Introduction - Only grade the work that is in the student or group's GiT repository. - Double-check that the GiT repository belongs to the student or the group. Ensure that the work is for the relevant project and also check that "git clone" is used in an empty folder. - Check carefully that no malicious aliases were used to fool you and make you evaluate something other than the content of the official repository. - To avoid any surprises, carefully check that both the evaluating and the evaluated students have reviewed the possible scripts used to facilitate the grading. - If the evaluating student has not completed that particular project yet, it is mandatory for this student to read the entire subject prior to starting the defence. - Use the flags available on this scale to signal an empty repository, non-functioning program, a norm error, cheating etc. In these cases, the grading is over and the final grade is 0 (or -42 in case of cheating). However, except for cheating, you are encouraged to continue to discuss your work (even if you have not finished it) to identify any issues that may have caused this failure and avoid repeating the same mistake in the future. - Remember that for the duration of the defense, no segfault, no other unexpected, premature, uncontrolled or unexpected termination of the program, else the final grade is 0. Use the appropriate flag. You should never have to edit any file except the configuration file if it exists. If you want to edit a file, take the time to explicit the reasons with the evaluated student and make sure both of you are okay with this. - You must also verify the absence of memory leaks. Any memory allocated on the heap must be freed before the end of execution. You are allowed to use any of the different tools available on the computer, such as leaks, valgrind, or e_fence. In case of memory leaks, tick the appropriate flag. **Disclaimer** Please respect the following rules: - Remain polite, courteous, respectful and constructive throughout the evaluation process. The well-being of the community depends on it. - Identify with the person (or the group) evaluated the eventual dysfunctions of the work. Take the time to discuss and debate the problems you have identified. - You must consider that there might be some difference in how your peers might have understood the project's instructions and the scope of its functionalities. Always keep an open mind and grade him/her as honestly as possible. The pedagogy is valid only and only if peer-evaluation is conducted seriously. **Guidelines** You must compile with clang++, with -Wall -Wextra -Werror As a reminder, this project is in C++98 C++11 (and later) member functions or containers are NOT expected. Any of these means you must not grade the exercise in question:

Attachments

- A function is implemented in a header (except in a template)

- Use of a "C" function (*alloc, *printf, free)


- Use of a function not allowed in the subject

- Use of an external library, or C++20 features

- Use of "using namespace" or "friend"

- A Makefile compiles without flags and/or with something other than clang++

Any of these means that you must flag the project as Forbidden Function:



Privacy policy Terms of use for video surveillance Rules of procedure Declaration on the use of cookies General term of use of the site Legal notices

Finish evaluation

Invalid compilation

Leaks

Ratings

Empty work

Conclusion

T Crash

Leave a comment on this evaluation

Don't forget to check the flag corresponding to the defense

No author file

✓ Ok

 \times No

★ Outstanding project

Norme

O Forbidden function

Cheat