Milestone 3 review: Review Summary

Fall 2018

Summary and plans (to be filled out by the team after M3 review meeting and submitted with Milestone 5 documents)

Team: 15　　　　**Date: 11/27/2018**　　　　**Number of students present: 4**

1. *UI and functionality feedback (P1 functions only)*

Home page
- Larger Font on upper right corner

- No Home in upper right corner (only use logo)

- Change color of disclaimer

- Line of text to tell about site -> This site is for students to buy and sell items

- Which items are on the front site? Most recent ones?

Search results
- Item site: Price above items

Search Details and maps
- Pick-up location optional

- Items detail should be in new tab

- "Contact seller" button at bottom of item details

- Rearrange price to fit left hand site on sell item page

Messaging/contact seller
- Pre-filled topic for message e.g Item, Phone contact

Login / registration

- Only sign up or sign in on one page

Miscellaneous
- Check for uploaded file
- Say user how many files they have to upload (1-5)
- Check copyright images

2. *Feedback on coding style, github, database etc.*

- GitHub okay, but improve comments for commits

- Agree on header comments
- Agree on coding style

3. Feedback on Project status

a) *Teamwork*: is the team working out, any related issues

SFSU team is working out well. Collaboration with Fulda is OK but time zones are a huge issue.

b) *Risks*: all <u>actual</u> (not hypothetical) risks (schedule, technical, skills etc.) should be identified and either resolved or plans made how to resolve them asap.

Schedule: We are a little bit behind our own schedule but confident to catch up.

c) *Coding practices*: by this time you should have decided which coding style to use and actually use it, so be ready to report on this. Actual code will be verified

Camel case and brief header comments

d) *Usage of proper SE code management practices*: be ready to comment on how you manage code submissions, reviews, build and test etc. This will be verified by looking at actual code and server logs

Peer review is practiced for every pull request. At least one team member must confirm. Builds are done manually
Tests: Test automation should be used

e) *How did you address site security and safe coding practices:* PW management, use of secure protocols, checking for valid inputs etc.

HTTPS should be used for communication between server (Note: In the meantime, the decision has been made that only one server will be used. Therefore this is now obsolete)

f) *Digital content* (e.g. images, video) please provide information on status and availability, chosen formats, resolution etc.
Resolution can differ, format is PNG, copyright is respected

g) Agree on final P1 list and from then on focus only on those

Prio 1:
- Home
- Search
- Detail

- Message
- Post
- Login (Registration)

Prio 1.5:
- User dashboard
- Admin dashboard

4. ***List of committed P1 functions (agreed upon at the review meeting)***
   - Home
   - Search
   - Detail

5. ***Action items (plans based on feedback above)***

   - Inform Fulda team about new priorities
   - Discuss communication between servers (now obsolete)
   - Ask Fulda for confirmation of APIs and database schema