**You will peer evaluate two of your classmates (whose last names directly follow yours).**

Based on the following 7 evaluation metrics, write a reviewer’s report of comments with no more than 200 words in total for each student. Please give a score for each evaluation metric, and add up your total score for each report.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Abstract: | 5% | Provide context, motivation, and summary of findings. What questions are being answered? Why are these questions interesting/important? |
| Data: | 5% | Variables descriptions? What cleanups were done to the data? Good Graphics and Visualizations? |
| Models: | 5% | What did you do? What models and techniques did you use? Was any innovation attempted? |
| Results: | 5% | Did you properly evaluate your models performance? What are your conclusions? |
| Code: | 5% | Well documented Python codes with reproducible outputs? Good programming? |
| Quality: | 5% | Clarity of writing/presenting? Good readability of Notebook? |
| Complexity: | 5% | Complexity of your entire data collection, preprocessing, modeling, and analyses process in terms of data size and models sophistications. |

Your name: **Erich Seamon**

The student’s name you are reviewing: **Chung Yan Wan**

The title of the project you are reviewing: **“Personal Financial distress in the next two years”**

Your scores and comments for each evaluation metric and the total:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Abstract** | **Data** | **Models** | **Results** | **Code** | **Quality** | **Complexity** | **TOTAL** |
| 5% | 5% | 5% | 5% | 5% | 5% | 5% | 35% |
| 4 % | 5% | 4.5% | 5% | 5% | 4% | 5% | 32.5% |
| Good abstract – could be more specific | Nice dataset with regards to financial levels. There is a lot of potential with this dataset, which also makes for complexity, so kudos on that | Nicely applied models, maybe refine a bit with some of the min sampling for the bagging | Nicely presented results | Good programming | Presentation was good. You might describe the data in the beginning a bit better, to lay the foundation for describing the analyses | I think the data was fairly complex, so nice selection | Good job! Dig further into that dataset if you want, it looks interesting |