Laparoscopic repair of perforated peptic ulcer.

Authors: Lau W.Y., Leung K.L., Zhu X.L., Lam Y.H., Chung S.C.S., Li A.K.C.

Publication Date: 1995

Abstract:

A total of 100 consecutive patients with perforated duodenal or juxtapyloric ulcers were treated by:

laparotomy and omental patch repair (group 1, n = 44); laparoscopic suture patch repair (group 2, n = 44); laparoscopic suture patch repair (group 2, n = 44); laparoscopic suture patch repair (group 2, n = 44); laparoscopic suture patch repair (group 2, n = 44); laparoscopic suture patch repair (group 3, n = 44); laparoscopic suture patch repair (group 3, n = 44); laparoscopic suture patch repair (group 3, n = 44); laparoscopic suture patch repair (group 3, n = 44); laparoscopic suture patch repair (group 3, n = 44); laparoscopic suture patch repair (group 3, n = 44); laparoscopic suture patch repair (group 3, n = 44); laparoscopic suture patch repair (group 3, n = 44); laparoscopic suture patch repair (group 3, n = 44); laparoscopic suture patch repair (group 3, n = 44); laparoscopic suture patch repair (group 3, n = 44); laparoscopic suture patch repair (group 3, n = 44); laparoscopic suture patch repair (group 3, n = 44); laparoscopic suture patch repair (group 3, n = 44); laparoscopic suture patch repair (group 3, n = 44); laparoscopic suture patch repair (group 3, n = 44); laparoscopic suture patch repair (group 3, n = 44); laparoscopic suture patch repair (group 3, n = 44); laparoscopic suture patch repair (group 3, n = 44); laparoscopic suture patch repair (group 3, n = 44); laparoscopic suture patch repair (group 3, n = 44); laparoscopic suture patch repair (group 3, n = 44); laparoscopic suture patch repair (group 3, n = 44); laparoscopic suture patch repair (group 3, n = 44); laparoscopic suture patch repair (group 3, n = 44); laparoscopic suture patch repair (group 3, n = 44); laparoscopic suture patch repair (group 3, n = 44); laparoscopic suture patch repair (group 3, n = 44); laparoscopic suture patch repair (group 3, n = 44); laparoscopic suture patch repair (group 3, n = 44); laparoscopic suture patch repair (group 3, n = 44); laparoscopic suture patch repair (group 3, n = 44); laparoscopic suture patch repair (group 3,

= 35); and laparoscopic fibrin glue repair (group 3, n = 21). The three groups were comparable in

Acute Physiology And Chronic Health Evaluation II score and in other known operative risk factors

such as shock on admission, delayed presentation and associated underlying medical illness.

Operative mortality and morbidity data were identical in all groups. The mean operating time was

52.1, 101.3 and 61.1 min respectively in the three groups (group 1 versus group 2, group 2 versus

group 3, and group 1 versus groups 2 and 3 combined, P<0.001). The median number of doses of

analgesia required after operation was 4, 3 and 1 respectively (group 1 versus groups 2 and 3,

P<0.05). Conversion to laparotomy was necessary in six patients in group 2 and in one in group 3 (P

not significant). The median hospital stay was 5 days in all three groups. Patients who underwent

laparoscopic repair of perforated peptic ulcer required fewer postoperative doses of analgesia than

those who had open repair. Laparoscopic glue repair has the additional advantage over

laparoscopic suture of being technically simpler; it also takes less time to perform.