR	1940	X	X
Japan	1941	X	X

use

- You need a production program to get an atomic bomb
- "Why did the United States make an atomic bomb?"
 - No one else made one
- 3. State of knowledge in 1939
 - U-238 (99%) and U-235 (<1%)
 - Only U-235 fissions from fast and slow neutron energies
 - U-235 is thus fissile (can sustain fission chain reactions in sufficient quantities)
 - U-238 will absorb slow neutrons without fissioning
 - Kills chain reaction
 - Both isotopes are chemically identical can only be separated physically, but differ by only 3 neutrons
 - If you could separate U-235, you can make a bomb. But can you? If you can't, what are the options?
 - But nuclear reactors are very possible
 - Some confusion between a reactor and a bomb (out of control reactor)
- $4. \ \, \text{Einstein-Szilard Letter}, \, 1939$
 - Written by Szilard but signed by Einstein for importance

- Unsure about many characteristics of the bomb (ie. power, size, weight)
 - Undersold
 - Better to undersell than to oversell
- This is a policy letter
 - Appoint a guy to keep an eye on the situation
- FDR did not read this, he got a summary
 - Said: "make sure the Germans can't blow us up"
- Asks to make a committee
- 5. Uranium Committee
 - Roosevelt created it in 1939
 - Used to coordinate the fission research with universities
 - Figure out if a bomb is worth worrying about
 - Gets absorbed in to the National Defense Research Committee
 - Office of science and research and development was eventually made as well
 - Was used to develop physical things (ie. camo stuff being put on planes from MIT)
- 6. James Conant, President of Harvard
 - Thought the innovation would take a long time
 - With other things to do (ie. camo), he doesn't want the scientists to waste their time on the bomb
 - Doesn't think it'll work
- 7. Atomic Piles
 - Bomb
 - Produces **exponential** chain reaction
 - Uses **fast** neutron reactions
 - Require **enriched** fuel (80-90% fissile material)
 - Reactor
 - Produces **stable** chain reaction
 - Uses **slow** neutron reactions
 - Uses unenriched or lightly-enriched fuel and a moderator to slow neutrons
 - When U-238 absorbs a neutron, it will (in several days) turn into plutonium-239 (relatively stable) which is **fissile**
 - Could use it as bomb fuel
 - Figured it out at 1941 and paved new path for the bomb
- 8. Other Programs
 - Germans though the bomb wasn't necessary for this war, for the next war
 - Why did the United States decide to make the bomb?
 - Japan kept program small and thought the US couldn't make an atomic bomb in time for the war
 - France was invaded by Germany by 1940:(
 - USSR didn't have too many sources, so they just accumulated info for post war
- 9. United Kingdom
 - Physicist were figuring out how much material would be needed for the atomic bomb
 - only 5 kg of U-235 was necessary
- 10. United Kingdom/USA
 - UK committee (MAUD) goes to USA and says the bombs feasible but you need to do it because of resources
 - US cleans house on the Uranium Committee and changes name to S-1 Committee
 - It is then estimated at \$400 million cost (5x under)
 - It's actually much harder than expected, Germany, Japan, and USA were on the right path of saying it's too hard but the UK are dead-afraid and push the USA to think it's doable
 - The US has too much money already spent that they keep going
 - FDR approves ARMY taking control over construction job with goal of making an atomic bomb = Manhattan Project
- 11. The Manhattan Project
 - Manhattan Engineer District, 1945
 - Spans the entire country

- Name: the OG headquarters was in Manhattan
 - Location: because all the manufacturing places and some education institutions were along this Northeast Corridor
- "I told you it couldn't be done without turning the whole country into a factory. You have done just that." -Niels Bohr, 1944
- Work conditions were poor so turnover rate was often 60%+
- Had nearly 125,000 workers in June 1944 with gradual decrease with total workers begin 600,000+
 - Most workers were laborers for making factories
- More women working on this than the Apollo project
 - Couldn't afford to count people out on the project because of prejudice
- Idea was to work now and worry about environmental/safety aspects later
- Had sites all across the globe
- 12. Manhattan Project Heads
 - General Leslie R. Groves Military Head of the Manhattan Project
 - Vital to the success of the Manhattan Project
 - J. Robert Oppenheimer Scientific director of project
 - Theoretical physicist from Berkeley
 - Unlikely choice: hasn't really led a big project
 - Chosen by Groves because he felt Oppenheimer had something to prove and that he was well
 liked in the science community to get people behind Groves' choices
- 13. Metallurgical Laboratory
 - University of Chicago
 - First reactor (CP-1) goes critical in December 1942
- 14. Radiation Laboratory, Berkeley
 - UC Berkeley: Develops particle accelerators, basic theory, isotope separation methods (uranium enrichment)
- 15. Site Y: Los Alamos
 - Meant for research and bomb design
 - Other sites had security issues because of the open access at universities and the attention they drew
 - All work that definitely had to do with the bomb was kept here for security reasons
 - Most reliable scientists were kept here
- 16. Site X: Oak Ridge
 - Meant for uranium enrichment
 - 3 major plants
- 17. Site W: Hanford
 - Built industrial-sized plutonium reactors to make neutrons to make plutonium (though not a lot of it)
 - Total of 3
 - Scaled up version of the Chicago reactor
- 18. Ways to Separate U-238 from U-238
 - None of these were really the best, instead they were chained together so one method would feed into another
 - a) Thermal Diffusion Method (S-50)
 - Fluid uranium circulates, U-235 tends to concentrate toward top since lighter
 - EX: given natural uranium, enriched it to 0.86% U-235 and pass into K-25
 - b) Gaseous Diffusion Through Barriers (K-25)
 - Passing gaseous uranium through a mesh barrier
 - The lighter U-235 tended to pass through
 - EX: given uranium from S-50, you get 23% U-235
 - c) Centrifugal (ABANDONED IN WW2)
 - Spin gasified uranium rapidly and U-235 tend toward the center
 - d) Electro-Magnetic (Y-12)
 - Get uranium ions and shoot them through magnet as a stream, then the stream gets split

with the U-235 being more deflected than U-238

• EX: given uranium from K-25, you get 84% U-235

19. Uranium Ore

- Uranium ore was a major supply issue for project
- 73% of ore came from Belgian Congo (75% U/g)
- 9% from Canada (30% U/g)
- 14% from Colorado Plateau (0.25% U/g)
- Groves works to secure all known world uranium and thorium supplies by contracts

20. Cost of the Bomb

- \$2 billion (1945) = \$50-180 billion (2019)
- 63% of the cost was for Oak Ridge (uranium enrichment)
 - -~27% K-25
 - 35% Y-12
- 21% on Hanford
- 4% on Los Alamos
- Fissile material production costs greatly exceed research costs
 - Making the fuel is the hardest cost