**Pledge:** I pledge my honor that I have abided by the Stevens Honor System. -Eric Altenburg

1: The statement of the fundamental theorem of arithmetic given above is somewhat vague. What is a prime number? What is a product of primes? And what does it mean for such a product to be unique? Explain.

A natural number p is prime if its only divisors are 1 and p, and  $p \neq 1$ . A product of primes can be written as  $n = p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot p_3 \cdot \ldots \cdot p_k$  where  $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ For a product to be unique, it means that when writing the product of primes as  $n = p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot p_3 \cdot \ldots \cdot p_k$  where  $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ , no two or more  $p_k$ 's can be the same number. For example,  $20 = 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 5 = 2^2 \cdot 5$  would not be unique as there are two 2's.

2: Our first goal will be to prove the existence of a factorization into primes. Write down a strategy for doing so, and be prepared to explain it in class.

We can assume that there exists a number that does not have a factorization into primes. Let n be the smallest such number that cannot be factored into primes. Since n is not prime, then it is composite and can be factored as such  $n = a \cdot b$  such that 1 < a and b < n. However, since we assumed that n was the smallest such number that was unable to be factored into primes, then a and b must be products of primes. With this,  $a \cdot b$  is a product of primes and so n is a product of primes as well. This contradicts the initial assumption.

**3**: Our next goal will be to prove the uniqueness of a factorization into primes, which will entail several steps. For each of these steps, write down another proof strategy, and be prepared to explain it in class.

(Step 1: Prove Bézout's theorem, namely that if two integers a and b are coprime, then there exist integers x and y such that ax + by = 1.)

If a and b are coprime, then we can use the idea that gcd(a, b) = 1 to show that gcd(a, b) = ax + by which would show that x and y exist. Assume there is a common divisor c for a and b. c would then divide ax and by or ax + by which we know to be 1. That means c divides 1 so c = 1. Since c = 1, this shows that x and y exist.

(Step 2: Prove Euclid's lemma, namely that if P is prime and  $p \mid ab$ , where  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $p \mid a$  or  $p \mid b$ )

We can use Bézout's theorem for this where ax + by = 1 and a, b are relatively prime. In the context of this problem, let's assume n and a are relatively prime numbers and  $n \mid ab$ . Then with Bézout's theorem, we can say nx + ay = 1. Multiplying both sides by b would give nxb + ayb = b. This means that n divides (nxb) and it divides (ayb) because of the assumption, and because of this, that means b is also divisible by n. This proves that  $n \mid b$  which is the lemma.

(Step 3: Prove uniqueness with the help of the previous two results.)

Assume we have the smallest number that can be written as two distinct prime factorizations, call it s. Then  $s = p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot p_m = q_1 \cdot q_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot q_n$ . From Euclid's lemma, we know that the prime number  $p_1 \mid q_1$  or  $p_1 \mid q_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot q_n$ . So we can then say that  $p_1 = q_k$  for some k. So by removing

these items from the equation we now have  $s' = p_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot p_m = q_1 \cdot q_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot q_n$  but with some  $q_k$  missing in the second factorization as well. Because these were removed, we are left with another number s' where s' < s which contradicts the initial assumption, so no such smallest number can exist proving uniqueness.