

EmbryoGENE Methylation Analysis Pipeline

A manual for the analysis of hybridization results for the EmbryoGENE bovine epigenetics platform





Table of contents

1.	. Platform information	3
	1.1 Platform summary	3
	1.2 Probes	5
	1.2.1 Control probes	5
	1.2.2 Methylation probes	5
	1.2.3 Probe naming convention	5
	1.4 Probe annotation	6
2.	. Analysis	8
	2.1 Image file analysis	8
	2.2 Intensity file analysis	8
	2.2.1 ELMA	8
	2.2.2 EMAP	8
	2.2.2 Other analysis options	8
3.	Quality control	9
	3.1 Digestion control analysis	13
	3.1 Spike analysis	14
4.	Limma analysis and differentially methylated region detection	15
	4.1 Identification of probes above the background level	15
	4.2 MA Plot	17
	4.3 Normalization	19
	4.4 Linear fit	20
5.	Other results	22
	5.1 Visualisation through bedgraph files	22
	5.2 Ingenuity Pathway Analysis input file	22
	5.3 Methylation variation hotspots	22
	5.4 Enrichment analysis of probe categories	23
	5.5 Circular plot	28
	5.5.1 Combined circular plot	29
	5.5.2 Standalone epigenetic circular plot	30
6.	Frequently Asked Questions (FAQ)	31

1. Platform information

1.1 Platform summary

The EmbryoGENE epigenetic platform allows the study of methylation and hydroxymethylation in the bovine epigenome. It is based on an Agilent manufactured 2 x 400K oligo-array which contains a total of 414,566 probes surveying 20,355 genes and 34,379 CpG islands. All experiments using the EmbryoGENE epigenetic platform involve at least three steps: (1) genomic digestion using the Msel restriction enzyme, (2) methylation-sensitive fragment selection and (3) microarray hybridization.

To maximize coverage while reducing costs, the EmbryoGENE epigenetic slide was designed assuming that all samples are first subjected to a genomic digestion using the Msel restriction enzyme. This yields a predictable set of genomic fragments, and each probe on the microarray is designed to measure the abundance of one of those fragments.

The second step, fragment selection, can be done either through methylation-sensitive digestion and ligation mediated amplification PCR (LMA-PCR) or through the use of methylbinding proteins (MBP). In the first of those methods, adapters are ligated to the Msel genomic fragments, which are then subjected to methyl-sensitive restriction enzymes. Unmethylated fragments are cut, and thus cannot be amplified in the following PCR (Figure 1). The EmbryoGENE bovine epigenetics platform was designed with a mix of restriction enzymes in mind (Table 1). In the second method, fragmented DNA is put in contact with magnetic beads coated with MBPs which retain methylated DNA for selective enrichment. While there are currently no standard operating protocol for this type of fragment selection, EDMA's oligos were designed in such a way to be compatible with it.

Nom	Site	Sensitivity
Msel	T/TAA	-
Hpall	C/CGG	5mC
Acil	C/CGC	5mC
HinP1I	GC/GC	5mC

Table 1. Enzymes involved in the LMA-PCR protocol.

Once fragments are selected, they can be hybridized to the EDMA microarray to determine their abundance.




Figure 1. Fragment selection by methylation sensitive digestion and LMA-PCR. (Adapted from De Montera, 2013)

1.2 Probes

1.2.1 Control probes

The EDMA microarray contains three types of control probes

Agilent controls

Standard Agilent controls. The most important is (-)3xSLv1, which can be used to estimate the background intensity level across the slide. More information about Agilent controls is available in the following Agilent brochure.

Digestion controls

Digestion controls are designed with an Msel restriction site at their center and are tiled at every 1M base pairs across the entire bovine genome. They can be used to assess how complete the genomic digestion has been. Their probe names begin with EDMA_DIG.

Spike-in controls

The EDMA spike-in controls are exogenous DNA fragments which were chosen for their lack of homology to the bovine genome and for the presence of specific Hpall, Acil and HinP1l restriction sites within their sequence. They have been artificially methylated or demethylated to provide positive and negative controls for the methylation sensitive digestion step. Their probe names begin with EDMA SPK.

1.2.2 Methylation probes

All EDMA probes which are not controls are meant to measure genomic methylation and/or hydroxymethylation. The regions selected for probe design were chosen either because they overlapped CpG islands or because they were identified in preliminary experiments which are detailed in De Montera, 2013.

1.2.3 Probe naming convention

All probe names start with "EDMA_TYP", where TYP is the probe **type**, either MET (Methylation, standard probe), DIG (Digestion control) or SPK (Spike). For MET and DIG probes, this is followed by "_XX_YYYYY", where XX is the **chromosome number** (30 for chromosome X) and YYYYY is the **sequence number of the probe** on the chromosome, ie, the first probe on the chromosome is labeled 00001, the second 00002, etc. For SPK probes, the type is followed by _ENZ_Pos/Neg_X, where ENZ is either Hpa (for HpaII), Aci (For AciI) or Hin (for HinP1I), Pos/Neg is the control type (positive or negative) and X is a unique identifier.

1.4 Probe annotation

The full annotation for the EDMA microarray is available either as a <u>tab-separated text file</u> or an Excel xlsx file. The meaning of each field of the annotation follows:

Probe

Probe ID.

Sequence

Probe sequence.

Number of hits

The estimated number of genomic intervals this probe should hybridize with. For probes targeting specific intervals, this should be 1. For probes targeting repeated elements, this number may vary.

Chr / Probe Start / Probe End

For probes targeting a single interval, the position of the probe's alignment to the genome. If this probe may target multiple regions, these fields are empty.

Fragment Start/ Fragment End

For probes targeting a single interval, the position of the start and end of the Msel-Msel fragment it should hybridize to.

CpG

Number of CpG dinucleotides within the Msel-Msel fragment.

HpaII/ AciI/ HinP1I/ FspBI/ MseI

Number of restriction sites for each enzyme which can be found within the Msel-Msel fragment.

Cpg Island

Number of base pairs annotated as being part of a CpG Island within the Msel-Msel fragment.

Exon / Intron / Proximal Promoter / Promoter / Distal Promoter

These fields detail which genes/transcripts can be found within the Msel-Msel fragment targeted by the probe. For exons and introns, the format of each entry is [Gene Symbol]-[Exon/Intron Number], whereas for promoter elements, only the [Gene Symbol] is present. Multiple genes/introns/exons may be present within each field, and are separated by spaces.

The "Proximal Promoter", "Promoter" and "Distal Promoter" regions are defined as the first 1kbp, 5kbp and 50kbp 5' of the transcription start site.

Fragment/Probe Repeat Family

Name of the repeat families which are found within the sequence of the probe or of the MSel-Msel fragment. Multiple entries are separated by spaces.

Fragment/Probe Repeat Percent

Percentage of base-pairs within the probe/Msel-Msel fragment that have been identified as being part of a repeated element.

Fragment/Probe GC Percent

GC percent within the probe/Msel-Msel fragment.

UCSC_CpG_Proximity

How close to a CpG Island the Msel-Msel fragment is. Possible values are "Open Sea" (>4kbp), "Shelf" (4kbp-2kbp), "Shore" (2kbp-1) and "Island" (A CpG island lies within the bounds of the fragment).

CpG_Length

The length of the CpG Island (if any) of which the Msel-Msel fragment targeted by this probe is part.

CpG_Density

Percent of CpG dinucleotides within the CpG island which overlaps the Msel-Msel fragment targeted by this probe.

Gene_Distance

The distance (in bp) of the closest gene from the Msel-Msel fragment. Negative values indicate genes that are upstream using the standard genomic orientation of the UMD3.1 bovine genome assembly.

EMBV3_Probe

The EMBV3 probe associated with the gene closest to the EDMA probe, if such a gene exists within 50kbp of the Msel-Msel fragment.

2. Analysis

2.1 Image file analysis

Image files must be converted to intensity files before they can be analyzed. This process can be completed using the ArrayPro software. EmbryoGENE has produced <u>a guide on using ArrayPro</u> to analyze microarray scans (french).

2.2 Intensity file analysis

2.2.1 ELMA

The EmbryoGENE Material Transfer Agreement states that the intensity files of all microarray hybridizations using EmbryoGENE's platforms should be deposited into the <u>ELMA LIMS</u>. ELMA is a MIAME compliant LIMS that was developed specifically for EmbryoGENE sponsored projects. It provides storage for both data and metadata as well as basic data analysis. To obtain an ELMA account, contact one of <u>ELMA's administrators</u>.

2.2.2 **EMAP**

The EmbryoGENE Methylation Analysis Pipeline is a <u>set of R scripts</u> specifically for the analysis of EDMA slides. Users who feel comfortable with the R environment can download and run the scripts themselves. Users who feel less adventurous can ask one of EmbryoGENE's bioinformaticians with assistance in getting the scripts running. EMAP is recommended as a first step to all EMDA microarray analysis, and sections 3, 4 and 5 deal with the output of this analysis pipeline.

2.2.2 Other analysis options

EDMA microarrays can also be analyzed through any standard microarray analysis pipeline or software. One of those software is <u>FlexArray</u>, whose two color component was developed in collaboration with EmbryoGENE. Training material for FlexArray produced by Genome Québec can be found <u>here</u>. Additionally, EmbryoGENE has produced a <u>user guide for two-color analysis using FlexArray</u>. A list of other microarray analysis software can be found on the <u>EmbyroGENE genome browser's Tool page</u>.

3. EMAP result archive

EMAP results come packed in a zip archive containing dozen of files spreading tens of folder. This section describes what each of these files refer to, and which section of this manual can give you more information about them.




Figure 2. EMAP result archive directory hierarchy

1. Combined

This folder contains the results of the combined analysis of both the transcriptome and the epigenome.

Concordant.txt

Coordinates and gene names of fragments which shows opposing and statistically significant changes in both the transcriptome and the epigenome.

*.combined.legend.png

Combined circular plots. Refer to sections 5.5 and 5.5.1.

2. Transcriptomic

This folder contains the resuts of the transcriptomic analysis, is transcriptomic raw data was provided.

Master.txt

Combines NormData.txt, LimmaAnalysis.txt, AboveBackground.txt and probe annotations into a single text file. It is the "catch-all" file.

NormData.txt

Normalized intensity values for all transcriptomic probes. See section 5.3.

LimmaAnalysis.txt

The transcriptomic fold-changes and p-values for all probes. See section 5.4.

DiffExpr.txt

A subset of LimmaAnalysis.txt containing only probes which meet the threshold for statistical significance.

AboveBG.txt

Number of arrays in which probes have signal above the background. See section 5.1.

Nolcano.png

A volcano plot. See section 5.4.

*.bedgraph

Bedgraph files representing transcriptomic fold-changes and p-values. See section 6.1.

3. Epigenetic

This folder contains the results of the epigenetic analysis.

IPA.txt

File for input in IPA. See section 6.2.

HotSpots.txt

Helps finding hot spots of methylation. See section 6.3.

3.1. Bedgraph

This folder contains bedgraph files. See section 6.1.

3.2. Limma Analysis

Master.txt

Combines NormData.txt, LimmaAnalysis.txt, AboveBackground.txt and probe annotations into a single text file. It is the "catch-all" file.

NormData.txt

Normalized intensity values for all transcriptomic probes. See section 5.3.

LimmaAnalysis.txt

The transcriptomic fold-changes and p-values for all probes. See section 5.4.

DiffExpr.txt

A subset of LimmaAnalysis.txt containing only probes which meet the threshold for statistical significance.

AboveBG.txt

Number of arrays in which probes have signal above the background. See section 5.1.

Volcano.png

A volcano plot. See section 5.4. Number of probes above the background.png

Histogram of the number of arrays in which a probe is above the background.png See section 5.1, figure 5.

Venn diagram of probes above the background in all arrays of a given condition.png

See section 5.1, figure 7.

Number of probes above the background.png

See section 5.1, figure 6.

Genomic digestion for *.png

QC plot for assessing completeness of digestion. See section 4.1, figure 3.

Spike digestion for *.png

QC plot to assess differential digestion. See section 4.2, figure 4.

MA Plot for *.png

MA plot for raw and normalized intensities. See sections 5.2 and 5.3, figures 8 and 9.

3.4. Enrichment Analysis

This folder contains all results pertaining to enrichment analysis. Contained within each of its subfolders are the following common elements (which might themselves be split among subfolders):

Enrichment - *.txt

Text files detailing the results of the enrichment analysis for a specific categorization criterion. See section 6.4.

Absolute proportions of selected probes.png

See section 6.4.1, figure 11.

Enrichment ratios of selected probes.png

See section 6.4.2, figure 12.

- Absolute proportions of hypermethylated elements within selected probes.png See section 6.4.3, figure 13.
- Enrichment ratios of hypermethylated elements within selected probes.png See section 6.4.4, figure 14.
- Per-tissue enrichment ratios of hypermethylated elements within selected probes.png

See section 6.4.5, figure 15.

Selected probes - Combined enrichment.png

Combines the results of all "Enrichment ratios of selected probes" plots.

Hypermethylation within selected probes - Combined enrichment.png
Combines the results of all "Enrichment ratios of hypermethylated elements within selected probes" plots.

3.4.1. **[Condition 1]**

Enrichment plots when considering all probes above the background in [Condition 1].

3.4.2. **[Condition 2]**

Enrichment plots when considering all probes above the background in [Condition 1].

Enrichment plots when considering all probes showing differential methylation. Each type of categorization has its own subfolder.

- 3.4.3.3. Distance from CpG Island
- **3.4.3.4. <u>Marge Genic Region</u>**
- 3.4.3.5. **Repeat**

4. Quality control

Both ELMA and the EmbryoGENE R scripts produce two set of quality control plots, which are detailed in this section. All quality control plots are based on raw intensity data.

4.1 Digestion control analysis

Multiple probes on the array are designed to have an Msel restriction site in the middle of their sequence. They can be used to assess how complete the genomic digestion step has been. The produced boxplot (Figure 3) displays how these probes behave on a per-chromosome basis. The dashed horizontal line represents the "detection cutoff" intensity value (See section 5.1). Lower digestion control intensities, optimally below the intensity cutoff line, indicate that the genomic digestion was successful.




Figure 3. Example digestion control box plot.

4.2 Spike analysis

To determine the processivity of the methyl-sensitive enzymes used in the digestion step, we produced exogenous spike-in controls from tomato DNA. Those controls contain Hpall, Acil and HinP1I restriction sites which have been either artificially methylated or demethylated using methylases and PCR, respectively. The digestion of spike controls (Figure 4) plot shows an estimation of the percentage of digestion of each type of spikes as inferred from the range of intensity values of the associated probes. Lower values for non-methylated controls are best, and high values for positive controls are better.




Figure 4. Example spike control box plot.

5. Limma analysis and differentially methylated region detection

Most of the statistical analysis within EMAP is performed using the <u>Limma bioconductor package</u> (<u>Smyth, GK (2005)</u>). The steps involved in this linear analysis (along with their results) are presented in this section.

5.1 Identification of probes above the background level

The EDMA microarray contains non-specific control probes ("(-)3xSLv1"), which consist of random 60-mers with no known homology to the bovine genome. These controls can be used to assess the level of "noise" on the microarray, which includes background fluorescence and non-specific binding of short nucleotide sequences. For each array, we define our **D**etection **C**utoff for array **i**, **DC**_i, as:

$$DC_i = mean(NC_i) + 4 * standard deviation(NC_i)$$

where **NC**_i is the set of measured fluorescence intensities of all **N**egative **C**ontrol probes on array **i**. Assuming a normal distribution for background intensity, this detection cutoff should discriminate between actual signal and background noise in 99.99% of cases.

WARNING: While the detection cutoff can successfully and reliably differentiate between background noise and actual signal, having a signal does not necessarily imply methylation in the target region. Because of the combined effect of incomplete digestions and small amounts of linear amplification of digested material, non-methylated regions of the genome might exhibit some signal above the detection cutoff. Nevertheless, the probability of methylation is a direct function of signal intensity and, on the scale of a whole array, comparison to the detection cutoff is a reliable indicator of overall methylation levels.

EMAP compares the signal intensities of all probes on an array with their respective detection cutoffs, and produce the following plots:

- An histogram of the number of arrays in which probes are found to be above the cutoff, for each conditions (Figure 5).
- A comparison of the total number of probes per array which are above the detection cutoff, for each conditions (Figure 6). The indicated p-value expresses the likelihood that the number of probes above the cutoff is different between the two conditions.
- A venn diagram showing the overlap of probes above the detection cutoffs for all arrays of the reference condition, all arrays of the second condition, and the differentially methylated regions identified by the linear fit (See section 5.4) (Figure 7)




Figure 5. Histogram of the number of arrays in which probes are found to be above the detection cutoff, per condition.




Figure 6. Total number of probes per array which are above the detection cutoff, per condition.

Probes expressed above the background in all arrays of a given condition




Figure 7. Venn diagram showing the overlap between probes found above the detection cutoff of all arrays in the reference condition, probes found above the detection cutoff of all arrays in the other condition, and the differentially expressed probes identified by limma.

5.2 MA Plot

Two-color microarrays are usually analyzed using M and A values. Simply put, M-values represent the log_2 of the red intensity **MINUS** the log_2 of the green intensity, while A-values represent the **AVERAGE** of the log_2 of the intensities. Formally:

$$\mathbf{M} = \log_2 R - \log_2 G$$

$$A = \frac{(\log_2 R + \log_2 G)}{2}$$

MA values are usually represented using an MA plot (Figure 8). EMAP produces an MA plot for each of the arrays in an experiment.




Figure 8. Example MA plot of raw data.

The EMAP MA plot contains the following elements:

- In black, the M and A values for all standard (EDMA_MET) probes.
- In blue, the M and A values for all genomic digestion controls (EDMA_DIG).
- In red, the M and A values for all exogenous spike controls (EDMA SPK).
- The yellow dashed line represents a loess curve fitted to all of the probes on the array.
- The orange dashed line represents a weighted <u>loess curve</u> where half of the weight is given to the exogenous spike control, and the other half is spread amongst the genomic digestion controls. All other points are disregarded.

The MA-plot of an EDMA microarray's raw data usually shows a small bias toward positive M values at low A-values, due dye effects. Similarly, a linear bounding creating a triangular shape at low A-values is also expected from probes which show signal in only one of the two conditions.

5.3 Normalization

This raw data presented in the above MA plot is normalized using a two-step process:

- 1. First, a within-array loess normalization is applied. This process fits a loess curve to an array's MA values, then subtracts that curve from all points, leaving only the residuals.
- 2. Secondly, a **between array quantile normalization** which ensures that the intensities have the same empirical distribution across arrays and across channels.

The statistical details of these normalization methods are explained in <u>Smyth, G. K., and Speed, T. P. (2003)</u>. Once the data is normalized, EMAP produces a new MA-plot (Figure 9). Normalized MA plots should be centered around 0.




Figure 9. Example MA plot of normalized data

5.4 Linear fit

Once the data has been normalized, limma establishes fold-changes and the statistical likelihood of differential expression by fitting a gene-by-gene linear model. More details about this process can be found in chapter 8 of the <u>Limma user guide</u>, or within the <u>Smyth</u>, 2004 paper.

The net result is that each probe is associated two values: a fold-change and a p-value. The fold-change, always presented as $\log_2(\text{Other Condition}/\text{Reference Condition})$, represents the ratio of signals between the two conditions. The p-value represents the probability that the mean intensities between conditions is different. In microarray analysis, a probe is considered to be of interest if both its fold-change and p-value meet certain thresholds. By default, EMAP use a fold-change threshold of $\log_2(1.5)$ and a p-value threshold of 0.05 to determine which probes constitute **D**ifferentially **M**ethylated **R**egions (DMRs).

Fold-changes and p-values are best summarized by a volcano plot, which EMAP generates for all experiments (Figure 10). In an EMAP volcano plot, the dashed lines represent the fold-change and p-value thresholds.:




Figure 10. Volcano plot of fold-changes and p-values

The fold-changes and p-values presented in the volcano plot can be found in the LimmaAnalysis.txt text file, which is arguably the most important result file produced by the analysis pipeline. It should be opened from a spreadsheet application, such as Microsoft Excel or OpenOffice Calc, and interpreted in combination with the <u>annotation file</u> describing each probe.

The DiffExpr.txt file is a subset of the LimmaAnalysis.txt file containing probes which met the significance threshold established for the analysis.

WARNING: Due to the enrichment process used to select methylated genomic fragments, there is no linear, highly correlated relationship between the fold-changes calculated by limma and the level of methylation of genomic regions. Thus, while higher fold-changes imply higher odds of differential methylation, a fold-change twice as high for one gene than for another does not imply this gene is two times more methylated. Furthermore, a change in methylation in one region surrounding a gene does not always result in an equivalent change in expression of that same gene.

Thus, the best, most reliable way of interpreting the fold-changes and p-values provided by limma are as **ordered lists of probability of differential methylation**. The default threshold values have been chosen because, in most cases, they give reasonably sized DMR lists and can be used as a basis for inter-experimental comparison of the variation in methylation between two given tissues.

6. Other results

6.1 Visualisation through bedgraph files

The pipeline produces various bedgraph files which can be imported into visualization tools such as the <u>UCSC genome browser</u> or our own <u>mirror at EmbryoGene</u>. They contain an association of genomic coordinates and values. What each file represents is indicated by its the file name. Files starting with "Probe" associate values to probe coordinates, whereas files starting with "Fragment" associate the exact same values to the coordinates of the Msel-Msel restriction fragment targeted by those probes. There are bedgraph files for fold-changes, condition means and p-values. These files can be used to visualize changes around genomic regions, and are what is used to generate the circos plots which are the object of section 6.5.

6.2 Ingenuity Pathway Analysis input file

Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) requires a one-to-one association of genes and fold-changes/p-value pairs. However, since multiple probes assess methylation changes in and around most genes, and that certain probes are close to more than one gene, such a one-to-one relationship is not self-evident. EMAP solves this problem by generating the IPA.txt file, which, for all genes surveyed by the EDMA array, looks for the associated probe with the highest fold-change and lowest p-value, and associates those values with the gene's symbol. This results in an optimistic, upper-bounded estimation of methylation changes across the genome.

WARNING: Given that (as stated in section 5.4) EMAP fold-changes are not a linear function of the levels of methylation, the wisdom of using them within IPA is uncertain. The IPA.txt file is provided as a service, but each individual user should determine if such an analysis makes sense in the context of his or her experiment.

6.3 Methylation variation hotspots

The HotSpots.txt file contains averages of p-values of differential methylation over windows of 100K nucleotides. More specifically, for all probes on the array, we look up all other probes within 100K nucleotides upstream and downstream, and average the p-values thus obtained. The PValue, CloseProbes and MeanPValue columns contain the p-value for the "center" probe, the number of probes within the 100K window and the average p-values for all those probes, respectively. The averaged p-values have no statistical meaning, but can be used as an indicator for regions of interest, which we call "methylation hotspots". We recommend opening this file in a spreadsheet program and setting appropriate sort orders and filters, such as a descending average p-value sort and a filter to keep only regions where a significant number of probes are present (such as >5).

6.4 Enrichment analysis of probe categories

6.4.1 Enrichment analysis basics

The analysis pipeline performs simple tests to determine if given categories of probes are enriched within the hybridization results. All enrichment analyses comprise three main steps:

- 1. All probes on the array are split into categories based on a feature of interest. For example, given the question: "What kind of genomic region does this probe fall in?", every probe on the array can be categorized as being either inside an **intron**, an **exon**, a **proximal promoter**, a plain **promoter**, a **distal promoter** or an **intergenic region**.
- A set of probes is defined as the probes of interests. This can be the set of all DMRs or the set of all probes which are above the background in a given condition. These probes are referred to as the **selected probes**.
- 3. EMAP will now compare the proportions of probes in all categories defined in step 1, both for the **set of all probes on the microarray** and the **set of all selected probes**. The simplest way of illustrating this comparison is shown in figure 11:




Figure 11. Absolute proportions of selected probes.png. This graph represents the proportion of probes in each categories within (i) the set of all probes on the microarray and (ii) the set of all selected probes.

One can see by looking at figure 11 that the yellow box, representing exons, is much larger in the set of selected probes than it is in the set of all probes in the microarray. This indicates that exons, as a class, might hold some property that favors their inclusion in the set of selected probes, and might thus hold some biological meaning.

6.4.2 Enrichment ratios

However, this plot of absolute proportions is hard to assess quantitatively, as the relation between the sizes of the compartments might not be self evident. Thus, EMAP produces another plot, the **Enrichment ratios of selected probes**. This plot represents the ratio between the proportion of probes which fall within a specific category in the set of selected probes, and the same proportion for the set of all probes in the microarray. Thus, if 60% of selected probes are exonic, but on the microarray as a whole, only 30% of probes fall within that category, then the category "Exons" would have an enrichment ratio of 60%/30%=2. These ratios are then presented on a log₂ scale. An example is shown in figure 12:




Figure 12. Enrichment ratios of selected probes. A bar of length 1 indicates the proportion of probes of that category within the set of all selected probes is twice the value of that same proportion within the set of all probes on the microarray. The numbers besides a bar represents how many selected probes fit in that category, and the percentage of all selected probes that this represents.

Interpretation of an enrichment ratio graph depends on how the set of selected probes is defined:

- When DMRs are selected, a negative enrichment indicates a tendency to conservation
 of methylation within regions of that category, while positive enrichments indicate that
 changes in methylation are more likely to occur within that category.
- When probes above the cutoff are selected, negative enrichments indicate that such probes tend to be un- or hypo-methylated, whereas positive enrichments indicate that probes within that category tend to be methyated or hypermethylated.

6.4.3 Absolute proportions of hypermethylated elements within selected probes

While the previous graphs showed the extent of changes across categories, they say nothing about the direction of those changes, IE, the proportion of regions which are hypermethylated compared to those which are hypomethylated. To assess this distribution, EMAP produces the **Absolute proportions of hypermethylated elements within selected probes** plot, shown here in figure 13:




Figure 13. Absolute proportions of hypermethylated elements within selected probes, split by category. The dotted line represents the baseline of this ratio when all selected probes are taken into account, regardless of category.

A probe is defined as "hyper-methylated in condition X" as a function of its fold-change in the linear fit analysis (see section 5.4). All probes are thus considered to be either hyper-methylated in the reference condition or hyper-methylated in the other condition. Consequently, the proportion of probes hyper-methylated in condition X for a given category and the proportion of probes hypermethylated in condition Y for that same category always sum up to 100%.

The plot of absolute proportions of hypermethylated elements thus helps in assessing how hypermethylation is spread across the various functional categories, in much the same way as the volcano plot (see section 5.4, figure 10) does for the overall experiment.

6.4.4 Enrichment ratios of hypermethylated elements within selected probes

However, for the same reason cited when discussing the absolute proportions of selected probes (section 6.4.1, figure 11), the absolute proportions of hypermethylated elements can be difficult to interpret as a measure of relative hypermethylation. To help in this endeavour, EMAP produces the plot of **Enrichment ratios of hypermethylated elements within selected probes**, presented here in figure 14:




Figure 14. Enrichment ratios of hypermethylated elements within selected probes. A value of 1 indicates that in a given category, a region is two times more likely to be hypermethylated in the treatment condition compared to the reference condition. The dotted line represents the enrichment ratio of hypermethylation across all selected probes. The numbers besides bars represent the number of probes within this category which are hypermethylated in each condition.

In this plot, positive enrichments indicate tendency to hypermethylation in the treatment condition, while negative enrichments indicate a tendency toward hypermethylation in the reference condition.

WARNING: Plots showing the distribution of hypermethylation make the most sense when the set of selected probes consists of DMRs. In this case, the fold-changes used to assess the direction of hypermethylation are always above the significance thresholds and are therefore truly informative. Other sets of probes, such as the probes above the background levels for a given condition, might have untrustworthy fold-changes with low p-values, which will result in a very noisy analysis.

6.4.5 Per-tissue enrichment ratios of hypermethylated elements within selected probes

The plots of sections 6.4.1 and 6.4.2 compared the proportions of certain categories of probes within the set of selected probes and the set of all probes on the microarray. Those of sections 6.4.3 and 6.4.4 compared the split between hypermethylation in the reference condition and the treatment condition in those came categories. The final enrichment plot produced by EMAP, the **Per-tissue enrichment ratios of hypermethylated elements within selected probes**, combines elements of both types of plots and compares the proportion of probes hypermethylated in one tissue within a category to that same proportion across the set of all probes. In other words, the type of analysis used to produce the plots of section 6.4.1 and 6.4.2 is applied two times, once on the subset of probes hypermethylated in the treatment tissue, and once on the subset of probes hypermethylated in the reference tissue. The result is shown in figure 15:




Figure 15. Per-tissue enrichment ratios of hypermethylated elements within selected probes

6.5 Circular plot

For each experiment, the analysis pipeline produces three circular plots:

- X.legend.png, which summarizes and presents all EDMA probes.
- X-Significant.png, which summarizes all identified DMRs.
- X-30K.legend.png, which sets a arbitrary fold-change and p-value thresholds at the 30,000th most significant elements of the EDMA array. That is to say, all absolute fold-changes and p-values are sorted in ascending and descending orders, respectively, and the 30,000th element of both lists are identified. These values are then used as cutoff for filtering all probes. This is done to generate probe lists that are ~15,000 elements long and represent the most variable elements on the array, for when the list of DMRs is too sparse to produce a nice genome-wide plot.

Furthermore, EMAP can produce two types of circular plots, according to the types of data which are provided. If both transcriptomic and epigenetic data are provided, EMAP produces a combined plot (section 6.5.1). If only epigenetic data is available, EMAP produces a standalone epigenetic plot (section 6.5.2). All layers of all plots show values within windows of 5,000 bases. P-value layers have an overlay representing the location of the 100 most differentially methylated/expressed probes. Hyper-methylated/Over-expressed probes are shown as upward yellow arrows; hypo-methylated/Under-expressed probes are shown as downward red arrows.

6.5.1 Combined circular plot

Combined analyses (Transcriptomic + epigenetic, figure 16) produce a circular plot presenting 5-layers of data. Do note that the filtering steps for the -Significant and -30K plots only apply for the epigenetic results.

- 1. Epigenetic p-values
- 2. Epigenetic fold-changes
- 3. Transcriptomic p-values
- 4. Transcriptomic fold-changes
- 5. A list of positioned genes whose transcriptomic and epigenetic changes vary in opposite directions, referred to as "Concordant changes".




Figure 16. Combined circular plot.

6.5.2 Standalone epigenetic circular plot

For epigenetic experiments without a transcriptomic counterpart, the circular plot presents the following layers:

- 1. Epigenetic p-values
- 2. Epigenetic fold-changes
- 3. Mean intensity for the reference condition
- 4. Fold-changes of imprinted genes
- 5. Gene-symbols of imprinted genes presented on layer 4.




Figure 17. Circular plot for epigenetic analysis without an accompanying transcriptomic analysis.

6. Frequently Asked Questions (FAQ)

Q: How can I know if a genomic region is methylated?

A: You can compare the signal for that region with the detection cutoff (See section 5.1). While being above the cutoff is not a **guaranteed** sign of methylation, being consistently above it in a given condition is a strong indicator of possible methylation. Note however that being below the cutoff is **not** indicative of a complete lack of methylation. An Msel-Msel fragment might contain numerous sites targeted by the methyl-sensitive enzymes, and all of those sites must evade digestion for the fragment to be exponentially amplified. Thus a fragment with five methylsensitive sites, four of which are methylated, could still end up with a signal below the cutoff.

Q: My exogenous spike controls are in my list of DMRs. What does this mean?

This might mean that either your starting quantities of DNA were uneven, or that one of your tissues shows such hypomethylation compared to the other that the sensitive digestion caused an uneven bias in the first or second round of PCR.

Q: How can I verify if my favorite imprinted gene shows change in methylation?

Determine the genomic coordinates which act as imprinting controls, and find the probe(s) surveying these coordinate. Be aware that since the EDMA platform look for methylation changes in broad regions, fine-grained methylation control (based on changes in only one or two CpG dinucleotides) might escape detection.

Q: What should I do with my list of DMRs?

EMAP provides a wide-range of pre-packaged analysis options, including hotspot detection, a file for importing into IPA, files for visualizing results in a genome browser, category enrichment, and a list of genes showing concordant canonical changes in both the epigenome and transcriptome. Beyond that, you will have to survey your region list the old fashioned way and use your knowledge of the underlying biology to make sense of the data.

Q: What are the default fold-change and p-value cutoffs for identifying DMRs?

EMAP uses an absolute fold-change of log2(1.5) and a p-value of 0.05 as defaults cutoffs for DMR identification.