

NOAA Storm Database - worst cases

erickfis

2017 maio, 03

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Objective	2
3	Methods	2
4	Data Processing	2
5	Human health: the most harmful events	3
5.1	Fatal Occurrences	3
5.1.1	Most fatal in a single occurrence	3
5.1.2	Most fatal in all time	4
5.1.3	Least fatal events	6
5.2	Injuring Occurrences	7
5.2.1	Most injuring in a single occurrence	7
5.2.2	Most injuring in all time	8
5.2.3	Least injuring events	8
6	Economy: the the most harmful events	9
6.1	Property losses	9
6.1.1	Most Property Damaging event in a single occurrence	9
6.1.2	Most Property Damaging event in all time	10
6.1.3	Least property damaging events	10
6.2	Crop losses	11
6.2.1	Most Crop Damaging event in a single occurrence	11
6.2.2	Most Crop Damaging event in all time	12
6.2.3	Least crops damaging events	12
7	Most afflicted locations	18
7.1	Worst fatality count	18
7.2	Worst injuries count	18
7.3	Worst property losses	18
7.4	Worst crops losses	19
8	Results	19
8.1	Population Health	19
8.2	Economic Damages	21
8.3	Most afflicted locations	22
8.4	Distribution of data	22

1 Introduction

In this study we have analysed the NOAA Storm Database in order to determine what are the worst natural catastrophic events, both in terms of public health and in economic impact.

The U.S. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's (NOAA) storm database tracks characteristics of major storms and weather events in the United States, including when and where they occur, as well as estimates of any fatalities, injuries, and property damage.

The database currently contains data from January 1950 to January 2017, as entered by NOAA's National Weather Service (NWS).

The database can be found on:

<https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/stormevents/ftp.jsp>

RPubs version, with fewer plots, for Coursera: <http://rpubs.com/erickfis/noaa>

2 Objective

The goal of this study is to answer the questions:

1. Across the United States, which types of events were the most harmful with respect to population health ever recorded in a single occurrence?
2. Which types of events caused most harm to population health along all those years?
3. Which types of events had the greatest economic consequences in a single occurrence?
4. Which types of events had the greatest economic consequences along all those years?
5. Which were the places that were subject to the greatest losses, both in terms of human health and economic losses.

3 Methods

To answer each one of those questions, we did a very simple **descriptive analysis** of data.

We used R tools to filter, sort and combine data, so we could get the total sum of fatalities, injuries and economic losses.

4 Data Processing

This code loads the original data and then choose which variables are useful to answer our questions:

Reading original database:

```
##
Read 20.7% of 967216 rows
Read 41.4% of 967216 rows
Read 56.9% of 967216 rows
Read 78.6% of 967216 rows
Read 85.8% of 967216 rows
Read 902297 rows and 37 (of 37) columns from 0.523 GB file in 00:00:07

## [1] "state.."      "bgn.date"    "bgn.time"    "time.zone"   "county"
## [6] "countyname" "state"       "evtype"      "bgn.range"   "bgn.azi"
## [11] "bgn.locati"  "end.date"    "end.time"    "county.end"  "countyendn"
## [16] "end.range"   "end.azi"     "end.locati"  "length"      "width"
## [21] "f"           "mag"         "fatalities"  "injuries"    "propdmg"
## [26] "propdmgexp" "cropdmg"     "cropdmgexp" "wfo"         "stateoffic"
```

```
## [31] "zonenames" "latitude" "longitude" "latitude.e" "longitude."
## [36] "remarks" "refnum"
```

This database has 902297 observations. Each observation corresponds to an event occurrence.

To determine the most harmful events to human health, we will check the variables related to human health, which are “fatalities” and “injuries”.

To determine the most harmful events to economy, we will check the variables related to economic measures, from “propdmg” through “croptdmgexp”.

Also, in order to analyse various occurrences of the same event, we will measure the duration of the event, its magnitude and where the event occurred (state and county name).

```
## [1] "K" "M" NA "B" "m" "+" "O" "5" "6" "?" "4" "2" "3" "h" "7" "H" "-"
## [18] "1" "8"
## [1] NA "M" "K" "m" "B" "?" "O" "k" "2"
```

This is a really big database which data has been being registered by a lot of different people since 1950. Thus, as expected, there are variations on how people registered events.

For example, the string “snow” was used to register a lot of events. They are the same type of event, but count as different:

```
## [1] 118
## [1] "accumulated snowfall" "blizzard and heavy snow"
## [3] "blizzard/heavy snow" "blowing snow"
## [5] "blowing snow & extreme wind ch" "blowing snow- extreme wind chi"
## [7] "blowing snow/extreme wind chil" "cold and snow"
## [9] "drifting snow" "early snow"
```

This is why we decided to filter those events: we grouped them by its common strings.

5 Human health: the most harmful events

We have determined what events did more harm to human health.

There were occurrences that caused zero fatalities but a lot of injuries. The inverse is also true, so we did a separate analysis to fatal and non-fatal events.

5.1 Fatal Occurrences

5.1.1 Most fatal in a single occurrence

Most fatal in a single occurrence

In order to determine what were the most fatal events in a single occurrence, we need to see how fatalities are distributed along the occurrences.

```
## 99.1% 99.2% 99.3% 99.4% 99.5% 99.6% 99.7% 99.8% 99.9% 100.0%
##      0      0      1      1      1      1      1      2      3     583
```

Looking at this distribution, we can infer that the vast majority of those occurrences were not fatal at all: **99.2% occurrences didn't caused any fatalities.**

On the other hand, fatal occurrences had to have at least 1 fatality.

Now, among the fatal occurrences, we are interested in the ones whose fatalities are beyond the confidence interval, ie. above 99% of the most common values.

```
## 99.9%
## 74.027
```

Looking at this distribution, we can infer that **99.8% of the fatal occurrences caused up to 74.027 fatalities.**

Distribution plots




Figure 1: Population distribution for fatalities / occurrences

In this study, we looked on the 1% deadliest occurrences.

Table 1: Worst fatal occurrences

rank	event	mag	day	duration	state	countyname	fatalities	mean	median
1	HEAT	0	1995-07-12	0S	IL	ILZ003	583	2.171638	1
2	TORNADO	0	2011-05-22	0S	MO	JASPER	158	2.171638	1
3	TORNADO	0	1953-06-08	NA	MI	GENESEE	116	2.171638	1
4	TORNADO	0	1953-05-11	NA	TX	MCLENNAN	114	2.171638	1
5	HEAT	0	1999-07-28	0S	IL	ILZ005	99	2.171638	1
6	TORNADO	0	1953-06-09	NA	MA	WORCESTER	90	2.171638	1
7	TORNADO	0	1955-05-25	NA	KS	COWLEY	75	2.171638	1

The single most fatal event was a **HEAT, that occurred in IL, ILZ003, on 1995-07-12, killing 583 people.**

However, if we compare this single awful event to the mean of fatalities caused, we see that this is very unlikely to happen.

5.1.2 Most fatal in all time

Most fatal in all time

Notice that are several occurrences of the same type of event along the time.




Figure 2: Worst fatal occurrences

Therefore, in order to know which is the worst type of event along all the years, we summed up the fatalities caused by each one of occurrences of this events.

Notice that we are interested only in the worst of them, ie, the ones which are above the mean.

Table 2: Total fatalities by event

rank	event	total	mean	median
1	TORNADO	5636	398.5526	38.5
2	HEAT	3149	398.5526	38.5
3	FLOOD	1553	398.5526	38.5
4	WIND	1451	398.5526	38.5
5	LIGHTNING	816	398.5526	38.5




Figure 3: Total fatalities by event

The most fatal event along the time is the **TORNADO**. It has killed **5636** people until now.

5.1.3 Least fatal events

Just for curiosity, these are the less fatal among the fatal events:

Table 3: Least fatal events

rank	event	total
38	BLACK ICE	1

rank	event	total
37	FROST	1
36	HIGH SWELLS	1
35	WINTRY MIX	1
34	DUST DEVIL	2
33	SLEET	2
32	HIGH WATER	3
31	WATERSPOUT	3
30	HIGH SEAS	5
29	ICY ROADS	5

5.2 Injuring Occurrences

5.2.1 Most injuring in a single occurrence

Most injuring in a single occurrence

In order to determine what were the most injuring events in a single occurrence, we need to see how injuries are distributed along the occurrences.

```
## 97.7% 97.9% 98.1% 98.3% 98.5% 98.7% 98.9% 99.1% 99.3% 99.5% 99.7% 99.9%
##      0      0      1      1      1      1      1      2      3      4      8     25
```

Looking at this distribution, we can infer that the vast majority of those occurrences were not injuring at all: **97.9% occurrences didn't caused any injuries**

On the other hand, injuring occurrences had to have at least 1 injury.

Now, among the injuring occurrences, we are interested in the ones whose harm is beyond the confidence interval, ie. above 99% of the most common values.

```
## 99.9%
##    500
```

Looking at this distribution, we can infer that **99.8% of the injuring occurrences caused up to 500 injuries**.

Distribution plots

In this study, we looked on the 1% most injuring occurrences.

Table 4: Worst injuring occurrences

rank	event	mag	day	duration	state	countyname	injuries	mean	median
1	TORNADO	0	1979-04-10	NA	TX	WICHITA	1700	7.982731	2
2	STORM	0	1994-02-08	0S	OH	OHZ42	1568	7.982731	2
3	TORNADO	0	1953-06-09	NA	MA	WORCESTER	1228	7.982731	2
4	TORNADO	0	1974-04-03	NA	OH	GREENE	1150	7.982731	2
5	TORNADO	0	2011-05-22	0S	MO	JASPER	1150	7.982731	2
6	FLOOD	0	1998-10-17	0S	TX	COMAL	800	7.982731	2
7	TORNADO	0	2011-04-27	0S	AL	TUSCALOOS	800	7.982731	2
8	TORNADO	0	1953-06-08	NA	MI	GENESEE	785	7.982731	2
9	HURRICANE	0	2004-08-13	0S	FL	FLZ055	780	7.982731	2
10	FLOOD	0	1998-10-17	0S	TX	TXZ206	750	7.982731	2
11	TORNADO	0	2011-04-27	0S	AL	JEFFERSON	700	7.982731	2
12	FLOOD	0	1998-10-17	0S	TX	BEXAR	600	7.982731	2

rank	event	mag	day	duration	state	countyname	injuries	mean	median
13	TORNADO	0	1953-05-11	NA	TX	MCLENNAN	597	7.982731	2
14	TORNADO	0	1965-04-11	NA	IN	HOWARD	560	7.982731	2
15	FLOOD	0	1998-10-17	0S	TX	TXZ205	550	7.982731	2
16	HEAT	0	2007-08-04	0S	MO	MOZ061	519	7.982731	2
17	TORNADO	0	1966-03-03	NA	MS	HINDS	504	7.982731	2

The single most injuring event was a **TORNADO**, that occurred in **TX, WICHITA**, on **1979-04-10**, injuring **1700 people**.

However, if we compare this single awful event to the mean of injuries caused, we see that this is very unlikely to happen.

5.2.2 Most injuring in all time

Most injuring in all time

Notice that are several occurrences of the same type of event along the time.

Therefore, in order to know which is the worst type of event along all the years, we summed up the injuries caused by each one of occurrences of this events.

Notice that we are interested only in the worst of them, ie, the ones which are above the mean.

Table 5: Total injuries by event

rank	event	total	mean	median
1	TORNADO	91407	4015.086	232
2	WIND	11497	4015.086	232
3	HEAT	9243	4015.086	232
4	FLOOD	8683	4015.086	232
5	LIGHTNING	5230	4015.086	232

The most injuring event along the time is the **TORNADO**. It has injured **91407 people** until now.

5.2.3 Least injuring events

Just for curiosity, lets show now what are the less injuring among the injuring events:

Table 6: Least injuring events

rank	event	total
35	FROST	3
34	FUNNEL	3
33	TIDE	5
32	TYPHOON	5
31	HIGH SEAS	8
30	OTHER	21
29	BLACK ICE	24
28	WATERSPOUT	29
27	ICY ROADS	31

rank	event	total
26	DROUGHT	33

6 Economy: the the most harmful events

We have determined what events did more harm to economy, both in terms of property and crops damage.

There were events that causes zero property damage but a lot of crop damage. The inverse is also true, so we did a separate analysis to property VS crop damaging events.

6.1 Property losses

6.1.1 Most Property Damaging event in a single occurrence

In order to determine what were the most property damaging events in a single occurrence, we need to see how damages are distributed along the occurrences.

```
##      99.9%
## 25000000
```

Looking at this distribution, we can infer that 99.8% of the occurrences caused less than **\$25,000,000 in losses**.

On the other hand, damaging occurrences had to have damages above zero.

Now, among the damaging occurrences, we are interested in the ones whose damages are above 99.8% of the most common values.

```
##      99.9%
## 120000000
```

Looking at this distribution, we can infer that **99.8% of the damaging occurrences caused up to \$120,000,000 in losses**.

Distribution plots

In this study, we looked on the 1% most harmful occurrences.

Table 7: Worst property damaging occurrences, mean = \$1,790,432 and median = \$10,000

rank	event	mag	day	duration	state	countyname	value
1	FLOOD	0	2006-01-01	0S	CA	NAPA	\$115,000,000,000
2	STORM	0	2005-08-29	0S	LA	LAZ040	\$31,300,000,000
3	HURRICANE	0	2005-08-28	0S	LA	LAZ034	\$16,930,000,000
4	STORM	0	2005-08-29	0S	MS	MSZ080	\$11,260,000,000
5	HURRICANE	0	2005-10-24	0S	FL	FLZ068	\$10,000,000,000
6	HURRICANE	0	2005-08-28	0S	MS	MSZ068	\$7,350,000,000
7	HURRICANE	0	2005-08-29	0S	MS	MSZ018	\$5,880,000,000
8	HURRICANE	0	2004-08-13	0S	FL	FLZ055	\$5,420,000,000
9	STORM	0	2001-06-05	0S	TX	TXZ163	\$5,150,000,000
10	WINTER	0	1993-03-12	0S	AL	ALZ001	\$5,000,000,000
11	FLOOD	0	1993-08-31	NA	IL	ADAMS	\$5,000,000,000
12	HURRICANE	0	2004-09-04	0S	FL	FLZ041	\$4,830,000,000

rank	event	mag	day	duration	state	countyname	value
13	HURRICANE	0	2004-09-13	0S	FL	FLZ001	\$4,000,000,000
14	HURRICANE	0	2005-09-23	0S	LA	LAZ027	\$4,000,000,000
15	TIDE	0	2008-09-12	0S	TX	TXZ213	\$4,000,000,000
16	FLOOD	0	1997-04-18	0S	ND	NDZ027	\$3,000,000,000
17	HURRICANE	0	1999-09-15	0S	NC	NCZ007	\$3,000,000,000
18	TORNADO	0	2011-05-22	0S	MO	JASPER	\$2,800,000,000
19	RAIN	0	1995-05-08	0S	LA	LAFOURCHE	\$2,500,000,000
20	HURRICANE	0	2004-09-13	0S	AL	ALZ051	\$2,500,000,000

The single most economic damaging event to properties was a **FLOOD**, that occurred in **CA**, **NAPA**, on **2006-01-01**, causing **U\$ \$115,000,000,000** in losses.

6.1.2 Most Property Damaging event in all time

Most Property Damaging event in all time

Notice that are several occurrences of the same type of event along the time.

Therefore, in order to know which is the worst type of event along all the years, we summed up the losses caused by each one of occurrences of this events.

Notice that we are interested only in the worst of them, ie, the ones which are above the mean.

Table 8: Total losses by event

rank	event	total	mean	median
1	FLOOD	\$168,258,894,484	\$9,309,236,278	\$6,537,750
2	HURRICANE	\$84,656,180,010	\$9,309,236,278	\$6,537,750
3	TORNADO	\$57,003,317,876	\$9,309,236,278	\$6,537,750
4	STORM	\$56,197,366,960	\$9,309,236,278	\$6,537,750
5	WIND	\$17,951,214,274	\$9,309,236,278	\$6,537,750
6	HAIL	\$15,977,048,513	\$9,309,236,278	\$6,537,750

The most property damaging event along the time is the **FLOOD**. It has caused **\$168,258,894,484** in losses.

6.1.3 Least property damaging events

Just for curiosity, these are the less damaging events:

Table 9: Least property damaging events

rank	event	total
46	RIP CURRENT	\$1,000
45	HIGH SWELLS	\$5,000
44	URBAN/SMALL STREAM	\$5,000
43	WINTRY MIX	\$12,500
42	FROST	\$15,000
41	HIGH SEAS	\$15,500
40	WET MICROBURST	\$35,000

rank	event	total
39	MICROBURST	\$80,000
38	DENSE SMOKE	\$100,000
37	GUSTNADO	\$102,050

6.2 Crop losses

6.2.1 Most Crop Damaging event in a single occurrence

In order to determine what were the most crop damaging events in a single occurrence, we need to see how damages are distributed along the occurrences.

```
##      99.8%      100%
## 1000000 5000000000
```

Looking at this distribution, we can infer that 99% of the occurrences caused less than **\$1,000,000 in losses**.

On the other hand, damaging occurrences had to have damages above zero.

Now, among the damaging occurrences, we are interested in the ones whose damages are above 99% of the most common values.

```
##      99.9%
## 335499040
```

Looking at this distribution, we can infer that **99.8% of the damaging occurrences caused up to \$335,499,040 in losses**.

Distribution plots

In this study, we looked on the 1% most harmful occurrences.

Table 10: Worst crops damaging occurrences, mean = \$2,222,010
and median = \$15,000

rank	event	mag	day	duration	state	countyname	value
1	FLOOD	0	1993-08-31	NA	IL	ADAMS	\$5,000,000,000
2	STORM	0	1994-02-09	OS	MS	MSZ001	\$5,000,000,000
3	HURRICANE	0	2005-08-29	OS	MS	MSZ018	\$1,510,000,000
4	DROUGHT	0	2006-01-01	OS	TX	TXZ091	\$1,000,000,000
5	COLD	0	1998-12-20	OS	CA	CAZ020	\$596,000,000
6	DROUGHT	0	2001-08-01	OS	IA	IAZ004	\$578,850,000
7	DROUGHT	0	2000-11-01	OS	TX	TXZ021	\$515,000,000
8	DROUGHT	0	1995-08-01	OS	IA	IAZ004	\$500,000,000
9	DROUGHT	0	1998-07-06	OS	OK	OKZ049	\$500,000,000
10	HURRICANE	0	1999-09-15	OS	NC	NCZ007	\$500,000,000
11	DROUGHT	0	1999-07-01	OS	PA	PAZ006	\$500,000,000
12	FLOOD	0	2000-10-03	OS	FL	FLZ072	\$500,000,000
13	FLOOD	0	2007-07-01	OS	MO	HENRY	\$500,000,000
14	HEAT	0	2006-07-16	OS	CA	CAZ089	\$492,400,000
15	DROUGHT	0	2002-12-01	OS	NE	NEZ039	\$480,000,000
16	DROUGHT	0	1998-12-01	OS	TX	TXZ021	\$450,000,000
17	HURRICANE	0	2005-08-25	OS	FL	FLZ068	\$423,000,000
18	DROUGHT	0	2001-12-01	OS	TX	TXZ021	\$420,000,000
19	HURRICANE	0	1999-09-14	OS	NC	NCZ029	\$413,600,000

rank	event	mag	day	duration	state	countynome	value
20	HEAT	0	1995-08-20	NA	AL	TALLADEGA	\$400,000,000

The single most economic damaging event to crops was a **FLOOD**, that occurred in **IL, ADAMS**, on **1993-08-31**, causing **U\$ \$5,000,000,000** in losses.

6.2.2 Most Crop Damaging event in all time

Most Crop Damaging event in all time

Notice that are several occurrences of the same type of event along the time.

Therefore, in order to know which is the worst type of event along all the years, we summed up the losses caused by each one of occurrences of this events.

Notice that we are interested only in the worst of them, ie, the ones which are above the mean.

Table 11: Total losses by event

rank	event	total	mean	median
1	DROUGHT	\$13,972,581,000	\$2,232,008,736	\$296,658,415
2	FLOOD	\$12,275,747,200	\$2,232,008,736	\$296,658,415
3	STORM	\$5,738,319,500	\$2,232,008,736	\$296,658,415
4	HURRICANE	\$5,505,292,800	\$2,232,008,736	\$296,658,415
5	COLD	\$3,298,176,550	\$2,232,008,736	\$296,658,415
6	HAIL	\$3,046,887,473	\$2,232,008,736	\$296,658,415

The most crop damaging event along the time is the **DROUGHT**. It has caused **\$13,972,581,000** in losses.

6.2.3 Least crops damaging events

Just for curiosity, lets show now what are the less damaging among the events:

Table 12: Least crops damaging events

rank	event	total
22	GUSTNADO	\$1,550
21	TSUNAMI	\$20,000
20	TYPHOON	\$825,000
19	TIDE	\$850,000
18	LIGHTNING	\$12,092,090
17	SLIDE	\$20,017,000
16	WINTER	\$42,444,000
15	FROST	\$66,000,000
14	BLIZZARD	\$112,060,000
13	SNOW	\$134,663,100




Figure 4: Population distribution for Injuries / occurrences




Figure 5: Worst injuring occurrences




Figure 6: Total Injuries by event




Figure 7: Population distribution for losses / occurrences




Figure 8: Worst property damaging occurrences




Figure 9: Total Property Damages by event




Figure 10: Population distribution for losses / occurrences




Figure 11: Worst crops damaging occurrences




Figure 12: Total Crop Damages by event

7 Most afflicted locations

We have determined what locations had the worst outcome from those events, both in terms of human health and economic losses.

Unfortunately, these has been the worst counties for living in:

7.1 Worst fatality count

Table 13: Total fatalities by county

rank	state	countyname	fatalities	injuries	prop.dmg	crop.dmg
1	IL	ILZ003	605	14	\$429,000	\$0
2	IL	ILZ014	300	22	\$2,321,000	\$0
3	PA	PAZ054	174	295	\$124,701,980	\$25,000,000
4	MO	JASPER	165	1271	\$2,858,007,330	\$5,500
5	MI	GENESEE	121	925	\$87,108,750	\$5,000,000
6	TX	MCLENNAN	117	635	\$63,071,100	\$4,000
7	TX	TXZ163	116	3	\$6,131,681,000	\$270,200,000
8	IL	ILZ005	114	0	\$277,000	\$0
9	AL	JEFFERSON	110	1576	\$2,024,930,600	\$2,254,000
10	PA	PAZ037	107	0	\$0	\$0

The county with the biggest fatality count is **ILZ003, in IL, with 605 people killed.**

7.2 Worst injuries count

Table 14: Total injuries by county

Table 15: Total property losses by county

rank	state	countyname	fatalities	injuries	prop.dmg	crop.dmg
1	CA	NAPA	1	0	\$115,116,385,000	\$66,900,000
2	LA	LAZ040	0	0	\$31,316,850,000	\$0
3	LA	LAZ034	1	0	\$17,152,118,400	\$178,330,000
4	MS	MSZ080	0	1	\$11,264,195,000	\$0
5	FL	FLZ068	19	16	\$10,367,010,000	\$1,047,000,000
6	FL	FLZ001	33	0	\$9,686,320,000	\$87,800,000
7	MS	MSZ068	1	0	\$7,375,405,000	\$0
8	TX	TXZ163	116	3	\$6,131,681,000	\$270,200,000
9	MS	MSZ018	17	104	\$5,908,768,000	\$1,514,706,500
10	FL	FLZ055	9	786	\$5,424,027,000	\$292,000,000

The county with the biggest property losses is **NAPA, in CA, with \$115,116,385,000 in losses.**

7.4 Worst crops losses

Table 16: Total crops losses by county

rank	state	countyname	fatalities	injuries	prop.dmg	crop.dmg
1	IL	ADAMS	0	23	\$5,009,087,550	\$5,000,084,000
2	MS	MSZ001	4	5	\$2,643,000	\$5,000,000,000
3	TX	TXZ091	69	224	\$182,509,000	\$2,422,471,000
4	TX	TXZ021	2	4	\$12,450,000	\$1,845,050,000
5	IA	IAZ004	10	9	\$737,543,460	\$1,579,805,100
6	MS	MSZ018	17	104	\$5,908,768,000	\$1,514,706,500
7	FL	FLZ068	19	16	\$10,367,010,000	\$1,047,000,000
8	GA	GAZ001	20	29	\$158,011,850	\$926,260,000
9	NE	NEZ039	4	14	\$22,057,020	\$771,550,000
10	NC	NCZ029	29	201	\$1,940,635,500	\$768,600,000

The county with the biggest crop property losses is **ADAMS, in IL, with \$5,000,084,000 in losses.**

8 Results

8.1 Population Health

The single most fatal event was a **HEAT, that occurred in IL, ILZ003, on 1995-07-12, killing 583 people.**

The most fatal event along the time is the **TORNADO. It has killed 5636 people until now.**

The single most injuring event was a **TORNADO, that occurred in TX, WICHITA, on 1979-04-10, injuring 1700 people.**

The most injuring event along the time is the **TORNADO. It has injured 91407 people until now.**




Figure 13: Population Health: fatalities and injuries

8.2 Economic Damages




Figure 14: Economic Damages: property and crops

The single most economic damaging event to properties was a **FLOOD**, that occurred in CA, NAPA, on 2006-01-01, causing U\$ \$115,000,000,000 in losses.

The most property damaging event along the time is the **FLOOD**. It has caused \$168,258,894,484 in losses.

The single most economic damaging event to crops was a **FLOOD**, that occurred in IL, ADAMS, on 1993-08-31, causing U\$ \$5,000,000,000 in losses.

The most crop damaging event along the time is the **DROUGHT**. It has caused \$13,972,581,000 in losses.

8.3 Most afflicted locations

The county with the biggest fatality count is **ILZ003, in IL**, with **605 people killed**.

The county with the biggest injuries count is **WICHITA, in TX**, with **1852 people injured**.

The county with the biggest property losses is **NAPA, in CA**, with **\$115,116,385,000 in losses**.

The county with the biggest crop losses is **ADAMS, in IL**, with **\$5,000,084,000 in losses**.

8.4 Distribution of data

