

1.4 Script 2

Let A and B be two rings. Let M be an A -module, and let N be a (A, B) -bimodule. By N being a (A, B) -bimodule, we mean that N not only have both structure of A -module and B -module, but also satisfy $a(bx) = b(ax)$. Consider the tensor product $M \otimes_A N$. For any $b \in B$, we may define a A -bilinear map $M \times N \rightarrow M \otimes_A N$ by

$$(m, n) \mapsto m \otimes bn$$

Therefore, by universal property, there exists some unique A -linear map $\tilde{b} : M \otimes_A N \rightarrow M \otimes_A N$. Doing this procedure for each $b \in B$, to claim $M \otimes_A N$ forms a (A, B) -bimodule, it remains to check that

- (a) $b(x + y) = bx + by$.
- (b) $(b_1 + b_2)x = b_1x + b_2x$.
- (c) $(b_1b_2)x = b_1(b_2x)$.
- (d) $1_Bx = x$.
- (e) $a(bx) = b(ax)$.

Question 1: Exercise 2.15

Let P be a B -module. Find an (A, B) -bimodule isomorphism between

$$(M \otimes_A N) \otimes_B P \text{ and } M \otimes_A (N \otimes_B P)$$

Proof. For each $p \in P$, the A -bilinear map from $M \times N$ to $M \otimes_A (N \otimes_B P)$ defined by $(m, n) \mapsto m \otimes (n \otimes p)$ induce a unique A -linear map $f_p : M \otimes_A N \rightarrow M \otimes_A (N \otimes_B P)$ that sends $m \otimes n$ to $m \otimes (n \otimes p)$. By expressing elements of $M \otimes_A N$ as finite sum of basic elements, one can see that f_p is also B -linear. Therefore, if we define $f : (M \otimes_A N) \times P \rightarrow M \otimes_A (N \otimes_B P)$ by

$$f(x, p) \triangleq f_p(x)$$

we see that f is B -linear in $M \otimes_A N$. Again, by expressing elements of $M \otimes_A N$ as finite sum of basic elements, one can see that f is also B -linear in P . Therefore, by universal property, there exists some B -linear mapping $\tilde{f} : (M \otimes_A N) \otimes_B P \rightarrow M \otimes_A (N \otimes_B P)$ with action:

$$(m \otimes n) \otimes p \mapsto f_p(m \otimes n) = m \otimes (n \otimes p)$$

Tedious computation by expressing elements of $(M \otimes_A N) \otimes_B P$ into finite sum of basic elements shows that \tilde{f} is also A -linear. We have shown \tilde{f} is an (A, B) -bimodule homomorphism.

To finish the proof, one first use similar argument to construct some (A, B) -bimodule homomorphism $\tilde{g} : M \otimes_A (N \otimes_B P) \rightarrow (M \otimes_A N) \otimes_B P$ with action:

$$m \otimes (n \otimes p) \mapsto (m \otimes n) \otimes p$$

And then, see that $\tilde{g} \circ \tilde{f} \in \text{End}_{(A,B)}[(M \otimes_A N) \otimes_B P]$ have the identity action on basic elements $x \otimes p$ ⁶ to conclude by universal property that $\tilde{g} \circ \tilde{f}$ is the identity function. ■

Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a ring homomorphism. If N is a B -module, then the A -module structure on N defined by $an \triangleq f(a)n$ is called **restriction of scalars**. If M is an A -module, then the B -module structure on $B \otimes_A M^a$ defined by

$$b(b' \otimes m) \triangleq bb' \otimes m$$

is called **extension of scalars**.

^a B is given an A -module structure by restriction of scalar.

Question 2: Proposition 2.16

Let A, B be two rings, and let B be an A -module, so we have a ring homomorphism $f : A \rightarrow B$ defined by $f(a) \triangleq a1_B$. Let N be a B -module, and give N an A -module structure using restriction of scalars with respect to f .

Show that if N is finitely generated as a B -module and if B is finitely generated as an A -module, then N is finitely generated as an A -module.

Proof. Suppose n_1, \dots, n_k generate N over B , and suppose b_1, \dots, b_m generate B over A . We claim $\{b_j n_i\}$ generates N over A . Let

$$b'_i = \sum_{j=1}^m a_{i,j} b_j$$

⁶Again, by expressing x as basic element $x = \sum m_i \otimes n_i$.

Compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^k b'_i n_i &= \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\sum_{j=1}^m a_{i,j} b_j \right) n_i \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^m (a_{i,j} b_j) n_i \\
&= \sum_{i,j} (a_{i,j} b_j) n_i \\
&= \sum_{i,j} a_{i,j} (b_j n_i)
\end{aligned}$$

For justification of last equality, compute

$$a(bn) = f(a)(bn) = (f(a)b)n = (ab)n$$

Remark: similar routine computation shows that N is in fact an (A, B) -bimodule. ■

Question 3: Proposition 2.17

Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a ring homomorphism, and let M be a finitely generated A -module, show that its extension of scalar $B \otimes_A M$ is finitely generated as a B -module.

Proof. Let $\{m_1, \dots, m_n\}$ generates M over A . We claim $\{1_B \otimes m_i\}$ generate all the basic elements. Consider

$$\begin{aligned}
b \otimes \sum a_i m_i &= \sum b \otimes a_i m_i \\
&= \sum b(1_B \otimes a_i m_i) \\
&= \sum b(a_i 1_B \otimes m_i) \quad (\because B \text{ is regarded as an } A\text{-module when we write } B \otimes_A M) \\
&= \sum b(f(a_i) \otimes m_i) \\
&= \sum bf(a_i)(1 \otimes m_i)
\end{aligned}$$
■

Let $M \xrightarrow{f} M'$ and $N \xrightarrow{g} N'$ be in the category of A -module. The function $h : M \times N \rightarrow M' \otimes N'$ defined by

$$h(x, y) \triangleq f(x) \otimes g(y)$$

is clearly A -bilinear. Therefore, we may induce some unique A -linear map $f \otimes g :$

$M \otimes N \rightarrow M' \otimes N'$ such that

$$(f \otimes g)(x \otimes y) = f(x) \otimes g(y)$$

Note that for each $M' \xrightarrow{f'} M''$ and $N' \xrightarrow{g'} N''$, we have

$$(f' \circ f) \otimes (g' \circ g) = (f' \otimes g') \circ (f \otimes g)$$

because they agree on the basic elements.

Question 4: Proposition 2.18 (Exaction of Tensor Product)

If

$$M' \xrightarrow{f} M \xrightarrow{g} M'' \rightarrow 0 \tag{1.1}$$

is an exact sequence of A -modules and homomorphism, then for any A -module N , the sequence

$$M' \otimes N \xrightarrow{f \otimes 1} M \otimes N \xrightarrow{g \otimes 1} M'' \otimes N \rightarrow 0$$

is also exact, where $1 \in \text{End}(N)$ is the identity mapping.

Proof. Because g is surjective, we may construct an **right inverse** $g^{-1} : M'' \rightarrow M$. That is, $g \circ g^{-1}(m'') = m''$ for all $m'' \in M''$. To see $g \otimes 1$ is surjective, just observe

$$\sum m_i'' \otimes n_i = (g \otimes 1) \left(\sum g^{-1}(m_i'') \otimes n_i \right)$$

After computing

$$(g \otimes 1) \circ (f \otimes 1) = (g \circ f) \otimes (1 \circ 1) = 0$$

we may reduce the problem into proving the factored map

$$\text{Coker}(f \otimes 1) \xrightarrow{\tilde{g}} M'' \otimes N$$

is injective. Consider the map $h : M'' \times N \rightarrow \text{Coker}(f \otimes 1)$ defined by

$$h(m'', n) \triangleq [g^{-1}(m'') \otimes n]$$

Clearly, h is linear in n . Using the fact $\text{Im}(f) = \text{Ker}(g)$ and computation

$$\begin{aligned} g(g^{-1}(am'') - ag^{-1}(m'')) &= 0 \\ g(g^{-1}(m_1'' + m_2'') - g^{-1}(m_1'') - g^{-1}(m_2'')) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

we may conclude that h is also linear in M'' . Now, because h is bilinear, we may induce some linear $\tilde{h} : M'' \otimes N \rightarrow \text{Coker}(f \otimes 1)$ with action

$$\tilde{h}(m'' \otimes n) = [g^{-1}(m'') \otimes n]$$

Using universal property, it is east to check that $\tilde{h} \circ \tilde{g} \in \text{End}(\text{Coker}(f \otimes 1))$ is identity mapping. We have shown \tilde{g} is injective. ■

Note that the exaction of tensor product holds only for sequence of the **form 1.1**. One can't delete the zero space at the end and still reach the same conclusion. Consider

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{f(x)=2x} \mathbb{Z}$$

where the underlying ring is \mathbb{Z} . The sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \otimes \text{Coker}(f) \xrightarrow{f \otimes 1} \mathbb{Z} \otimes \text{Coker}(f)$$

is not exact, because

$$(f \otimes 1)(x \otimes [y]) = 2x \otimes [y] = x \otimes [2y] = 0$$

implies $\text{Ker}(f \otimes 1) = \mathbb{Z} \otimes \text{Coker}(f)$, while

$$\mathbb{Z} \otimes \text{Coker}(f) \cong \text{Coker}(f) \neq 0$$

An A -module N is said to be **flat** if for any exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow M_{i-1} \xrightarrow{f_{i-1}} M_i \xrightarrow{f_i} M_{i+1} \rightarrow \cdots$$

in the category of A -modules, the sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow M_{i-1} \otimes N \xrightarrow{f_{i-1} \otimes 1} M_i \otimes N \xrightarrow{f_i \otimes 1} M_{i+1} \otimes N \rightarrow \cdots$$

is also exact.

Question 5

Show that for an A -module N , the following are equivalents

- (a) N is flat.
- (b) If $0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$ is exact, then $0 \rightarrow M' \otimes N \rightarrow M \otimes N \rightarrow M'' \otimes N \rightarrow 0$ is also exact.
- (c) If $f : M' \rightarrow M$ is injective, then $f \otimes 1 : M' \otimes N \rightarrow M \otimes N$ is injective.

(d) If $f : M' \rightarrow N$ is injective and M, M' are finitely generated, then $f \otimes 1 : M' \otimes N \rightarrow M \otimes N$ is injective.

Proof. From (a) to (b) is definition. We now prove from (b) to (a). Consider the exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow M_{i-1} \xrightarrow{f_{i-1}} M_i \xrightarrow{f_i} M_{i+1} \rightarrow \cdots$$

We may split this into a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Im}(f_{i-1}) \hookrightarrow M_i \xrightarrow{f_i} \text{Im}(f_i) \longrightarrow 0$$

By (b), the short sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Im}(f_{i-1}) \otimes N \hookrightarrow M_i \otimes N \xrightarrow{f_i \otimes 1} \text{Im}(f_i) \otimes N \longrightarrow 0$$

is also exact. This implies

$$\text{Ker}(f_i \otimes 1) = \text{Im}(f_{i-1}) \otimes N = \text{Im}(f_{i-1} \otimes 1)$$

We have shown

$$\cdots \rightarrow M_{i-1} \otimes N \xrightarrow{f_{i-1} \otimes 1} M_i \otimes N \xrightarrow{f_i \otimes 1} M_{i+1} \otimes N \rightarrow \cdots$$

is also exact, thus proving (a). From (b) to (c), we simply let $M'' \triangleq \text{Coker}(f)$ and let $M \rightarrow M''$ be the quotient map. From (c) to (b) follows from right exaction and

$$\text{Im}(f \otimes 1) = \text{Im}(f) \otimes N = \text{Ker}(g) \otimes N = \text{Ker}(g \otimes 1)$$

From (c) to (d) is clear. It only remains to show from (d) to (c).

Fix

$$u = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes y_i \in \text{Ker}(f \otimes 1)$$

Let M'_0 be the submodule of M' generated by $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$, and let $u'_0 \in M'_0 \otimes N$ be the element

$$u'_0 \triangleq \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes y_i \in M'_0 \otimes N$$

By Corollary 2.13, there exists some finitely generated submodule M_0 of M such that $u_0 \in M_0 \otimes N$ defined by

$$u_0 \triangleq \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i) \otimes y_i \in M_0 \otimes N$$

equals to 0. Note that because $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ generates M'_0 and M_0 contains $\{f(x_1), \dots, f(x_n)\}$, so M_0 contains $f(M'_0)$, and obviously

$$f|_{M'_0} : M'_0 \rightarrow M_0 \text{ is injective.}$$

We now see from (d) that

$$f|_{M'_0} \otimes 1 : M'_0 \otimes N \rightarrow M_0 \otimes N \text{ is injective.}$$

Compute

$$(f|_{M'_0} \otimes 1)(u'_0) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i) \otimes y_i = u_0 = 0$$

We see $u'_0 = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes y_i \in M'_0 \otimes N$ is zero. Now consider the universal property

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M'_0 \times N & \longrightarrow & M'_0 \otimes N \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \phi \\ & & M' \otimes N \end{array}$$

We may see $u = \phi(u'_0)$ is zero. Finishing the proof. ■

Question 6: Exercise 2.20

Let ring B be an (A, B) -bimodule, and let M be a flat A -module. Show that the extension of scalar $B \otimes_A M$ is a flat B -module.

Proof. Let $g : P' \rightarrow P$ be an injective B -module homomorphism. We are required to show

$$P' \otimes_B (B \otimes_A M) \xrightarrow{g \otimes 1} P \otimes_B (B \otimes_A M)$$

is also injective. We have the isomorphism

$$P' \otimes_B (B \otimes_A M) \cong (P' \otimes_B B) \otimes_A M \cong P' \otimes_A M$$

It now follows from M being flat that $g \otimes 1$ is injective. ■