

FG-submodules and reducibility

We begin the study of *FG*-modules by introducing the basic building blocks of the theory – the irreducible *FG*-modules. First we require the notion of an *FG*-submodule of an *FG*-module.

Throughout, G is a group and F is \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} .

FG-submodules

5.1 Definition

Let V be an *FG*-module. A subset W of V is said to be an *FG-submodule* of V if W is a subspace and $wg \in W$ for all $w \in W$ and all $g \in G$.

Thus an *FG*-submodule of V is a subspace which is also an *FG*-module.

5.2 Examples

(1) For every *FG*-module V , the zero subspace $\{0\}$, and V itself, are *FG*-submodules of V .

(2) Let $G = C_3 = \langle a: a^3 = 1 \rangle$, and let V be the 3-dimensional *FG*-module defined in [Example 4.11](#). Thus, V has basis v_1, v_2, v_3 , and

$$v_1 1 = v_1, v_2 1 = v_2, v_3 1 = v_3,$$

$$v_1 a = v_2, v_2 a = v_3, v_3 a = v_1,$$

$$v_1 a^2 = v_3, v_2 a^2 = v_1, v_3 a^2 = v_2.$$

Put $w = v_1 + v_2 + v_3$, and let $W = \text{sp}(w)$, the 1-dimensional subspace spanned by w . Since

$$w1 = wa = wa^2 = w,$$

W is an FG -submodule of V . However, $\text{sp}(v_1 + v_2)$ is not an FG -submodule, since

$$(v_1 + v_2)a = v_2 + v_3 \notin \text{sp}(v_1 + v_2).$$

Irreducible FG -modules

5.3 Definition

An FG -module V is said to be *irreducible* if it is non-zero and it has no FG -submodules apart from $\{0\}$ and V .

If V has an FG -submodule W with W not equal to $\{0\}$ or V , then V is *reducible*.

Similarly, a representation $\rho: G \rightarrow \text{GL}(n, F)$ is *irreducible* if the corresponding FG -module F^n given by

$$vg = v(g\rho) \quad (v \in F^n, g \in G)$$

(see [Theorem 4.4\(1\)](#)) is irreducible; and ρ is *reducible* if F^n is reducible.

Suppose that V is a reducible FG -module, so that there is an FG -submodule W with $0 < \dim W < \dim V$. Take a basis \mathcal{B}_1 of W and extend it to a basis \mathcal{B} of V . Then for all g in G , the matrix $[g]_{\mathcal{B}}$ has the form

$$(5.4) \quad \left(\begin{array}{c|c} X_g & 0 \\ \hline Y_g & Z_g \end{array} \right)$$

for some matrices X_g , Y_g and Z_g , where X_g is $k \times k$ ($k = \dim W$).

A representation of degree n is reducible if and only if it is equivalent to a representation of the form (5.4), where X_g is $k \times k$ and $0 < k < n$.

Notice that in (5.4), the functions $g \rightarrow X_g$ and $g \rightarrow Z_g$ are representations of G : to see this, let $g, h \in G$ and multiply the matrices $[g]_{\mathcal{B}}$ and $[h]_{\mathcal{B}}$ given by (5.4). Notice also that if V is reducible then $\dim V \geq 2$.

5.5 Examples

(1) Let $G = C_3 = \langle a: a^3 = 1 \rangle$ and let V be the 3-dimensional FG -module with basis v_1, v_2, v_3 such that

$$v_1 a = v_2, v_2 a = v_3, v_3 a = v_1,$$

as in [Example 4.11](#). We saw in [Example 5.2\(2\)](#) that V is a reducible FG -module, and has an FG -submodule $W = \text{sp}(v_1 + v_2 + v_3)$. Let \mathcal{B} be the basis $v_1 + v_2 + v_3, v_1, v_2$ of V . Then

$$\begin{aligned} [1]_{\mathcal{B}} &= \left(\begin{array}{c|cc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right), [a]_{\mathcal{B}} = \left(\begin{array}{c|cc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 \end{array} \right), \\ [a^2]_{\mathcal{B}} &= \left(\begin{array}{c|cc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right). \end{aligned}$$

This reducible representation gives us two other representations: at the ‘top left’ we have the trivial representation and at the ‘bottom right’ we have the representation which is given by

$$1 \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, a \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, a^2 \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(2) Let $G = D_8$ and let $V = F^2$ be the 2-dimensional FG -module described in [Example 4.5\(1\)](#). Thus $G = \langle a, b \rangle$, and for all $(\lambda, \mu) \in V$ we have

$$(\lambda, \mu)a = (-\mu, \lambda), \quad (\lambda, \mu)b = (\lambda, -\mu).$$

We claim that V is an irreducible FG -module. To see this, suppose that there is an FG -submodule U which is not equal to V . Then $\dim U \leq 1$, so $U = \text{sp}((\alpha, \beta))$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in F$. As U is an FG -module, $(\alpha, \beta)b$ is a scalar

multiple of (α, β) , and hence either $\alpha = 0$ or $\beta = 0$. Since $(\alpha, \beta)a$ is also a scalar multiple of (α, β) , this forces $\alpha = \beta = 0$, so $U = \{0\}$. Consequently V is irreducible, as claimed.

Summary of Chapter 5

1. If V is an FG -module, and W is a subspace of V which is itself an FG -module, then W is an FG -submodule of V .
2. The FG -module V is irreducible if it is non-zero and the only FG -submodules are $\{0\}$ and V .

Exercises for Chapter 5

1. Let $G = C_2 = \langle a: a^2 = 1 \rangle$, and let $V = F^2$. For $(\alpha, \beta) \in V$, define $(\alpha, \beta)1 = (\alpha, \beta)$ and $(\alpha, \beta)a = (\beta, \alpha)$. Verify that V is an FG -module and find all the FG -submodules of V .
2. Let ρ and σ be equivalent representations of the group G over F . Prove that if ρ is reducible then σ is reducible.
3. Which of the four representations of D_{12} defined in [Exercise 3.5](#) are irreducible?
4. Define the permutations $a, b, c \in S_6$ by

$$a = (1\ 2\ 3),\ b = (4\ 5\ 6),\ c = (2\ 3)(4\ 5),$$

and let $G = \langle a, b, c \rangle$.

(a) Check that

$$\begin{aligned} a^3 = b^3 = c^2 = 1, \quad ab = ba, \\ c^{-1}ac = a^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad c^{-1}bc = b^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Deduce that G has order 18.

- (b) Suppose that ε and η are complex cube roots of unity. Prove that there is a representation ρ of G over \mathbb{C} such that

$$a\rho = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, b\rho = \begin{pmatrix} \eta & 0 \\ 0 & \eta^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, c\rho = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- (c) For which values of ε, η is ρ faithful?
 - (d) For which values of ε, η is ρ irreducible?
5. Let $G = C_{13}$. Find a $\mathbb{C}G$ -module which is neither reducible nor irreducible.