New Product Introduction Success Factor Scoring

Purpose

- To Identify the Management Strengths and Weakness of a Project by rating it against Proven Success Factors of past projects.
- ☐ To Improve the Success Rate of New Product Introduction.
- ☐ To provide a "template" of Critical

 Management Requirements for Executives
 to use at Gate Reviews.

This revision is to bring more focus on Marketing Issues.

Process

- Process rating of all 7 Key Factors mandatory at each gate review.
- ☐ Factors to be rated on a sliding scale of 0 10 where '0' = Not Covered and '10' = Fully Met.
- Ratings to be made jointly by:
 - Project Manager
 - Product Manager
 - ⇒ Development Prime

[Based on Their Judgement]

Scoring Guidelines

At each gate, rate the following 7 Success Factors for your project on a scale of 1-10 where '0' = not covered at all, and '10' = fully met. The first gate at which a success factor should be scored is shown to the immediate right of each factor and the scoring should be updated at each succeeding gate, until gate 4. Below each success factor are suggested considerations which you may use as a guide. The success factors to be used for each specific project should be identified at the first gate, and then the progress tracked and reported at each succeeding gate.

	D = 1 = 4	Dates								
	Project	Gate 0	Gate 1	Gate 2		Gate 3	Gate	4		
				(84)						
			S	Score	Score	Score		Score		
1.	Committed Team		Gate 0	Gate 1		ate 2	Gate 3			
	Considerations:									
	Does the project had	eve assigned to it a Pro	oject Manager, a	Product Manag	er and a De	evelopment Pri	me?			
	☐ Have these managers a proven 'track record' and are they on the project full time and from the outset?									
	Is there an organiza	ation spelled out with r	names and is the	re one person a	ssigned wh	o 'owns' the p	roject?			
	Is there a corporate	commitment in terms	of staff and fund	ling for develop	ment and ca	apital?				
	Are marketing and :	sales working as a tea	m and are they,	in turn, teamed	up with thei	r counterparts	in			
	telcos/distribution c	hannels to sell to the	end user.							
						•		Score		
2	Marketing Compo	titivo Stratogy		core	Score	Score	_			
2.	Marketing Compe	titive Strategy	Gate 0	Gate 1		ate 2	Gate 3			
2.	Considerations:						_			
2.	Considerations: How large is the portage.	tential market?	Gate 0	Gate 1			_			
2.	Considerations: How large is the portion Have the dollar ben	tential market? efits and the application	Gate 0	Gate 1	dentified?	ate 2	Gate 3			
2.	Considerations: How large is the portion Have the dollar ben Has there been ear	tential market? efits and the application	Gate 0 on impact to the con in defining pro	Gate 1	dentified?	ate 2	Gate 3	gy?		
2.	Considerations: How large is the point of the dollar ben has there been early is there a thorough	tential market? efits and the application ly customer participation competitive analysis a	on impact to the con in defining provailable?	end user been in	dentified?	R contributed	Gate 3			
2.	Considerations: How large is the portion Have the dollar ben Has there been early is there a thorough Has all this been su	tential market? efits and the application ly customer participation competitive analysis a	on impact to the con in defining provailable?	end user been in	dentified?	R contributed	Gate 3			
2.	Considerations: How large is the portage in the dollar ben Has there been early is there a thorough Has all this been supreparing the communications.	tential market? lefits and the application ly customer participation competitive analysis a mmarized in a 1 or 2 propercial specification?	on impact to the con in defining provailable?	end user been icoduct functions a	dentified?	R contributed	Gate 3			
2.	Considerations: How large is the point of the dollar ben has there been early is there a thorough has all this been supreparing the common what is the impact of	tential market? efits and the application ly customer participation competitive analysis a mmarized in a 1 or 2 processed in a 3 products are as a content of the content in the c	on impact to the con in defining provailable? Dage document, and the installed by	end user been in oduct functions a so that the products:	dentified? and has BN uct definitio	R contributed	Gate 3			
2.	Considerations: How large is the portage in the dollar ben Has there been early is there a thorough Has all this been supreparing the communications.	tential market? efits and the application ly customer participation competitive analysis a mmarized in a 1 or 2 processed in a 3 products are as a content of the content in the c	on impact to the con in defining provailable? Dage document, and the installed by	end user been in oduct functions a so that the products:	dentified? and has BN uct definitio	R contributed	Gate 3			

Score Score Score Score Communication Gate 0 Gate 1 Gate 2 Gate 3 Considerations: Have geographic issues been considered? Are all the development activities collocated or, if not, is a suitable electronic voice/data system in place to facilitate same? Are regular 'division led' project reviews planned, including all functions with 1-page reports issued to the General Manager after each review? Is there a defined procedure for quick turnaround of design changes?

			Score		Cana			
4.	Product Delivery Strategy	Gate 1	50010	Gate 2	Score	Gate 3		
	Considerations: Is Manufacturing on the development team and is the classical Are there any new processes/technologies and is there Has concurrence been reached at Gate 1 amongst Manand cost? Do the development milestones tie into the gates?	a plan to de-risk tl	hem?					
	 Have manufacturability assessments been applied? Have both the commercial and product specifications be 'sub-product' (e.g., end user documentation plan) inform 	een completed and nation?	d signed (off; do the	y include	e all required		
5.	Product Integrity/Verification Strategy	Gate 1	Score	Gate 2	Score	Gate 3		
	Considerations: Is there a comprehensive test plan including full load and field trials plus integrity testing? Have all the hardware and software quality metrics been Has simulation been used at the front end to check that	d full configuration	eved?	nt technic	al trials a			
						- V		
6.	Market Implementation Strategy			Gate 2	Score	Gate 3		
	Considerations: Have one or more key customers been involved as advisors on the product itself, and have they been specifically involved in setting up the field trials, V.O. trials, etc.? Has a strong marketing launch been planned and has it been supported with adequate advertising, promotion and sales force training in terms of end-user application(s) and benefits? Have the distribution channels been identified and is there a plan in place to support and manage them?							
	Customer Service Strategy	•		0-1-0	Score	Score		
	Considerations: Is there an adequate package and plan for training customers' staff, and is it supported with 'user friendly' documentation? Is there a plan for a staged and controlled introduction including a procedure for rapid resolution of field problems and adequate ongoing technical assistance? If distribution channels are to be used, is there a plan to support these channels to provide adequate service to customers?							

