

Collaborators

I worked on (in no particular order) **Andrew Binder**, **Nikhil Maserang**, **Teja Nivarthi**, **Christine Zhang**, and **Nathan Song** to complete this assignment.

Problem 1

In the experiment diagrammed in the figure, what fraction of the incident light in beam A is transmitted in beam B on the average in the following cases? Answer this question first without any formalism then by making the proper combinations of projections amplitudes from Table 7-1

- (a) The R channel is blocked.

Solution: Half the light is lost through the R-L transmitter if one of the beams is blocked, then the y -projector takes away another half, so we are left with $1/4$ of the beam remaining. \square

- (b) The L channel is blocked.

Solution: There is no difference between the R and L path being blocked (due to symmetry), so we have $1/4$ here as well. \square

- (c) Both channels are open.

Solution: The R-L analyzer loop does not change the nature of the light (since it is an analyzer and a reanalyzer), so therefore this is the same as if the analyzer were not there. Thus, since we have an x and then a y projector, then therefore all the light is blocked, so we get no intensity. \square

- (d) For a more complicated-seeming problem, repeat steps (a)-(c) using x' and y' projectors in place of the x and y projectors, respectively.

Solution: Since x' and y' is also an orthogonal basis, this is fundamentally the same thing as using x and y projectors, so therefore our answers to the previous parts don't change. Therefore, for parts (a)-(c), we get $1/4$, $1/4$, and 0 respectively. \square

Problem 2

1. Write down the overall quantum amplitude for photons initially in state $|\psi\rangle$ to pass through the three projectors shown in figure (a). Given that $|\langle y|\psi\rangle| = 1/\sqrt{5}$ what is the overall transmission probability?

Solution: From lecture, we know that

$$\langle y'|R\rangle \langle R|y\rangle \langle y|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}e^{i\theta} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \langle y|\psi\rangle = e^{i\pi/6} 2\sqrt{5}$$

Then, squaring this to get the probability, we get:

$$P = |\langle y'|R\rangle \langle R|y\rangle \langle y|\psi\rangle|^2 = \frac{1}{20}$$

□

2. Relate the overall amplitude (and the transmission probability) for the experiment figure (b) to that obtained in figure (a)

Solution: Setting up the projections, we get:

$$\langle R|y'\rangle \langle y|R\rangle \langle \psi|y\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}e^{-i\theta} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \langle y|\psi\rangle = \frac{e^{-i\pi/6}}{2\sqrt{5}}$$

And so therefore the probability is

$$P = |\langle R|y'\rangle \langle y|R\rangle \langle \psi|y\rangle|^2 = \frac{1}{20}$$

□

3. How are the results of (a) and (b) altered if the R projector is replaced by an open $R - L$ analyzer loop?

Solution: If this were done, then the analyzer loop wouldn't do anything to the light (since it is an analyzer loop), and so therefore we would effectively just be calculating $\langle y'|y\rangle = \sqrt{3}/2$ and so therefore our amplitude for both parts (a) and (b) is given by:

$$\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \right) = \frac{3}{20}$$

□

Problem 3

Consider the following state vector:

$$|\psi\rangle = |R\rangle (1 - i)/2 + |L\rangle (1 + i)/2$$

- (a) Is this state circularly polarized? If so, is it R or L polarization?

Solution: Because the square of the coefficients do not return real values, then the probabilities associated with observing $|R\rangle$ and $|L\rangle$ state are imaginary, and so therefore this cannot be a circularly polarized state. \square

- (b) Is this state linearly polarized? If so, find the orientation of the axis of polarization.

Solution: We change this into the $|x\rangle$ and $|y\rangle$ basis using the conversion table. Doing so, we obtain:

$$|\psi\rangle = \frac{1 - i}{2} \cdot \frac{-i}{2} |x\rangle + \frac{1 + i}{2} \cdot \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} |x\rangle = \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}} |x\rangle$$

And likewise for $|y\rangle$:

$$|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |y\rangle$$

Since the square of the coefficients in the $|x\rangle$ and $|y\rangle$ basis are real, then this is in a superposition of the $|x\rangle$ and $|y\rangle$ basis, so it is linearly polarized. Moreover, it is linearly polarized in the direction which is 45° counterclockwise from the y -axis. \square

- (c) Answer parts (a) and (b) for the following state vectors. At least one of them represents elliptical polarization; in this case, simply demonstrate that it is neither linearly or circularly polarized.

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi\rangle &= |x\rangle e^{i\pi/2}/\sqrt{2} + |y\rangle e^{i\pi/2}/\sqrt{2} \\ |\psi\rangle &= |x\rangle (1 - i)/2 + |y\rangle (1/\sqrt{2}) \end{aligned}$$

Solution: For the first state, we use Euler's identity: $e^{ix} = \cos x + i \sin x$ to obtain

$$|\psi\rangle = -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} |x\rangle + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} |y\rangle$$

And because the norm squared of the coefficients return real values, then this is linear, using the same logic we've used in parts (a) and (b). Here, the angle is still 45° counterclockwise from the y -axis.

With the second wavefunction, we note that the norm squared of the coefficients are partially real, so therefore this state cannot be completely linearly polarized. Converting this into $|R\rangle$, we see that this wavefunction becomes:

$$|\psi\rangle = \left(\frac{i + 1}{2\sqrt{2}} + \frac{1}{2} \right) |R\rangle$$

Converting this into the $|L\rangle$ basis:

$$|\psi\rangle = \left(-\frac{i+1}{2\sqrt{2}} + \frac{1}{2}\right) |L\rangle$$

And so because here it is also only *partially* circularly polarized, then we know that it is a combination of linearly and circularly polarized light - in other words, elliptically polarized. \square

Problem 4

Pandora claims that photons are really a three-state system: She can find *three* states of polarization that are orthogonal and form a complete set. In support of her claim, Pandora exhibits a device, Pandora's Box, which has three output channels, labeled A , B , and C [Figure (a)]. In reality, Pandora's box consists of an ordinary xy analyzer with an $x'y'$ analyzer inserted in the y beam, as shown in Figure (b). Analyze Pandora's claim using the following outline or some other method.

(a) In what channel or channels of the box do *nonzero* outputs appear when the incident beam is

(i) x polarized

Solution: It will come only out of output C , since there is no y component. □

(ii) y polarized

Solution: Now, none of the component will go through the x channel, and so it will only come out of channels A and B . □

(iii) x' polarized?

Solution: Here, because light is x' polarized, then it means that it is able to pass through all three channels, and therefore we will observe intensity in all three channels here. □

(b) Show that Pandora's Box does *not* satisfy all properties of an analyzer, as defined in Table 6.2.

Solution: An analyzer should have the property that repeated measurements should return predictable results. However, if we take the output from B (which is x' polarized light) and put it through the box again, we find that light now comes out of channels A , B and C , as discussed in part (a)-(iii). Therefore because it violates this property, it is not an analyzer. □

(c) Suppose the squares of the amplitudes $\langle A|A \rangle$, $\langle A|B \rangle$, $\langle C|B \rangle$, etc., are measured in the conventional way by means of two sequential Pandora's Boxes. For example, the magnitude $|\langle C|B \rangle|^2$ can be measured as (output)/(input) in the experiment shown in Figure (c). Which of the following fundamental properties of complete orthogonal sets will be satisfied among states A , B , and C and which will not be satisfied? For each of the properties *not* satisfied, give a particular example which violates this property. (Symbols i and j independently take on values A , B and C .)

(i) normalization: $|\langle i|i \rangle|^2 = 1$ for all i

Solution: We know from part (b) that $\langle B|B \rangle < 1$ (since light is split among all three channels), so $|\langle B|B \rangle|^2 < 1$, in violation of this property □

(ii) orthogonality $|\langle j|i \rangle|^2 = 0$ for $i \neq j$

Solution: We know that $\langle C|B \rangle \neq 0$, since light which initially comes out of channel B (x' polarized light) still comes out of channel C , so this amplitude is nonzero, violating the orthogonality condition. □

(iii) “reciprocity”: $|\langle j|i \rangle|^2 = |\langle i|j \rangle|^2$

Solution: From the previous problem, we know that $\langle C|B \rangle$ is nonzero. However, $\langle B|C \rangle = 0$, since any light which exits channel C (x polarized light), can only enter and exit through channel C , therefore violating this condition. \square

(iv) Completeness over all states $\sum_{\text{all } j} |\langle j|i \rangle|^2 = 1$

Solution: Because Pandora’s Box is a combination of analyzers, we never lose light throughout this process, and so therefore it is complete over all final states. \square

Problem 5

A particle in an infinite square well extending between $x = 0$ and $x = L$ has the wavefunction

$$\psi(x, t) = A \left(2 \sin \frac{\pi x}{L} e^{-iE_1 t/\hbar} \sin \frac{2\pi x}{L} e^{-iE_2 t/\hbar} \right)$$

where $E_n = n^2 \hbar^2 / 8mL^2$.

- (a) Putting $t = 0$ for simplicity, find the value of the normalization factor A .

Solution: To find the normalization factor, we enforce the condition $\langle \psi | \psi \rangle = 1$. Therefore:

$$1 = A^2 \int_0^L \left(2 \sin \frac{\pi x}{L} + \sin \frac{2\pi x}{L} \right)^2 dx$$

I'm not going to write out all the algebra involved in solving this integral, we get:

$$1 = A^2 \left(\frac{60\pi x}{24\pi} \right)_0^L \implies A = \sqrt{25}L$$

□

- (b) If a measurement of the energy is made, what are the possible results of the measurement, and what is the probability associated with each?

Solution: The energies that we can measure are E_1 and E_2 since these are the eigenfunctions that are given to us. The probability is the norm squared of the coefficients:

$$P(E_1) = \left(2 \cdot \sqrt{\frac{2}{5L}} \right)^2 = \frac{8}{5L}$$

$$P(E_2) = \frac{2}{5L}$$

Since the probabilities must add up to 1, then we must multiply both terms by a further factor of $L/2$. Therefore:

$$P(E_1) = \frac{4}{5}$$

$$P(E_2) = \frac{1}{5}$$

□

- (c) Using the results of (b) deduce the average energy and express it as a multiple of the energy E_1 of the lowest eigenstate.

Solution: The average energy $\langle E \rangle = \sum P_i E_i$. Then, using the fact that $E_2 = 4E_1$ (since E_n scales with n^2), then we have:

$$\langle E \rangle = \frac{4}{5}E_1 + \frac{1}{5}E_2 = \frac{4}{5}E_1 + \frac{4}{5}E_1 = \frac{8}{5}E_1$$

□

- (d) The result of (c) is identical with the *expectation value* of E (denoted $\langle E \rangle$) for this state. A procedure for calculating such expectation values in general is based on the fact that, for a pure eigenstate of the energy, the wave function is of the form, $\psi(x, t) = \psi(X)e^{-iEt/\hbar}$, which yields the identity

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = E\psi$$

Clearly, in this case, $\int \psi^* (i\hbar \partial / \partial t) \psi dx = E \int \psi^* \psi dx = E$. An extension of this case is to a state involving an arbitrary superposition of energies suggests the following formula for calculating expectation values of E :

$$\langle E \rangle = \int_{\text{all } x} \psi^* (i\hbar \partial / \partial t) \psi dx$$

This procedure is in fact correct. By applying it to the particular wavefunction of this exercise, verify that the value of $\langle E \rangle$ is identical to the average energy found in (c).

Solution: First, we calculate $i\hbar \partial / \partial t \psi$:

$$\begin{aligned} i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi &= i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(2\sqrt{\frac{2}{5L}} \sin \frac{\pi x}{L} e^{-iE_1 t/\hbar} + \sqrt{\frac{2}{5L}} \sin \frac{2\pi x}{L} e^{-iE_2 t/\hbar} \right) \\ &= 2\sqrt{\frac{2}{5L}} i\hbar \sin \frac{\pi x}{L} \cdot \frac{-iE_1}{\hbar} e^{-iE_1 t/\hbar} + \sqrt{\frac{2}{5L}} \cdot i\hbar \sin \frac{2\pi x}{L} \cdot \frac{-iE_2}{\hbar} e^{-iE_2 t/\hbar} \end{aligned}$$

Now we can plug this into the above equation for $\langle E \rangle$ and solve the integral. The integral setup is as follows:

$$\langle E \rangle = \frac{2i\hbar}{5L} \int_0^L \left(2 \sin \frac{\pi x}{L} e^{iE_1 t/\hbar} + \sin \frac{2\pi x}{L} e^{iE_2 t/\hbar} \right) \left(2 \sin \frac{\pi x}{L} \cdot \frac{-iE_1}{\hbar} e^{-iE_1 t/\hbar} + \sin \frac{2\pi x}{L} \cdot \frac{-iE_2}{\hbar} e^{-iE_2 t/\hbar} \right) dx$$

Due to the fact that energy eigenstates are orthonormal (this was covered in office hours), then our cross terms equal to zero. Now, we also use the following two results:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^L \sin^2 \frac{\pi x}{L} dx &= \frac{L}{2} \\ \int_0^L \sin^2 \frac{2\pi x}{L} dx &= \frac{L}{2} \end{aligned}$$

Doing so, then evaluating our integral, we get:

$$\langle E \rangle = 4 \cdot \frac{2}{5L} E_1 \cdot \frac{L}{2} + \frac{2}{5L} E_2 \frac{L}{2} = \frac{8}{5} E_1$$

Which is the same answer as part (c), as desired.

□

Problem 6

In exercise 8-3 we indicated how one can calculate the expectation (average) value of the energy for a mixed-energy state. This exercise is concerned with an analogous procedure for linear momentum. We have seen that the spatial factor of a pure momentum state is given (Eq. 8-15) by $\psi(x) \sim e^{ikx}$. From this we have

$$\frac{d\psi}{dx} = ik\psi = \frac{ip_x}{\hbar}\psi$$

which suggests the identity

$$\frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} = p_x \psi$$

We then calculate the expectation value of p_x for an *arbitrary* state by using the formula

$$\langle p_x \rangle = \int_{\text{all } x} \psi^* \left(\frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) \psi \, dx$$

- (a) Apply this to a pure eigenstate of a particle in an infinite square well, and verify that $\langle p_x \rangle = 0$.

Solution: The first energy level has wavefunction equal to

$$\psi(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{L}} \sin \frac{\pi x}{L}$$

And so therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle p_x \rangle &= \int_0^L \psi^* \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \sqrt{\frac{2}{L}} \sin \frac{\pi x}{L} dx \\ &= \frac{2\hbar\pi}{iL^2} \int_0^L \sin \frac{\pi x}{L} \cos \frac{\pi x}{L} dx \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

□

- (b) Show that the value of $\langle p_x \rangle$ for the superposition state of Exercise 8-3 oscillates sinusoidally at the angular frequency $\omega = (E_2 - E_1)/\hbar$. This result helps to illuminate the questions raised in Exercise 8.2, and gives some substance to the concept of a probability distribution moving back and forth in the well.

Solution: Here, we take a superposition of the first and second energy eigenstate, so our wavefunction takes the form:

$$\psi = \sqrt{\frac{2}{L}} \sin \frac{\pi x}{L} e^{-iE_1 t/\hbar} + \sqrt{\frac{2}{L}} \sin \frac{2\pi x}{L} e^{-iE_2 t/\hbar}$$

We then follow the same process as part (a). Calculating the derivative:

$$\frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \psi = \sqrt{\frac{2}{L}} \frac{\pi}{L} \cos \frac{\pi x}{L} e^{-iE_1 t/\hbar} + \sqrt{\frac{2}{L}} \cdot \frac{2\pi}{L} \cos \frac{2\pi x}{L} e^{-iE_2 t/\hbar}$$

And so our integral becomes:

$$\langle p_x \rangle = \frac{2}{L} \int_0^L \left[\sin \frac{\pi x}{L} e^{iE_1 t/\hbar} + \sin \frac{2\pi x}{L} e^{iE_2 t/\hbar} \right] \left[\frac{\pi}{L} \cos \frac{\pi x}{L} e^{-iE_1 t/\hbar} + \frac{2\pi}{L} \cos \frac{2\pi x}{L} e^{-iE_2 t/\hbar} \right] dx$$

Due to part (a), we know that only the cross terms will remain. Therefore, we solve the integral

$$\frac{2\pi}{L^2} \int_0^L \sin \frac{\pi x}{L} \cos \frac{2\pi x}{L} e^{it(E_1 - E_2)/\hbar} + 2 \sin \frac{2\pi x}{L} \cos \frac{\pi x}{L} e^{-it(E_1 - E_2)/\hbar} dx$$

This integral can be solved by hand, but here I used a computer to solve it for me. Doing so, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle p_x \rangle &= \frac{2\pi}{L^2} \left(\frac{4L}{3\pi} e^{it(E_1 - E_2)/\hbar} + \frac{4L}{3\pi} e^{-it(E_1 - E_2)/\hbar} \right) \\ &= \frac{2\pi}{L^2} \cdot \frac{4L}{3\pi} \left(e^{-it(E_2 - E_1)/\hbar} + e^{it(E_2 - E_1)/\hbar} \right) \\ &= \frac{8}{3L} \cdot 2i \sin \left(it \underbrace{\frac{E_2 - E_1}{\hbar}}_{\omega} \right) \\ &= \frac{16iL}{3} \sin(i\omega t) \end{aligned}$$

Where in the second to last step we've used the identity that $\sin x = (e^x + e^{-x})/2i$. And since here, $\omega = (E_2 - E_1)/\hbar$, then we are done. \square
