

Suns

Eric Liu

# CONTENTS

## CHAPTER 1

## GROUPS

## PAGE 2

1.1	Group action	2
1.2	Normalizer and centralizer	6
1.3	Isomorphism theorems	9
1.4	Sylow theorems	11
1.5	Exercises	12

# Chapter 1

# Groups

## 1.1 Group action

Let  $M$  be a set equipped with a binary operation  $M \times M \rightarrow M$ . We say  $M$  is a **monoid** if the binary operation is associative and there exists a two-sided identity  $e \in M$ .

**Example 1.1.1.** Defining  $(x, y) \mapsto y$ , we see that the operation is associative and every element is a left identity, but no element is a right identity unless  $|M| = 1$ . This is an example why identity must be two-sided.

Because the identity of a monoid is defined to be two-sided, clearly it must be unique. Suppose every element of monoid  $M$  has a left inverse. Fix  $x \in M$ . Let  $x^{-1} \in M$  be a left inverse of  $x$ . To see that  $x^{-1}$  is also a right inverse of  $x$ , let  $(x^{-1})^{-1} \in M$  be a left inverse of  $x^{-1}$  and use

$$(x^{-1})^{-1} = (x^{-1})^{-1}e = (x^{-1})^{-1}(x^{-1}x) = ((x^{-1})^{-1}x^{-1})x = ex = x$$

to deduce

$$xx^{-1} = (x^{-1})^{-1}x^{-1} = e$$

In other words, if we require every element of a monoid  $M$  to have a left inverse, then immediately every left inverse upgrades to a right inverse. In such case, we call  $M$  a **group**. Notice that inverses of elements of a group are clearly unique.

Unlike the category of monoids, the category of groups behaves much better. Given two groups  $G, H$  and a function  $\varphi : G \rightarrow H$ , if  $\varphi$  respects the binary operation, then  $\varphi$  also respects the identity:

$$e_H = (\varphi(x)^{-1})\varphi(x) = (\varphi(x)^{-1})\varphi(xe_G) = (\varphi(x)^{-1}\varphi(x))\varphi(e_G) = \varphi(e_G)$$

which implies that  $\varphi$  must also respect inverse. In such case, we call  $\varphi$  a **group homomorphism**. Given a subset  $H \subseteq G$  closed under the binary operation, if  $H$  forms a group itself, then since the set inclusion  $H \hookrightarrow G$  forms a group homomorphism, we have  $e_H = e_G$ , and thus  $x^{-1}$  in  $H, G$  are the same element.

In this note, by a **subgroup**  $H$  of  $G$ , we mean an injective group homomorphism  $H \hookrightarrow G$ . Clearly, a subset of  $G$  forms a subgroup if and only if it is closed under both the binary operation and inverse. Note that one of the key basic property of subgroup  $H \subseteq G$  is that if  $g \notin H$ , then  $hg \notin H$ , since otherwise  $g = h^{-1}hg \in H$ .

Let  $S$  be a subset of  $G$ . The group of **words** in  $S$  is clearly the smallest subgroup of  $G$  containing  $S$ . We say this subgroup is **generated** by  $S$ . If  $G$  is generated by a single element, we say  $G$  is **cyclic**. Let  $x \in G$ . The **order** of  $G$  is the cardinality of  $G$ , and the order of  $x$  is the cardinality of the cyclic subgroup  $\langle x \rangle \subseteq G$ , or equivalently the infimum of the set of natural numbers  $n$  that makes  $x^n = e$ . Clearly, finite cyclic groups of order  $n$  are all isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ .

Let  $G$  be a group and  $H$  a subgroup of  $G$ . The **right cosets**  $Hx$  are defined by  $Hx \triangleq \{hx \in G : h \in H\}$ . Clearly, when we define an equivalence relation in  $G$  by setting:

$$x \sim y \stackrel{\Delta}{\iff} xy^{-1} \in H$$

the equivalence class  $[x]$  coincides with the right coset  $Hx$ . Note that if we partition  $G$  using **left cosets**, the equivalence relation being  $x \sim y \iff x^{-1}y \in H$ , then the two partitions need not to be identical.

**Example 1.1.2.** Let  $H \triangleq \{e, (1, 2)\} \subseteq S_3$ . The right cosets are

$$H(2, 3) = \{(2, 3), (1, 2, 3)\} \quad \text{and} \quad H(1, 3) = \{(1, 3), (1, 3, 2)\}$$

while the left cosets being

$$(2, 3)H = \{(2, 3), (1, 3, 2)\} \quad \text{and} \quad (1, 3)H = \{(1, 3), (1, 2, 3)\}$$

■

However, as one may verify, we have a well-defined bijection  $xH \mapsto Hx^{-1}$  between the sets of left cosets and right cosets of  $H$ . Therefore, we may define the **index**  $|G : H|$  of  $H$  in  $G$  to be the cardinality of the collection of left cosets of  $H$ , without falling into the discussion of left and right. Moreover, by axiom of choice, there exists a set  $T \subseteq G$  such that  $|T \cap xH| = 1$  for all  $x \in G$ . Such  $T$  clearly makes the set map  $T \times H \rightarrow G$  defined by:

$$(t, h) \mapsto th$$

a bijection. This proves the **Lagrange's theorem**:

$$|G| = |G : H| \cdot |H|$$

Consider a group  $G$  of prime order. If  $x \neq e \in G$ , then clearly the cyclic subgroup  $\langle x \rangle$  must be  $G$  by Lagrange's theorem.

Let  $G$  be a group and  $X$  a set. If we say  $G$  **acts on  $X$  from left** we are defining a function  $G \times X \rightarrow X$  such that

- (i)  $e \cdot x = x$  for all  $x \in X$ .
- (ii)  $(gh) \cdot x = g \cdot (h \cdot x)$  for all  $g, h \in G$ .

Note that there is a difference between left action and right action, as  $gh$  means  $g \circ h$  in left action and means  $h \circ g$  in right action.

Because groups admit inverses, a  $G$ -action is in fact a group homomorphism  $G \rightarrow \text{Sym}(X)$ . The trivial action then correspond to the trivial group homomorphism. An action is **faithful** if it is injective.

Show that  $Z(G) \subseteq \text{Ker } \theta$  if and only if  $\theta$  is faithful.

An action is **free** if  $g \cdot x = x$  for a  $x \in X$  implies  $g = e$ . Note that the isomorphism  $\text{Sym}(X) \rightarrow \text{Sym}(X)$  is always injective but never free unless  $|X| \leq 2$ . The action is **transitive** if for any  $x, y \in X$ , there always exists some  $g \in G$  such that  $y = g \cdot x$ . An action is **regular** if it is both free and transitive.

Let  $x \in X$ . We call the set  $G \cdot x \triangleq \{g \cdot x \in X : g \in G\}$  the **orbit** of  $x$ . Clearly the set  $G_x$  of all elements of  $G$  that fixes  $x$  forms a group, called the **stabilizer subgroup** of  $G$  with respect to  $x$ . Consider the action left. The fact that the obvious mapping between the set of left cosets of stabilizer subgroups of  $G$  with respect to  $x$  to the orbit of  $x$ :

$$\{g(G_x) \subseteq G : g \in G\} \longleftrightarrow G \cdot x$$

forms a bijection is called the **orbit-stabilizer theorem**.

**Theorem 1.1.3. (Cauchy's theorem for finite group)** Let  $G$  be a finite group whose order is divided by some prime  $p$ . Then the number of solutions to the equation  $x^p = e$  is a positive multiple of  $p$ .

*Proof.* The set  $X$  of  $p$ -tuples  $(x_1, \dots, x_p)$  that satisfies  $x_1 \cdots x_p = e$  clearly has cardinality  $|G|^{p-1}$ .

Consider the group action  $\mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \text{Sym}(X)$  defined by

$$g \cdot (x_1, \dots, x_p) \triangleq (x_p, x_1, \dots, x_{p-1})$$

Then by orbit-stabilizer theorem and Lagrange theorem, an orbit in  $X$  either has cardinality  $p$  or  $1$ .

$$p \mid |G|^{p-1} = m + kp$$

with  $m$  the number of cardinality  $1$  orbits and  $k$  the number of cardinality  $p$  orbits.

This implies  $p \mid m$ , as desired.

Notice that  $x^p = e$  if and only if  $(x, \dots, x) \in X$ . Therefore the number of cardinality  $1$  orbit equals to number of solution to  $x^p = e$ .

■

## 1.2 Normalizer and centralizer

Because the inverse of an injective group homomorphism forms a group homomorphism, we know the set  $\text{Aut}(G)$  of automorphisms of  $G$  forms a group. We say  $\phi \in \text{Aut}(G)$  is an **inner automorphism** if  $\phi$  takes the form  $x \mapsto gxg^{-1}$  for some fixed  $g \in G$ . We say two elements  $x, y \in G$  are **conjugated** if there exists some inner automorphism that maps  $x$  to  $y$ . Clearly conjugacy forms an equivalence relation. We call its classes **conjugacy classes**.

### Equivalent Definition 1.2.1. (Normalize)

From the point of view of inner automorphism, we see that it is well-defined whether an element  $g \in G$  **normalize** a subset  $S \subseteq G$ :

$$\{gsg^{-1} \in G : s \in S\} = S$$

independent of left and right. Because of the independence, For each subset  $S \subseteq G$ , we see that the set of elements  $g \in G$  that normalize  $S$  forms a group, called the **normalizer** of  $S$ . Note that if  $g$  normalize  $S$ , then  $gS = Sg$ .

**Example 1.2.2.** Consider  $G \triangleq \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$  and consider:

$$H \triangleq \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R}) : n \in \mathbb{Z} \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad g \triangleq \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$$

Note that  $gHg^{-1} \subset H$ . In other words, inner automorphisms can map a subgroup  $H$  into a subgroup strictly contained by  $H$  if  $G$  is infinite.

**Equivalent Definition 1.2.3. (Normal subgroups)** Let  $G$  be a group and  $N$  a subgroup. We say  $N$  is a **normal subgroup** of  $G$  if any of the followings hold true:

- (i)  $\phi(N) \subseteq N$  for all  $\phi \in \text{Inn}(G)$
- (ii)  $\phi(N) = N$  for all  $\phi \in \text{Inn}(G)$
- (iii)  $xN = Nx$  for all  $x \in G$ .
- (iv) The set of all left cosets of  $N$  equals the set of all right cosets of  $N$ .
- (v)  $N$  is a union of conjugacy classes.
- (vi) For all  $n \in N$  and  $x \in G$ , their **commutator**  $nxn^{-1}x^{-1} \in G$  lies in  $N$ .
- (vii) For all  $x, y \in G$ , we have  $xy \in N \iff yx \in N$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\implies$  (ii): Let  $\phi \in \text{Inn}(G)$ . By premise,  $\phi(N) \subseteq N$  and  $\phi^{-1}(N) \subseteq N$ . Applying  $\phi$  to both side of  $\phi^{-1}(N) \subseteq N$ , we have  $\phi(N) \subseteq N \subseteq \phi(N)$ , as desired.

(ii)  $\implies$  (iii): Consider the automorphisms:

$$\phi_{L,x}(g) = xg \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_{L,x^{-1}}(g) = x^{-1}g \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_{R,x}(g) = gx$$

Because  $\phi_{L,x^{-1}} \circ \phi_{R,x} \in \text{Inn}(G)$ , by premise we have:

$$xN = \phi_{L,x}(N) = \phi_{L,x} \circ \phi_{L,x^{-1}} \circ \phi_{R,x}(N) = \phi_{R,x}(N) = Nx$$

(iii)  $\implies$  (iv) is clear. (iv)  $\implies$  (iii): Let  $x \in G$ . By premise, there exists some  $y \in G$  that makes  $xN = Ny$ . Let  $x = ny$ . The proof then follows from noting

$$xN = Ny = N(n^{-1}x) = Nx$$

(iii)  $\implies$  (v): Let  $n \in N$  and  $x \in G$ . We are required to show  $xnx^{-1} \in N$ . Because  $xN = NX$ , we know  $xn = \tilde{n}x$  for some  $\tilde{n} \in N$ . This implies

$$xnx^{-1} = \tilde{n}xx^{-1} = \tilde{n} \in N$$

(v)  $\implies$  (vi): Fix  $n \in N$  and  $x \in G$ . By premise,  $xn^{-1}x^{-1} \in N$ . Therefore,  $n(xn^{-1}x^{-1}) \in N$ , as desired.

(vi)  $\implies$  (vii): Let  $xy \in N$ . To see  $yx$  also belong to  $N$ , observe:

$$(xy)^{-1}(yx) = (xy)^{-1}x^{-1}xyx = [xy, x] \in N$$

(viii)  $\implies$  (i): Let  $n \in N$  and  $x \in G$ . Because  $(nx)x^{-1} = n \in N$ , by premise we have  $x^{-1}nx \in N$ , as desired. ■

**Equivalent Definition 1.2.4. (Normal closure)** Let  $G$  be a group and  $S \subseteq G$ . The **normal closure**  $\text{ncl}_G(S)$  of  $S$  in  $G$  refer to any one of the followings:

- (i) The smallest normal subgroup of  $G$  containing  $S$ , which we know exists as the intersection of all normal subgroups of  $G$  containing  $S$ .
- (ii) The subgroup of  $G$  generated by

$$\bigcup_{\phi \in \text{Inn}(G)} \{\phi(x) \in G : x \in S\}$$

*Proof.* We are required to prove the subgroup of  $G$  from (ii) is normal. Clearly, it is the set:

$$\{g_1^{-1}x_1^{\epsilon_1}g_1 \cdots g_n^{-1}x_n^{\epsilon_n}g_n \in G : n \geq 0, x_i \in S, \epsilon_i = \pm 1, g_i \in G\}$$



Fix  $g \in G$ . The proof then follows from noting

$$g^{-1} (g_1^{-1} x_1^{\epsilon_1} g_1 \cdots g_n^{-1} x_n^{\epsilon_n} g_n) g = \left( (g_1 g)^{-1} x_1^{\epsilon_1} (g_1 g) \right) \cdots \left( (g_n g)^{-1} x_n^{\epsilon_n} (g_n g) \right)$$

■

We denote the **centralizer**  $C_G(S) \triangleq \{g \in G : gsg^{-1} = s \text{ for all } s \in S\}$ . We call the centralizer of the whole group  $Z(G) \triangleq C_G(G)$  **center**. Clearly  $Z(G)$  forms an abelian subgroup of  $G$ , and every element of the center form a single conjugacy classes.

For finite group  $G$ , we have the **class equation**

$$|G| = |Z(G)| + \sum |G : C_G(x)|$$

where  $x$  runs through conjugacy classes outside of  $Z(G)$ .

Clearly  $C_G(S) \subseteq N_G(S)$ .

## 1.3 Isomorphism theorems

Let  $G$  be a group and  $N \subseteq G$  a normal subgroup. We say a group homomorphism  $\pi : G \rightarrow G/N$  satisfies the **universal property of quotient group**  $G/N$  if

- (i) it vanishes on  $N$ . (**Group condition**)
- (ii) for all group homomorphism  $f : G \rightarrow H$  that vanishes on  $N$  there exist a unique group homomorphism  $\tilde{f} : G/N \rightarrow H$  that makes the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \xrightarrow{\pi} & G/N \\ & \searrow f & \downarrow \tilde{f} \\ & & H \end{array}$$

commute. (**Universality**)

**Theorem 1.3.1. (The first isomorphism theorem for groups)** The group homomorphism  $\pi : G \rightarrow G/N$  is always surjective with kernel  $N$ . Let  $f : G \rightarrow H$  be a group homomorphism. Then  $\ker f$  is normal in  $G$ , and the induced homomorphism  $\tilde{f} : G/\ker f \rightarrow H$  is injective.

*Proof.* The first part is an immediate consequence of construction of  $G/N$ . However, it should be noted that such construction can be avoided. The fact that  $\ker(\pi) = N$  can be proved by considering the permutation representation  $G \rightarrow \text{Sym}(\Omega)$ , where  $\Omega$  is the set of the cosets of  $N$ , and the fact that  $\pi$  is surjective is a consequence of  $\tilde{\pi} = \text{id}_{G/N}$ .

We clearly have  $\ker f \trianglelefteq G$ . The fact that  $\tilde{f} : G/\ker f \rightarrow H$  is injective follows from  $\pi : G \rightarrow G/\ker f$  being surjective with kernel  $\ker f$ . ■

Because the kernel of a group homomorphism is clearly normal, if  $N$  is not normal, then there can not be a pair  $G \rightarrow G/N$  that satisfies the universal property. If any things, this is the "reason" why normal subgroups are what meant to be quotiented in the category of group.

Given  $x, y \in G$ , we often write

$$[x, y] \triangleq xyx^{-1}y^{-1} \quad \text{or} \quad [x, y] \triangleq x^{-1}y^{-1}xy$$

and call  $[x, y]$  the **commutator** of  $x$  and  $y$ . Independent of differences of the definition, we have  $[x, y] \in N$  if and only if  $xyN = yxN$ . Again, independent of the definition, the **commutator subgroup**  $[G, G]$  of  $G$  is the subgroup generated by the commutators.

**Theorem 1.3.2.** ()

$$G/N \text{ is abelian} \iff [G, G] \subseteq N$$

*Proof.* ( $\implies$ ):

$$(xyx^{-1}y^{-1})N = xN \cdot yN \cdot (x^{-1})N \cdot (y^{-1})N = N$$

( $\impliedby$ ):

■

**Example 1.3.3.**  $G \triangleq S_3$ .  $S \triangleq \langle (1, 2) \rangle$  and  $H \triangleq \langle (2, 3) \rangle$ .  $SH$  doesn't form a group.  $(2, 3)(1, 2) \notin SH$ .

**Theorem 1.3.4. (Second isomorphism theorem)** Let  $H \leq G$ . If  $K$  is a subgroup of normalizer of  $H$ , then their product:

$$HK \triangleq \{hk \in G : h \in H \text{ and } k \in K\}$$

forms a group and is defined independent of left and right. Moreover,  $H \trianglelefteq HK$  with  $hkH = kH$ , and  $H \cap K \trianglelefteq K$  with

$$HK/H \cong K/H \cap K \quad \text{via} \quad kH \longleftrightarrow k(H \cap K)$$

*Proof.*

■

Third isomorphism theorem.

Correspondence theorem.

Because  $\varphi \circ \phi_g \circ \varphi^{-1} = \phi_{\varphi(g)}$ , we know  $\text{Inn}(G)$  forms a normal subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(G)$ .

## 1.4 Sylow theorems

Let  $o(G) \triangleq p^m q$  with  $\gcd(p, q) = 1$ , and let  $n \leq m$ . Because

$$\binom{p^m q}{p^m} = \frac{p^m q (p^m q - 1) \cdots (p^m q - p^m + 1)}{p^m (p^m - 1) \cdots 1}$$

and clearly

$$p^k | p^m q - i \iff p^k | i \iff p^k | p^m - i, \quad \text{for all } i \text{ and } k$$

Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the set of subsets of  $G$  with cardinality  $p^n$ . Clearly  $|\mathcal{S}| = \binom{o(G)}{p^n}$  and we may define a left  $G$ -action on  $\mathcal{S}$  by

$$g \cdot \{h_1, \dots, h_{p^n}\} \triangleq \{gh_1, \dots, gh_{p^n}\}$$

we

## 1.5 Exercises

### Question 1

Show that

- (i) If  $H/Z(H)$  is cyclic, then  $H$  is abelian.
- (ii) If  $H$  is of order  $p^2$ , then  $H$  is abelian.

From now on, suppose  $G$  is non-abelian with order  $p^3$ .

- (iii)  $|Z(G)| = p$ .
- (iv)  $Z(G) = [G, G]$ .

*Proof.* Let  $a, b \in H$  and  $H/Z(H) = \langle hZ \rangle$ . Write  $a = h^n z_1$  and  $b = h^m z_2$ . Because  $z_1, z_2 \in Z(H)$ , we may compute:

$$ab = h^n z_1 h^m z_2 = h^{n+m} z_1 z_2 = ba$$

as desired.

Let  $|H| = p^2$ . Because  $H$  is a  $p$ -group, we know  $Z(H)$  is nontrivial, therefore either  $|Z(H)| = p$  or  $|Z(H)| = p^2$ . To see the former is impossible, just observe that if so, then  $|H/Z(H)| = p$ , which implies  $H/Z(H)$  is cyclic, which by part (i) implies  $Z(H) = H$ .

Because  $G$  is non-abelian, we know  $|Z(G)| \neq p^3$ . Because  $G$  is a  $p$ -group, we know  $|Z(G)| \neq 1$ . Therefore, either  $|Z(G)| = p$  or  $|Z(G)| = p^2$ . Part (i) tell us that  $|Z(G)| \neq p^2$ , otherwise  $G$  is abelian, a contradiction. We have shown  $|Z(G)| = p$ , as desired.

We now prove  $Z(G) = [G, G]$ . Because  $|Z(G)| = p$ , by part (ii) we know  $G/Z(G)$  is abelian. This implies  $[G, G] \leq Z(G)$ , which implies  $[G, G]$  is either trivial or equal to  $Z(G)$ . Because  $G$  is non-abelian, we know  $[G, G]$  can not be trivial. This implies  $Z(G) = [G, G]$ , as desired. ■

### Question 2

- (i) Let  $M, N$  be two normal subgroups of  $G$  with  $MN = G$ . Prove that

$$G/(M \cap N) \cong (G/M) \times (G/N)$$

- (ii) Let  $H, K$  be two distinct subgroups of  $G$  of index 2. Prove that  $H \cap K$  is a normal subgroup with index 4 and  $G/(H \cap K)$  is not cyclic.

*Proof.* The map  $G/(M \cap N) \rightarrow (G/M) \times (G/N)$  defined by

$$g(M \cap N) \mapsto (gM, gN) \quad (1.1)$$

is clearly a well-defined group homomorphism, since if  $gM = hM$  and  $gN = hN$ , then  $gh^{-1} \in M$  and  $gh^{-1} \in N$ , which implies  $gh^{-1} \in M \cap N$ , which implies  $g(M \cap N) = h(M \cap N)$ . Let  $gM = M$  and  $gN = N$ . Then  $g \in M \cap N$  and  $g(M \cap N) = M \cap N$ . Therefore [map 1.1](#) is also injective. It remains to show [map 1.1](#) is surjective. Fix  $g, h \in G$ . Write  $g = mn$  and  $h = \tilde{m}\tilde{n}$ . Clearly  $gM = nM = \tilde{m}nM$  and  $hN = \tilde{m}N = \tilde{m}nN$ . This implies that [mapping 1.1](#) maps  $\tilde{m}n$  to  $(gM, hN)$ , as desired.

Because  $H, K$  are both of index 2 in  $G$ , we know they are both normal in  $G$ . This by second isomorphism theorem implies  $HK$  forms a subgroup of  $G$ . Because  $H \neq K$ , we know  $HK$  properly contains  $H$ , which by finiteness of  $G$  implies the index of  $HK$  is strictly less than  $H$ , i.e.,  $HK = G$ . Note that  $H \cap K$  is normal since it is the intersection of normal subgroups. By part (i), we now have  $G/(H \cap K) \cong (G/H) \times (G/K) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ , which shows that  $H \cap K$  has index 4 and  $G/(H \cap K)$  is cyclic. ■

### Question 3

Let  $G$  be a group of order  $pq$ , where  $p > q$  are prime.

- (i) Show that there exists a unique subgroup of order  $p$ .
- (ii) Suppose  $a \in G$  with  $o(a) = p$ . Show that  $\langle a \rangle \subseteq G$  is normal and for all  $x \in G$ , we have  $x^{-1}ax = a^i$  for some  $0 < i < p$ .

*Proof.* The third Sylow theorem stated that the number  $n_p$  of Sylow  $p$ -subgroups satisfies

$$n_p \equiv 1 \pmod{p} \quad \text{and} \quad n_p \mid q$$

Because  $p > q$ , together they implies  $n_p = 1$ . Since Sylow  $p$ -subgroups of  $G$  are exactly subgroups of order  $p$ , we have proved (i).

The third Sylow theorem also stated that  $n_p = |G : N_G(P)|$  for any Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $P \leq G$ . Therefore,  $N_G(\langle a \rangle) = G$ , i.e.,  $\langle a \rangle$  is normal in  $G$ . Fix  $x \in G$ . It remains to prove  $xa x^{-1} \neq e$ , which is a consequence of the fact that conjugacy (automorphism) preserves order. ■

### Question 4

Let  $H, K$  be two subgroups of  $G$  of coprime finite indices  $m, n$ . Show that

$$\text{lcm}(m, n) \leq |G : H \cap K| \leq mn$$

*Proof.* Let  $\Omega_{H \cap K}$ ,  $\Omega$ , and  $\Omega_K$  respectively denote the set of left cosets of  $H \cap K$ ,  $H$ , and  $K$ . The map  $\Omega_{H \cap K} \rightarrow \Omega_H \times \Omega_K$  defined by

$$g(H \cap K) \mapsto (gH, gK) \tag{1.2}$$

is well defined since

$$g(H \cap K) = l(H \cap K) \implies g^{-1}l \in H \cap K \implies gH = lH \text{ and } gK = lK$$

such set map is injective since if  $gH = lH$  and  $gK = lK$ , then  $g^{-1}l \in H$  and  $g^{-1}l \in K$ , which implies  $g(H \cap K) = l(H \cap K)$ , as desired. From the injectivity of [map 1.2](#), we have shown index of  $H \cap K$  indeed have upper bound  $mn$ . ■