

# Line bundle, divisors, linear system

SHENG-CHUN TSAI

July 10, 2025

# Vector bundle

**Definition 1.1** Given a smooth manifold  $M$  with dimension  $n$ . We define the tangent bundle to be

$$TM = \bigcup_{p \in M} T_p M$$

Now given a map

$$\pi : TM \longrightarrow M, (p, v) \in \{p\} \times T_p M \mapsto p$$

This makes  $TM$  into a  $C^\infty$  *vector bundle* over  $M$ .

**Definition 1.2** (1) Given any map  $\pi : E \rightarrow M$  we call the preimage  $\pi^{-1}(\{p\})$  of a point  $p$  the fiber at  $p$ , denoted by  $E_p$ .

(2) For any two maps  $\pi : E \rightarrow M$  and  $\pi' : E' \rightarrow M$ , a map  $\phi : E \rightarrow E'$  is said to be *fiber preserving* if  $\phi(E_p) \subset E'_p$  for all  $p \in M$ .

**Proposition 1.3**  $\phi : E \rightarrow E'$  is fiber preserving if and only if the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{\phi} & E' \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & M & \end{array}$$

commutes.

*Proof.* First, we assume  $\phi$  is fiber preserving, we have to check  $\pi' \circ \phi = \pi$ , note that for all  $x \in E$  there exists one and only one  $p \in M$  such that  $x \in E_p$ . Hence

$$\pi'(\phi(x)) = p = \pi(x)$$

Conversely, let  $x \in E_p$ , then  $\pi(x) = p = \pi'(\phi(x))$ , hence  $\phi(x) \in E'_p$ . □

**Definition 1.4** A smooth map  $\pi : E \rightarrow M$  between two manifolds is said to be *locally trivial* of rank  $r$  if

- (i) Each  $E_p$  is a vector space of dimension  $r$ .
- (ii) For all  $p \in M$  there is a open nbd  $U$  of  $p$  and a fiber preserving diffeomorphism  $\phi : \pi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U \times \mathbb{R}^r$  such that for all  $q \in U$  the map

$$\phi|_{E_q} : E_q \longrightarrow \{q\} \times \mathbb{R}^r$$

is an isomorphism as vector sapce. Such an open set  $U$  is called a trivializing open set for  $E$ , and  $\phi$  is called a trivialization of  $E$  over  $U$ . The collection  $\{(U, \phi)\}$ , with  $\{U\}$  an open cover of  $M$ , is called a local trivialization for  $E$ , and  $\{U\}$  is called a trivializing open cover of  $M$  for  $E$ .

**Definition 1.5** A smooth *vector bundle* rank  $r$  is a triple  $(E, M, \pi)$  consisting of two manifolds  $E$  and  $M$  and a surjective smooth map  $\pi : E \rightarrow M$  of locally trivial of rank  $r$ .  $E$  is called the *total space* and  $M$  is called the *base space*. We say that  $E$  is a *vector bundle* over  $M$ .

We may compare this notion with the *vector field*. Recall : A vector field on  $X = \mathbb{R}^n$  is a map  $F : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ . This vector field is said to be  $C^k$  if  $F$  is  $C^k$ . Therefore we may say a *vector field* on  $X$  is a way to give a vector on each  $p \in X$ . Now we can say a vector bundle is a way to given a vector space on each  $p \in M$ .

**Example: Product bundle** Let  $E = M \times \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\pi : E \rightarrow M$  be the projection map. Then  $E$  is a vector bundle over  $M$  of rank  $r$ . By the condition of (1.4 (ii)) we see that every vector bundle with rank  $r$  is "locally" isomorphic to the product bundle  $M \times \mathbb{R}^r$ .

**Definition 1.6** A *line bundle* is a vector bundle of rank 1.

We give a sheaf version of the *vector bundle*.

**Definition 1.7** Let  $X$  be a scheme, a *vector bundle* of rank  $n$  over  $X$  is a scheme  $Y$  and a morphism  $Y \rightarrow X$  with the data consisting of open cover  $U_i$  of  $X$  and for each  $i$  we have an isomorphism

$$\psi_i : f^{-1}(U_i) \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}_{U_i}^n = U_i \times_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{A}^n = \text{Spec} \mathcal{O}_X(U_i)[x_1, \dots, x_n]$$

such that for all  $i, j$  and any open affine subset  $V = \text{Spec } A \subset U_i \cap U_j$  the automorphism  $\psi = \psi_j \circ \psi_i^{-1}$  of  $\mathbb{A}_V^n = \text{Spec } A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is given by a linear automorphism

$$\theta : A[x_1, \dots, x_n] \longrightarrow A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$$

i.e.  $\theta(a) = a$  for all  $a \in A$  and  $\theta(x_i) = \sum a_{ij} x_j$  for some  $a_{ij} \in A$ .

**Remark 1.8** There is a one-to-one correspondence between *vector bundle* rank  $n$  and *locally free sheaf* of rank  $n$ .

## Divisors

### Weil divisors

**Lemma 2.0** Let  $X$  be scheme,  $Y$  be an irreducible closed of codimension 1, then for each open affine  $U = \text{Spec } A$  with  $Y \cap U \neq \emptyset$ ,  $Y \cap U$  is irreducible of codimension 1.

*Proof.* Suppose not, let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be the generic point of  $Y \cap U$  and  $\mathfrak{q}$  be another nontrivial prime ideal contained in  $\mathfrak{p}$ , let  $\xi = \mathfrak{q}$ ,  $\eta = \mathfrak{p}$  denote the points in the topological space  $X$ , then  $\text{cl}_U(\eta) \subset \text{cl}_U(\xi)$  which mean take the closure of  $\eta, \xi$  in  $U$ . Thus  $\text{cl}_X(\eta) = Y \subset \text{cl}_X(\xi)$ , since  $Y$  is of  $\text{codim}=1$ , so  $\text{cl}_X(\xi) = X$  and therefore  $\text{cl}_U(\xi) = V(\mathfrak{q}) = U$  hence  $\mathfrak{q} = 0$  which gives a contradiction.  $\square$

We assume all scheme are noetherian integral separated and regular in codimension 1.

**Definition 2.1** (1) A prime divisor of  $X$  is a integral closed subscheme of codimension 1, and we let  $PD(X)$  be the set of all prime divisor of  $X$ .

(2) A Weil divisor of  $X$  is an element of the free abelian group  $\text{Div } X = \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus PD(X)}$ , we write the element  $D \in \text{Div } X$  as

$$D = \sum n_Y Y, \quad n_Y \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$D$  is said to be effective if  $n_Y \geq 0$  for all prime divisor  $Y$ .

**Remark 2.2** (1) For each open affine  $U = \text{Spec } A$  and prime divisor  $Y$ , if  $Y \cap U \neq \emptyset$ , then  $Y \cap U$  is proper irreducible closed with codimension 1 in  $U$ , otherwise, the generic point of  $Y$  is correspondence to the zero ideal of  $A$ , hence it is equal to the generic point of  $X$ . This gives a contradiction.

(2)  $\mathcal{O}_\eta$  is a DVR ( $\eta$  is the generic point of  $Y$ ). Since we have assume  $X$  is regular in codimension 1, so it is enough to show the local ring  $\mathcal{O}_\eta$  has Krull dimension 1. Claim:  $\text{codim}(Y, X) = \dim \mathcal{O}_\eta$

May assume  $X = \text{Spec } A$  is affine. Then  $Y = V(\mathfrak{p})$  with  $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) = 1$  then  $\mathcal{O}_\eta = A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  and  $\dim A_{\mathfrak{p}} = 1$ .

(3) Let  $K = \mathcal{O}_\xi$  be the function field of  $X$ , where  $\xi$  is the generic point of  $X$ , and  $\text{Frac}(\mathcal{O}_\eta) = K$ , so  $\mathcal{O}_\eta$  is a discrete valuation ring of  $K$ , since  $X$  is separated, so its discrete valuation is uniquely determined, denoted by  $v_Y$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{O}_\eta = \{f \in K \mid v_Y(f) \geq 0\}$

(4) We may define a discrete valuation on  $K$ ,  $\text{ord} : K \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  defined by

$$\text{write } f = ct^n, \quad t \text{ is the uniformizer of } \mathcal{O}_\eta \text{ then } \text{ord}(f) = n$$

Since the valuation  $v_Y$  is unique, hence  $v_Y = \text{ord}$ .

**Lemma 2.3** For each  $f \in K^*$ , there is only finitely many prime divisor  $Y$  such that  $v_Y(f) \neq 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $U = \text{Spec} A$  be an open affine subset of  $X$  on which  $f$  is regular. i.e.  $f \in A$ . Let  $Z = X \setminus U$ , since  $X$  is noetherian so  $Z$  has only contains finitely many irreducible components of  $X$  and hence contains only finitely many primes divisors of  $X$ . Therefore it is enough to show  $v_Y(f) \neq 0$  for finitely many prime divisor  $Y$  with  $U \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $f$  is regular on  $U$  hence  $v_Y(f) \geq 0$  for such prime divisor  $Y$ . Note that

$$v_Y(f) > 0 \iff f \in (t) = \mathfrak{p}_Y = \eta \iff (f) \subset \mathfrak{p} \ \forall \mathfrak{p} \in Y \cap U \iff Y \cap U \subset V(f)$$

Since  $f$  is nonzero, and the unique generic point of  $U$  is the zero ideal hence  $V(f)$  is proper,  $A$  is Noetherian so  $V(f)$  contains only finitely irreducible closed subset of  $U$  with codimension 1.  $\square$

**Definition 2.4** (1) Let  $f \in K^*$ , we define the divisor of  $f$  to be

$$(f) = \sum v_Y(f)Y$$

This is well-defined by Lemma 2.3. Any divisor which is equal to the divisor of an element of  $K^*$  is called principal divisor.

(2) Let  $f \in K^*$  we say  $f$  is a zero along  $Y$  of order  $v_Y(f)$  if  $v_Y(f) > 0$ , pole along  $Y$  with order  $-v_Y(f)$  if  $v_Y(f) < 0$ .

(3) Let  $D_1, D_2 \in \text{Div} X$ , they are said to be linear equivalent if  $D_1 - D_2$  is principal, we define the divisor class group by  $\text{Cl} X = \text{Div} X / H$  where  $H$  is the subgroup of *principal divisor*. ( $H \leq \text{Div} X$ ,  $(f/g) = (f) - (g)$ )

**Remark 2.5**  $\text{Cl} X$  is an invariant of schemes. In general, it is not easy to calculate.

**Proposition 2.6** Let  $A$  be a Noetherian integral domain. Then  $A$  is an UFD iff  $X = \text{Spec} A$  is normal and  $\text{Cl} X = 0$  (We say a scheme is normal if its local ring  $\mathcal{O}_x$  is integrally closed for all  $x \in X$ )

*Proof.* First, we note that (1) UFD are integrally closed hence their localization are integrally closed so  $X$  is normal. (2) A Noetherian domain is UFD iff every prime ideal of height 1 is principal. ([Matsumura Thm 47 p.149]) Thus we just need to show the following statement: If  $A$  is a domain, then every prime ideal of height 1 is principal iff  $\text{Cl} X = 0$ .

Assume every prime ideal of height 1 is principal, consider a prime divisor  $Y \subset X$ , then  $Y$  associated with a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  which is the generic point of  $Y$ ,  $Y$  is codimension 1 hence  $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) = 1$ , hence  $\mathfrak{p} = (f)$  for some  $f \in A$ , hence it is a uniformizer of the DVR  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  so  $v_Y(f) = 1$ . Let  $\mathfrak{q}$  be another prime ideal of height 1, then it is principal, say  $\mathfrak{q} = (g)$ , if  $f \in (g)$  then  $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{q}$ , so  $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{p}$ . Hence  $v_Z(f) = 1$  iff  $Z = Y$ ,  $v_Z(f) = 0$  otherwise. Therefore  $Y = v_Y(f)Y$ . Thus every prime divisor  $Y$  is principal, hence  $\text{Cl} X = 0$ .

Conversely, we assume  $\text{Cl} X = 0$ , let  $\mathfrak{p} \in X$  with  $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) = 1$ , let  $Y = V(\mathfrak{p})$  then there exists  $f \in K^*$  such that  $(f) = Y$ . Claim :  $f \in A$  and  $\mathfrak{p} = (f)$

$Y = (f)$  so  $v_Y(f) = 1 \Rightarrow f \in A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  and  $(f) = \mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{q}$  be another prime ideal with height 1,  $Z = V(\mathfrak{q})$  be a prime divisor of  $X$ , then  $v_Z(f) = 0$  hence  $f \in A_{\mathfrak{q}}$ . Thus

$$f \in \bigcap_{\text{ht}(\mathfrak{q})=1} A_{\mathfrak{q}} = A \text{ ([Matsumura] Thm38 p.132)}$$

Let  $g \in \mathfrak{p} = (f)$  then  $v_Y(g) \geq 1$  and  $v_Z(g) \leq 0$  for all prime divisor  $Z \neq Y$  ( $\because g \in A$ ). Hence

$$v_Z(g/f) = v_Z(g) - v_Z(f) = v_Z(g) \geq 0 \text{ for all } Z \neq Y, \ v_Y(g/f) = v_Y(g) - 1 \geq 0$$

hence  $g/f \in A$ , and therefore  $g \in fA = (f)$ , hence  $\mathfrak{p}$  is principal.  $\square$

**Example** If  $X = \mathbb{A}_k^n = \text{Spec} k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , then  $\text{Cl} X = 0$ .

## Cartier divisors

In this section, we want to generalize the notion "divisor" to arbitrary scheme. Before we define the *Cartier divisor*, we have to give a brief introduction to *total quotient ring*. Recall that we can define quotient ring on an integral domain, now we want to define an analogous concept to arbitrary rings.

**Definition 2.6.1** Let  $A$  be a ring,  $S$  be the set of non-zero divisors of  $A$ , then we define the *total quotient ring* of  $A$  to be  $S^{-1}A$ . Note that if  $A$  is an integral domain, then  $S^{-1}A = \text{Frac}(A)$

**Proposition 2.6.2** Let  $A$  be a ring,  $K$  be the total quotient ring of  $A$  then

- (a)  $A \longrightarrow K$  is injective
- (b) Every element in  $K$  is either zero divisor or an unit

*Proof.* [AtM] Ch3 Exercise 9 □

**Definition 2.7** Let  $X$  be a scheme, for each open set  $U$ , let  $S(U)$  denote the set of elements of the ring  $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$  which are not zero divisor in the local ring  $\mathcal{O}_x$  for all  $x \in U$ . Then  $U \mapsto S(U)^{-1}\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_X)$  form a presheaf of  $X$ , we define the *sheaf of total quotient rings* to be the associated sheaf of  $U \mapsto S(U)^{-1}\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_X)$ .

**Remark 2.8** The sheaf of total quotient ring replace the notion of function field on integral scheme.

**Definition 2.9** (1) A *Cartier divisor* of a scheme  $X$  is a global section of the quotient sheaf  $\mathcal{K}^*/\mathcal{O}_X^*$ , where  $\mathcal{K}^*$  denotes the invertible elements in  $\mathcal{K}$ . Recall the definition of quotient sheaf, it is the sheaf associated to the presheaf  $U \mapsto \mathcal{K}(U)^*/\mathcal{O}_X(U)^*$ , hence every global section is locally comes from  $\bar{f}_i \in \mathcal{K}(U_i)^*/\mathcal{O}_X(U_i)^*$ ,  $f_i \in \mathcal{K}(U_i)^*$ ,  $(f_i/f_j)|_{U_i \cap U_j} \in \mathcal{O}(U_i \cap U_j)^* \forall i, j$ . Thus we may represent a *Cartier divisor* by  $\{(f_i, U_i)\}$  where  $U_i$  is an open cover of  $X$ .

(2) A *Caetier divisor* is said to be principal if it is in the image of  $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{K}^*) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{K}^*/\mathcal{O}_X^*)$ . More precisely, it is in the image of the morphism

$$\Gamma(X, \mathcal{K}^*) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{K}^*)/\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X^*) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{K}^*/\mathcal{O}_X^*)$$

Hence every principal Cartier divisor can be represent by a single element  $f \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{K}^*)$ .

(3) We let  $\text{CaCl}X$  denote the group of *Cartier divisor* quotient the subgroup of principal *Cartier divisor*.

It is natural to ask when does the *Cartier divisor* equal to the *Weil divisor* whenever *Weil divisor* is defined. Here we give a proposition.

**Proposition 2.10** Let  $X$  be an integral, separated noetherian scheme with every local ring is UFD (in which case we say  $X$  is locally factorial). Then  $\text{Div}X \cong \text{CaDiv}X$ , and furthermore, the principal Weil divisors are correspondence to principle Cartier divisor under this isomorphism.

*Proof.* Since UFD are integrally closed, so every local ring of  $X$  with dimension one is DVR. Thus we can talk about Weil divisors. Also note that  $\mathcal{K}(U) = \mathcal{O}_\xi$  for all open affine  $U$ , by the glueing lemma of sheaves we get  $\mathcal{K}$  is the costant sheaf of  $K = \mathcal{O}_\xi$  which is the function field of  $X$ .

Now given a Cartier divisor  $f$ , represented by  $\{(U_i, f_i)\}$ ,  $f_i \in K^*$ , we define the associtaed Weil divisor as follows. For each prime divisor  $Y$ , we let the coefficient of  $Y$  to be  $v_Y(f_i)$ , where  $i$  is the index such that  $U_i \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ . Note that  $v_Y(f_i/f_j) = 0$  whenever  $v_Y(f_j)$ ,  $v_Y(f_j)$  is defined, since  $f_i/f_j$  is invertible in  $\mathcal{O}(U_i \cap U_j)$ . Thus this it is well-defined. Since  $X$  is Noetherian hence quasi-compact so such open cover  $U_i$  is finite, then by (2.3) the sum  $D = \sum v_Y(f_i)Y$  is finite.

Conversely, given a Weil divisor  $D = \sum n_Y Y$ . For each  $x \in X$ , we define the divisor  $D_x$  on  $\text{Spec}\mathcal{O}_x$  induced by  $D$ . For each prime divisor  $Y$  which containing  $x$ , let  $U = \text{Spec}A$  be an affine open nbd of  $x$ . Then  $\eta \in U$ , hence  $x \in V(\eta) = U \cap Y$ . Therefore  $\eta \subset x$  (as prime ideal of  $A$ ). Thus  $\mathcal{O}_x \eta = A_x \eta$  is a prime ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_x = A_x$ . Since  $\eta$  has height one in  $U$ , so  $V(\eta)$  is an irreducible closed subset of codimension 1 in  $U$ , therefore  $\mathfrak{p}_Y = A_x \eta$  also has height 1. Then we define  $D_x = \sum n_Y V(\mathfrak{p}_Y)$ , where  $Y$  run through all prime divisor

containing  $x$ . By (2.6),  $D_x$  is principal for each  $x$ . Say  $D_x = (f_x)$  for some  $f_x \in K^*$ . Since  $D = \sum n_Y Y$  is finite sum, so there is only finitely many prime divisor  $Y$  with  $x \notin Y$  such that the coefficient of  $(f_x) \in \text{Div} X$  is differ to  $D$ . Thus for each  $x$ , there is an open nbd  $U_x$  of  $x$  such that

$$D|_{U_x} = \sum n_Y (Y \cap U_x) = (f_x)|_{U_x} = \sum v_Y(f_x)(Y \cap U_x)$$

Also note that  $(f_x)|_{U_x \cap U_y} = (f_y)|_{U_x \cap U_y}$  hence  $v_Y(f_x/f_y) = 0$ . So  $f_x/f_y$  lies in every open affine subset of  $U_x \cap U_y$ , this implies  $f_x/f_y \in \mathcal{O}(U_x \cap U_y)^*$ . Hence  $\{f_x, U_x\}$  represent a cartier divisor of  $X$ .  $\square$

## Picard groups

**Definition 3.1** An  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module  $\mathcal{F}$  on a ringed space  $X$  is said to be invertible if there is an open cover  $U_i$  of  $X$ , such that  $\mathcal{F}|_{U_i} \cong \mathcal{O}_X|_{U_i}$ .

**Lemma 3.2** (Glueing lemma) Let  $U_i$  be an open cover of  $X$ , and  $\mathcal{F}_i$  be a sheaf on each  $U_i$ , and for each  $i, j$  there is an isomorphism of sheaves

$$\varphi_{ij} : \mathcal{F}_i|_{U_i \cap U_j} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_j|_{U_i \cap U_j}$$

with the following properties:

(1)  $\varphi_{ii}$  is identity

(2) For each  $i, j, k$   $\varphi_{ik} = \varphi_{jk} \circ \varphi_{ij}$  on  $U_i \cap U_j \cap U_k$

Then there is a unique sheaf (up to isomorphism)  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $X$  with isomorphism

$$\psi_i : \mathcal{F}|_{U_i} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_i$$

such that for each  $i, j$ ,  $\psi_j = \varphi_{ij} \circ \psi_i$  on  $U_i \cap U_j$ . We say  $\mathcal{F}$  is obtained by glueing the sheaves  $\mathcal{F}_i$  via  $\varphi_{ij}$ .

**Remark 3.2.1** In general, the glueing lemma is not applicable on invertible sheaves. We assume  $\mathcal{L}$  is an invertible sheaves with an open cover  $U_i$  and isomorphism such that

$$g_i : \mathcal{L}|_{U_i} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X|_{U_i}$$

But in general,  $g_j \circ g_i^{-1} : \mathcal{O}_{U_i \cap U_j} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{U_i \cap U_j}$  is not identity on  $U_i \cap U_j$ , so the sheaf we obtained by the glueing data  $\{(\mathcal{O}_{U_i}, g_i)\}$  (which is  $\mathcal{L}$ ) is not isomorphic to  $\mathcal{O}$  (which is obtained by the glueing data  $\{(\mathcal{O}_{U_i}, id_{U_i})\}$ )

**Proposition 3.3** Let  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  be two invertible sheaves on a ringed space  $X$ . Then  $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{M}$  is invertible and there is an invertible sheaves  $\mathcal{L}^{-1}$  such that  $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1} \cong \mathcal{O}_X$ .

*Proof.* The first part is trivial, we prove the second part. Let  $\mathcal{L}^{-1} = \check{\mathcal{L}} = \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{O}_X)$ , it is invertible clearly. Note that for each open set  $U$ , we may view  $\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{O}_X)(U) = \text{Hom}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{O}_X)(U)$  as a product  $\prod_{V \subseteq U} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X(V)}(\mathcal{L}(V), \mathcal{O}_X(V))$ . Also by the universal property of tensor product, we have

$$(\mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{L})(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(U), (f_V) \otimes s \mapsto f_U(s)$$

Now we may choose an open affine cover such that  $\mathcal{L}|_{U_i} \cong \mathcal{O}_{U_i}$ . So every element of  $(f_V) \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{L}|_{U_i}, \mathcal{O}_{U_i})$  is uniquely determined by  $f_U$ . Thus we consider the following statement: Let  $M$  be a free  $A$ -module of rank 1, then  $\check{M} \otimes M \cong A$  via the morphism we constructed above. Write  $M = Ae$ , then every element in  $\check{M} \otimes M$  can be written as

$$\sum (f_x \otimes x) = \sum (f_x \otimes a_x e) = (\sum a_x f_x) \otimes e$$

Then  $(\sum a_x f_x)(e) = 0$  implies it is a zero function, this prove the morphism is injective. For each  $a \in A$ , let  $f \in \check{M}$  such that  $f(e) = a$ , then  $f \otimes e \mapsto a$ . This proved the morphism of sheaves we constructed is an isomorphism.  $\square$

**Definition 3.4** For any ringed space  $X$ , we define the *Picard group* of  $X$  to be

$$\text{Pic} X = (\{\text{Isomorphism class of invertible sheaves}\}, \otimes)$$

Note that  $\text{Pic}X$  is an abelian group.

**Remark 3.3** We also can say that  $\text{Pic}X$  is the group consist of isomorphism class of *line bundle*.

**Definition 3.5** For each Cartier divisor  $D = \{(U_i, f_i)\}$  on a scheme  $X$ , we define the subsheaf  $\mathcal{L}(D)$  of  $\mathcal{K}$  associated by  $D$  to be the glueing sheaf of  $U_i \mapsto \mathcal{O}_{U_i} f_i^{-1}$ . Since  $f_i/f_j \in \mathcal{O}(U_i \cap U_j)^*$ , so  $\mathcal{O}_{U_i \cap U_j}(f_i^{-1})|_{U_i \cap U_j} = \mathcal{O}_{U_i \cap U_j}(f_j^{-1})|_{U_i \cap U_j}$  i.e. It is glueable.

**Proposition 3.6** Let  $X$  be a scheme, then

- (1) For any Cartier divisor  $D$ ,  $\mathcal{L}(D)$  is an invertible sheaf on  $X$ , and there is an one-to-one correspondence between Cartier divisors and invertible subsheaf of  $\mathcal{K}$
- (2)  $\mathcal{L}(D_1 - D_2) \cong \mathcal{L}(D_1) \otimes \mathcal{L}(D_2)^{-1}$
- (3)  $D_1 - D_2$  is principal iff  $\mathcal{L}(D_1) \cong \mathcal{L}(D_2)$

*Proof.* (1) Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be the invertible subsheaf of  $\mathcal{K}^*$  then there is an open cover  $U_i$  of  $X$  such that  $\mathcal{L}|_{U_i} = \mathcal{O}_{U_i} g_i$  for some  $g_i \in \mathcal{K}(U_i)$ , and note that such  $g_i$  is a non zero divisor of  $\mathcal{K}(U_i)$  hence it is an unit, also  $\mathcal{O}_{U_i \cap U_j}(g_i)|_{U_i \cap U_j} = \mathcal{O}_{U_i \cap U_j}(g_j)|_{U_i \cap U_j}$  hence  $g_i/g_j \in \mathcal{O}(U_i \cap U_j)^*$ . Thus we obtained a Cartier divisor represented by  $\{(U_i, g_i^{-1})\}$ .

(2) Suppose  $D_1 = \{(U_i, f_i)\}$ ,  $D_2 = \{(U_i, g_i)\}$  (We may assume the index and open cover are the same since we may intersect two open cover and get a common refinement of these two open cover) Then  $D_1 - D_2 = \{(U_i, f_i/g_i)\}$  and therefor  $\mathcal{L}(D_1 - D_2)$  is locally generated by  $f_i^{-1}g_i$  which is clearly isomorphic to  $\mathcal{L}(D_1) \otimes \mathcal{L}(D_2)^{-1}$ .

(3) It suffices to show  $D$  is principal iff  $\mathcal{L}(D) \cong \mathcal{O}_X$ . Let  $D$  represented by  $\{(X, f)\}$  then

$$\mathcal{L}(D) = \mathcal{O}_X f^{-1} \cong \mathcal{O}_X$$

Conversely, given an invertible subsheaf  $\mathcal{O}_X g$ ,  $g \in \mathcal{K}^*(X)$ , then  $\{(X, g)\}$  is principal.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.7** There is an injective group homomorphism  $\text{CaCl}X \rightarrow \text{Pic}X$ .

**Proposition 3.8** If  $X$  is integral, then the morphism we constructed in (3.5 (1)) is surjective.

*Proof.* It suffices to show every invertible subsheaf is isomorphic to an subsheaf of  $\mathcal{K}$ . In this case  $\mathcal{K}$  is the constant sheaf of  $K = \text{function field}$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be an invertible sheaf. Consider the sheaf  $\mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{L}$  and an open cover  $U_i$  such that  $\mathcal{L}|_{U_i} \cong \mathcal{O}_{U_i}$  then

$$\mathcal{K}|_{U_i} \cong (\mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{L})|_{U_i}$$

So it is a constant sheaf on  $U_i$ . Since  $X$  is irreducible hence every open set in  $U$  is connected then by glueing lemma we get  $\mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{L}$  is a constant sheaf of  $K$ . Thus we may embed  $\mathcal{L} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{L} \cong \mathcal{K}$  by the natural map.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.9** If  $X$  is noetherian separated integral and locally factorial, then  $\text{Cl}X \cong \text{Pic}X$ .

**Definition 3.10** A Cartier divisor  $\{(U_i, f_i)\}$  is said to be *effective* if  $f_i \in \Gamma(U_i, \mathcal{O}_{U_i})$  for all  $i$ . In this case we define the associated locally principal closed subscheme,  $Y$  to be the closed subscheme defined by the sheaf of ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  locally generated by  $f_i$ .

**Proposition 3.11** Let  $D$  be an effective Cartier divisor on  $X$ , then the sheaf of ideal  $\mathcal{I}_Y$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{L}(-D)$ , where  $Y$  is the associated locally principal closed subscheme.

*Proof.*  $\mathcal{L}(-D)$  is locally generated by  $f_i \in \mathcal{O}(U_i)$ .  $\square$

## Linear system

**Definition 4.1** Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be an invertible sheaf on an integral scheme  $X$ , let  $0 \neq s \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L})$ . We define the effective Cartier divisor  $D = (s)_0$ , the *divisor of zeros* of  $s$ . Over any open set where  $\mathcal{L}$  is trivial, i.e.  $\mathcal{L}|_U \cong \mathcal{O}_U$ , let  $\varphi : \mathcal{L}|_U \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_U$  be such isomorphism, then  $\{(U, \varphi(s))\}$  determined an effective Cartier divisor on  $X$ . Note that if there are two isomorphism  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : \mathcal{L}|_U \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_U$  then  $\varphi_1 = u\varphi_2$  for some  $u \in \mathcal{O}_U^*$ . So this is well-defined.

**Remark 4.1.1** If  $Ae \rightarrow A$  is an isomorphism then  $e \mapsto u$  which is an unit in  $A$ .

**Definition 4.1.2** (1) A variety over an algebraically closed field  $k$  is an integral separated scheme  $X$  finite type over  $k$ , or equivalently,  $X$  is integral separated, quasi-compact and for each open affine  $U = \text{Spec} A$ ,  $A$  is a finitely generated  $k$ -algebra. In addition, a projective variety over  $k$  is a projective scheme with above condition.

**Definition 4.2** A curve over  $k$  is a variety of dimension 1. A curve is said to be nonsingular if all local rings are regular.

**Remark 4.2.1** Every " $k$ " are assumed to be algebraically closed.

**Proposition 4.3** Let  $X$  be a projective variety over  $k$ . Let  $D_0$  be a divisor on  $X$  and let  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(D_0)$  be a line bundle of  $X$ . Then:

- (1) for each  $s \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L}) \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $(s)_0$  is effective and linear equivalent to  $D_0$ .
- (2) every divisor who linear equivalent to  $D_0$  is of the form  $(s)_0$  for some  $s \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L}) \setminus \{0\}$ .
- (3) for any two  $s, t \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L})$  with  $(s)_0 = (t)_0$  iff there is an element  $a \in k^*$  such that  $s = at$ .

*Proof.* (1) Since  $X$  is integral, we may identify  $\mathcal{L}$  into a subsheaf of  $\mathcal{K}$ , which is a constant sheaf of the function field  $K$ . Therefore  $s$  is correspondence to a rational function  $f \in K^*$ . Let  $D_0 = \{(U_i, f_i)\}$ , then  $\mathcal{L}$  is locally generated by  $f_i^{-1}$ . Somwe get an isomorphism  $\varphi_i : \mathcal{L}|_{U_i} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}|_{U_i}$  by multiplying  $f_i$ , and hence  $D = (s)_0 = \{(U_i, f f_i)\}$ . Thus  $D - D_0 = (f) = \{(X, f)\}$ .

(2) We represent  $D, D_0, (f)$  locally by  $\{h_i\}, \{g_i\}, \{f_i\}$  where  $f_i$  is the restriction of  $f$  on each open cover. Since  $D$  is effective hence  $h_i \in \mathcal{O}$ . By the relation  $D = D_0 + (f)$  we see that  $f_i g_i = h_i \in \mathcal{O}$ , and therefore  $f_i \in g_i^{-1} \mathcal{O} = \mathcal{L}(D_0)$ , so  $h_i$  is obtained by the isomorphism  $\mathcal{L}|_{U_i} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}|_{U_i}$ ,  $x \mapsto g_i x$ . Thus  $D$  is comes from the global section of  $\mathcal{L}$ . ( $f_i$  are come from the global section  $f$ .)

(3) Let  $(s)_0, (t)_0$  represented bt  $\{f_i\}, \{g_i\}$  locally,  $(s)_0 = (t)_0$  means  $f_i/g_i \in \mathcal{O}^*$  since  $0 = (s)_0 - (t)_0$  is principal hence we may represent the Cartier divisor  $\{f_i/g_i\}$  by a single element  $a \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}^*)$ . Thus  $a(t)_0 = (s)_0$  which implies  $at = s$ . Since  $X$  is projective over  $k$ , so  $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}) = k$ .  $\square$

**Definition 4.4** A complete linear system on a nonsingular projective variety is defined as a set of all effective dvisors which linear equivalent to some given divisor  $D_0$ , denoted by  $|D_0|$ .

**Remark 4.4.1** By (4.3) we see that  $|D_0|$  is 1-1 correspondence to  $(\Gamma(X, \mathcal{L}(D_0)) \setminus \{0\})/k^*$ . This gives  $|D_0|$  a structure of the set of closed point of a projective space over  $k$ . ( $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{L})$  is a finite dimensional vector space over  $k$ )

**Definition 4.5** A linear system  $\mathfrak{d}$  is a subset of a complete linear system  $|D_0|$  which correspondence to a subvector space  $V \subset \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L}(D_0))$ . More precisely,  $V = \{s \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L}) \setminus \{0\} \mid (s)_0 \in \mathfrak{d}\} \cup \{0\}$ , hence  $\mathfrak{d} = \{(s)_0 \mid s \in V \setminus \{0\}\}$ . And we define  $\dim \mathfrak{d} = \dim_k V - 1$ .