| Variable | AB, N = 177 | MB, N = 102 | SK, N = 178 |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | *Total Number (Percentage)* | *Total Number (Percentage)* | *Total Number (Percentage)* |
| Effectiveness of conservation policies |  |  |  |
| Conservation payment (least effective) | 68 (38%) | 48 (47%) | 68 (38%) |
| Conservation payment (most effective) | 38 (21%) | 17 (17%) | 29 (16%) |
| Technical assistance (least effective) | 25 (14%) | 15 (15%) | 23 (13%) |
| Technical assistance (most effective) | 30 (17%) | 9 (8.8%) | 23 (13%) |
| Extension program (least effective) | 14 (7.9%) | 12 (12%) | 19 (11%) |
| Extension program (most effective) | 10 (5.6%) | 12 (12%) | 25 (14%) |
| Conservation easement (least effective) | 17 (9.6%) | 7 (6.9%) | 18 (10%) |
| Conservation easement (most effective) | 16 (9.0%) | 12 (12%) | 23 (13%) |
| Sustainability certificate (least effective) | 16 (9.0%) | 8 (7.8%) | 22 (12%) |
| Sustainability certificate (most effective) | 25 (14%) | 10 (9.8%) | 18 (10%) |
| Regulation (least effective) | 37 (21%) | 12 (12%) | 28 (16%) |
| Regulation (most effective) | 58 (33%) | 42 (41%) | 60 (34%) |
| Crop production information source |  |  |  |
| Chemical dealer | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) |
| Seed dealer | 12 (6.8%) | 17 (17%) | 11 (6.2%) |
| Regional Ag. extension specialist | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) |
| Private crop consultant | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) |
| University extension | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) |
| Commodity groups | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) |
| Conservation information source |  |  |  |
| Chemical dealer | 5 (2.8%) | 2 (2.0%) | 4 (2.2%) |
| Seed dealer | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) |
| Regional Ag. extension specialist | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) |
| Private crop consultant | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) |
| University extension | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) |

Table 3.