

# CSE 311: Foundations of Computing I

## Section 8: Structural Induction, REs, and CFGs Solutions

### 1. Structural Induction I

Consider the following recursive definition of strings  $\Sigma^*$  over the alphabet  $\Sigma$ .

**Basis Step:**  $\varepsilon$  is a string

**Recursive Step:** If  $w$  is a string and  $a \in \Sigma$  is a character, then  $wa$  is a string.

Recall the following recursive definition of the function  $\text{len}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\text{len}(\varepsilon) &= 0 \\ \text{len}(wa) &= 1 + \text{len}(w)\end{aligned}$$

Now, consider the following recursive definition:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{double}(\varepsilon) &= \varepsilon \\ \text{double}(wa) &= \text{double}(w)aa.\end{aligned}$$

Prove that, for any string  $x$ , we have  $\text{len}(\text{double}(x)) = 2 \text{len}(x)$ .

**Solution:**

Let  $P(x)$  be " $\text{len}(\text{double}(x)) = 2 \text{len}(x)$ ". We prove  $P(x)$  for all strings  $x \in \Sigma^*$  by structural induction.

**Base Case.** By definition,  $\text{len}(\text{double}(\varepsilon)) = \text{len}(\varepsilon) = 0 = 2 \cdot 0 = 2 \text{len}(\varepsilon)$ , so  $P(\varepsilon)$  holds.

**Induction Hypothesis.** Suppose  $P(w)$  holds for some arbitrary string  $w$ .

**Induction Step.** We show that  $P(wa)$  holds, for any character  $a \in \Sigma$ , as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{len}(\text{double}(wa)) &= \text{len}(\text{double}(w)aa) && \text{Def of double} \\ &= 1 + \text{len}(\text{double}(w)a) && \text{Def of len} \\ &= 1 + 1 + \text{len}(\text{double}(w)) && \text{Def of len} \\ &= 2 + 2 \text{len}(w) && \text{Inductive Hypothesis} \\ &= 2(1 + \text{len}(w)) \\ &= 2 \text{len}(wa) && \text{Def of len}\end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $P(x)$  holds for all strings  $x \in \Sigma^*$  by structural induction.

### 2. Structural Induction II

Consider the following definition of a (binary) **Tree**:

**Basis Step:**  $\bullet$  is a **Tree**.

**Recursive Step:** If  $L$  is a **Tree** and  $R$  is a **Tree** then  $\text{Tree}(\bullet, L, R)$  is a **Tree**.

The function  $\text{leaves}$  returns the number of leaves of a **Tree**. It is defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{leaves}(\bullet) &= 1 \\ \text{leaves}(\text{Tree}(\bullet, L, R)) &= \text{leaves}(L) + \text{leaves}(R)\end{aligned}$$

Also, recall the definition of  $\text{size}$  on trees:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{size}(\bullet) &= 1 \\ \text{size}(\text{Tree}(\bullet, L, R)) &= 1 + \text{size}(L) + \text{size}(R)\end{aligned}$$

Prove that  $\text{leaves}(T) \geq \text{size}(T)/2$  for all  $T \in \text{Trees}$ .

### Solution:

In this problem, we define a strengthened predicate. For a tree  $T$ , let  $P$  be  $\text{leaves}(T) \geq \text{size}(T)/2 + 1/2$ . We prove  $P$  for all trees  $T$  by structural induction.

**Base Case.** We show that  $P(\bullet)$  holds. By definition of  $\text{leaves}(\cdot)$ ,  $\text{leaves}(\bullet) = 1$  and  $\text{size}(\bullet) = 1$ . So,  $\text{leaves}(\bullet) = 1 \geq 1/2 + 1/2 = \text{size}(\bullet)/2 + 1/2$ .

**Induction Hypothesis:** Suppose  $P(L)$  and  $P(R)$  hold for some arbitrary trees  $L$  and  $R$ .

**Induction Step:** We prove that  $P(\text{Tree}(\bullet, L, R))$  holds as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{leaves}(\text{Tree}(\bullet, L, R)) &= \text{leaves}(L) + \text{leaves}(R) && \text{Def of leaves} \\ &\geq (\text{size}(L)/2 + 1/2) + (\text{size}(R)/2 + 1/2) && \text{Inductive Hypothesis} \\ &= (\text{size}(L) + \text{size}(R) + 1)/2 + 1/2 \\ &= \text{size}(\text{Tree}(\bullet, L, R))/2 + 1/2 && \text{Def of size} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the  $P(T)$  holds for all trees  $T$ .

### 3. Regular Expressions

- (a) Write a regular expression that matches base 10 non-negative numbers.  
(Note that there should be no leading zeroes.)

#### Solution:

$$0 \cup ((1 \cup 2 \cup 3 \cup 4 \cup 5 \cup 6 \cup 7 \cup 8 \cup 9)(0 \cup 1 \cup 2 \cup 3 \cup 4 \cup 5 \cup 6 \cup 7 \cup 8 \cup 9)^*)$$

- (b) Write a regular expression that matches all non-negative base-3 numbers that are divisible by 3.

#### Solution:

$$0 \cup ((1 \cup 2)(0 \cup 1 \cup 2)^*0)$$

- (c) Write a regular expression that matches all binary strings that contain the substring "111", but not the substring "000".

#### Solution:

$$(01 \cup 001 \cup 1^*)^*(0 \cup 00 \cup \varepsilon)111(01 \cup 001 \cup 1^*)^*(0 \cup 00 \cup \varepsilon)$$

(If you don't want the substring 000, the only way you can produce 0s is if there are only one or two 0s in a row, and they are immediately followed by a 1 or the end of the string.)

## 4. CFGs

Construct CFGs for the following languages:

- (a) All binary strings that end in 00.

**Solution:**

$$S \rightarrow 0S \mid 1S \mid 00$$

- (b) All binary strings that contain at least three 1's.

**Solution:**

$$\begin{aligned} S &\rightarrow TTT \\ T &\rightarrow 0T \mid T0 \mid 1T \mid 1 \end{aligned}$$

- (c) Propositional logic statements using only variables from a fixed alphabet  $\mathcal{A} = \{\dots, p, q, r, \dots\}$  and only the operators  $\neg$ ,  $\wedge$ , and  $\vee$  as well as parentheses “(..)”. (Assume no space characters.)

**Solution:**

$$\begin{aligned} S &\rightarrow F \mid S \vee F \\ F &\rightarrow P \mid F \wedge F \\ P &\rightarrow V \mid (S) \mid \neg P \\ V &\rightarrow \dots \mid p \mid q \mid r \mid \dots \end{aligned}$$

Note that this gives  $\wedge$  higher precedence than  $\vee$ , as would be expected.

## 5. Structural Induction III

In this problem, we will prove De Morgan's Law for arbitrary propositions. For example, we will show that

$$\neg(p_1 \wedge p_2 \wedge \dots \wedge p_n) \equiv \neg p_1 \vee \neg p_2 \vee \dots \vee \neg p_n.$$

is true for any  $n \geq 1$ .

Let  $\mathcal{A} = \{\dots, p, q, r, \dots\}$  be a fixed set of atomic propositions. We then define the set **Prop** as follows:

**Basis Elements** For any  $p \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\text{Atomic}(p) \in \mathbf{Prop}$ .

**Recursive Step** If  $A, B \in \mathbf{Prop}$ , then  $\text{Neg}(A), \text{Wedge}(A, B), \text{Vee}(A, B) \in \mathbf{Prop}$ .

The set **Prop** represents parse trees of propositions. We allow the propositions to be combined using the operators, **Wedge** and **Vee** (the names of  $\wedge$  and  $\vee$  in  $\text{\LaTeX}$ ). We also allow negation of propositions with **Neg**.

Next, we define a function  $\mathcal{T}$  that takes a parse tree (an element of **Prop**) as input and returns the proposition that it represents.. Formally we define,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}(\text{Atomic}(p)) &= p && \text{for any } p \in \mathcal{A} \\ \mathcal{T}(\text{Wedge}(A, B)) &= (\mathcal{T}(A)) \wedge (\mathcal{T}(B)) && \text{for any } A, B \in \mathbf{Prop} \\ \mathcal{T}(\text{Vee}(A, B)) &= (\mathcal{T}(A)) \vee (\mathcal{T}(B)) && \text{for any } A, B \in \mathbf{Prop} \\ \mathcal{T}(\text{Neg}(A)) &= \neg \mathcal{T}(A) && \text{for any } A \in \mathbf{Prop} \end{aligned}$$

The function  $\text{flip}$  takes a parse tree as input and returns another parse tree as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{flip}(\text{Atomic}(p)) &= \text{Neg}(\text{Atomic}(p)) && \text{for any } p \in \mathcal{A} \\
 \text{flip}(\text{Wedge}(A, B)) &= \text{Vee}(\text{flip}(A), \text{flip}(B)) && \text{for any } A, B \in \mathbf{Prop} \\
 \text{flip}(\text{Vee}(A, B)) &= \text{Wedge}(\text{flip}(A), \text{flip}(B)) && \text{for any } A, B \in \mathbf{Prop} \\
 \text{flip}(\text{Neg}(A)) &= A && \text{for any } A \in \mathbf{Prop}
 \end{aligned}$$

The function  $\text{flip}$  negates each atomic proposition and swaps  $\vee$  with  $\wedge$  (and vice versa) throughout the tree.

With those definitions in hand, use structural induction show that, for any  $A \in \mathbf{Prop}$ ,

$$\mathcal{T}(\text{Neg}(A)) \equiv \mathcal{T}(\text{flip}(A)).$$

This proves that we can produce a proposition that is equivalent to negating the expression by, instead, flipping all  $\wedge$ s to  $\vee$ s (and vice versa) and negating atomic propositions recursively until we hit  $\neg$ s.

### Solution:

Let  $P(A)$  be " $\mathcal{T}(\text{Neg}(A)) \equiv \mathcal{T}(\text{flip}(A))$ ". We prove  $P(A)$  for all  $A \in \mathbf{Prop}$  by structural induction.

**Base Case** Let  $p$  be an arbitrary member of  $\mathcal{A}$ . In this case,  $P(\text{Atomic}(p))$  says

$$\mathcal{T}(\text{Neg}(\text{Atomic}(p))) = \mathcal{T}(\text{flip}(\text{Atomic}(p))),$$

which is immediate from the definition of  $\text{flip}$  (read right-to-left).

**Induction Hypothesis** Suppose  $P(A)$  and  $P(B)$  hold for some arbitrary  $A$  and  $B$  in  $\mathbf{Prop}$ .

**Induction Step** We show  $P(\text{Wedge}(A, B))$  as follows ( $P(\text{Vee}(A, B))$  is similar and left as an exercise):

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{T}(\text{Neg}(\text{Wedge}(A, B))) &= \neg \mathcal{T}(\text{Wedge}(A, B)) && \text{Def of } \mathcal{T} \\
 &= \neg(\mathcal{T}(A) \wedge \mathcal{T}(B)) && \text{Def of } \mathcal{T} \\
 &= \neg \mathcal{T}(A) \vee \neg \mathcal{T}(B) && \text{De Morgan's Law} \\
 &= \mathcal{T}(\text{Neg}(A)) \vee \mathcal{T}(\text{Neg}(B)) && \text{Def of } \mathcal{T} \\
 &= \mathcal{T}(\text{flip}(A)) \vee \mathcal{T}(\text{flip}(B)) && \text{Induction Hypothesis} \\
 &= \mathcal{T}(\text{Vee}(\text{flip}(A), \text{flip}(B))) && \text{Def of } \mathcal{T} \\
 &= \mathcal{T}(\text{flip}(\text{Wedge}(A, B))) && \text{Def of flip}
 \end{aligned}$$

We can show  $P(\text{Neg}(A))$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{T}(\text{Neg}(\text{Neg}(A))) &= \neg \mathcal{T}(\text{Neg}(A)) && \text{Def of } \mathcal{T} \\
 &= \neg \neg \mathcal{T}(A) && \text{Def of } \mathcal{T} \\
 &= \mathcal{T}(A) && \text{Double Negation} \\
 &= \mathcal{T}(\text{flip}(\text{Neg}(A))) && \text{Def of flip}
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $P(A)$  holds for all parse trees  $A \in \mathbf{Prop}$ , by structural induction.