## CIS 520, Machine Learning, Fall 2016: Assignment 3

Your name here

September 26, 2017

Collaborator:

Type Collaborator Name Here

### 1 Naïve Bayes as a Linear Classifier

In this question we will consider the problem of binary classification, where we call one class positive and the other negative (for example spam vs. non-spam), i.e. each label  $y \in \{\pm 1\}$ . We will also assume that each instance  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  has binary attribute/feature values, i.e. each attribute/feature  $x_i \in \{0, 1\}$ .

Let  $p = \mathbf{Pr}(y = 1)$ ,  $\alpha_i = \mathbf{Pr}(x_i = 1|y = 1)$ , and  $\beta_i = \mathbf{Pr}(x_i = 1|y = -1)$ . We will assume that all the attributes of each instance  $\mathbf{x}$  are conditionally independent given y. Formally,

$$\mathbf{Pr}(\mathbf{x}|y) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{Pr}(x_i|y).$$

Recall that a Naïve Bayes classifier  $h^{-1}$  can be written as:

$$h(\mathbf{x}) = \max_{y \in \{\pm 1\}} \hat{\mathbf{Pr}}(y|\mathbf{x}), \tag{1}$$

where the probability  $\hat{\mathbf{Pr}}(y|\mathbf{x})$  is estimated from data.

For the above problem the Naïve Bayes classifier can be written in the form of a linear classifier, i.e. for some  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ 

$$h(\mathbf{x}) = \operatorname{sign}(\mathbf{w}^{\top}\mathbf{x} + b),$$

where the sign function returns +1 when  $\mathbf{w}^{\top}\mathbf{x} + b$  is positive, and -1 otherwise. In the problem you will be asked to find such a  $\mathbf{w}$  and b.

1. Show that the conditional probability of  $\mathbf{x}$  given y can be written as:

$$\mathbf{Pr}(\mathbf{x}|y=1) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i^{x_i} \cdot (1 - \alpha_i)^{(1-x_i)},$$

and

$$\mathbf{Pr}(\mathbf{x}|y = -1) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \beta_i^{x_i} \cdot (1 - \beta_i)^{(1-x_i)}.$$

- 2. Given data  $D = \{(\mathbf{x}_1, y_1), \dots (\mathbf{x}_m, y_m)\}$  find the maximum likelihood estimates (MLE) of the parameters p,  $\alpha_i$ , and  $\beta_i$  for each  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Call these estimates  $\hat{p}$ ,  $\hat{\alpha}_i$ , and  $\hat{\beta}_i$ , respectively.
- 3. Let  $\hat{\mathbf{Pr}}(y|\mathbf{x})$  be the probability distribution of y given  $\mathbf{x}$  corresponding to the MLE estimates  $\hat{p}$ ,  $\hat{\alpha}_i$ 's, and  $\hat{\beta}_i$ 's. Using Equation (??) show that  $h(\mathbf{x})$  can be written as

$$h(\mathbf{x}) = \operatorname{sign}(\hat{\mathbf{Pr}}(1|\mathbf{x}) - \hat{\mathbf{Pr}}(-1|\mathbf{x})). \tag{2}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Assume  $h(\mathbf{x}) = -1$  in case there is a tie.

4. Using Bayes rule and the form of  $\hat{\mathbf{Pr}}(y|\mathbf{x})$  show that

$$h(\mathbf{x}) = \operatorname{sign}(\mathbf{w}^{\top}\mathbf{x} + b)$$
,

and find the value of  $\mathbf{w}$  and b.

**Hint:** You need to take the log of both  $\hat{\mathbf{Pr}}(1|\mathbf{x})$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{Pr}}(-1|\mathbf{x})$  in Equation (??), and use the fact that log is an increasing function.

### 2 Multiclass Logistic Regression

In this question, we will see how we can extend the logistic regression model from HW2 (which was used for binary classification) to multi-class classification. Let's say we have C different classes, and for a class j we have :

$$\mathbf{P}(Y = j \mid X = \mathbf{x}) = \frac{\exp\{\mathbf{w}_j^T x\}}{\sum_{k=1}^C \exp\{\mathbf{w}_k^T x\}} \quad \forall j \in \{1, 2, ..., C\}$$

where as usual  $\mathbf{x}$  is a vector of features, and  $\mathbf{w}_j$  is the weight vector assigned to class j. Our objective is to estimate the weights using gradient ascent (just like we did last week), but this time there will not be any coding involved. We will also add a regularization term to the loss function to avoid overfitting.

- 1. Suppose that the training matrix is of dimensions  $M \times N$ , which is to say that you have M data points and each data point has N features. Write down the log likelihood,  $L(\mathbf{w}_1, ..., \mathbf{w}_C)$ . Now add a L2 regularization term. Please show all your steps and write a justification for each step.
- 2. Next, derive the expression for the  $j^{th}$  index in the vector gradient (i.e. partial derivative)  $L(\mathbf{w}_1, ..., \mathbf{w}_C)$ , with respect to  $\mathbf{w}_j$ .
- 3. Now, write down the update equation for weight vector  $\mathbf{w}_{j}$ , with  $\eta$  as the step size.
- 4. Will the sequence of consecutive weight vectors converge? If yes, to what? Why?

#### 3 Feature Selection

We saw in class that one can use a variety of regularization penalties in linear regression.

$$\hat{w} = \arg\min_{w} \quad \|Y - Xw\|_{2}^{2} + \lambda \|w\|_{p}^{p}$$

Consider the three cases, p = 0, 1, and 2. We want to know what effect these different penalties have on estimates of w.

Let's see this using a simple problem.

Use the provided data (data.mat). Assume the constant term in the regression is zero, and assume  $\lambda=1$ , except, of course, for question (1). You don't need to write code that solves these problems in their full generality; instead, feel free to use matlab to do the main calculations. The best way to search over parameter spaces is using the Matlab function fminsearch.(Note: If you are not familiar with this function, please see Matlab documentation.)

- 1. If we assume that the response variable y is distributed according to  $y \sim N(w \cdot x, \sigma^2)$ , then what is the MLE estimate  $\hat{w}_{MLE}$  of w?
- 2. Given  $\lambda = 1$ , what is  $\hat{w}$  for p = 2?
- 3. Given  $\lambda = 1$ , what is  $\hat{w}$  for p = 1?

4. Given  $\lambda = 1$ , what is  $\hat{w}$  for p = 0? Note that since L0 norm is not a "real" norm, the penalty expression is a little different:

$$\hat{w} = \arg\min_{w} \quad ||Y - Xw||_{2}^{2} + \lambda ||w||_{0}$$

Also for L0 norm, you have to solve all combinatorial cases separately where some certain components of w are set to zero, then add L0 accordingly. There are 8 cases for 3 unknown  $w_i$ .

- 5. Write a paragraph describing the relation between the estimates of w in the four cases, explaining why that makes sense given the different penalties.
- 6. When  $\lambda > 0$ , we make a trade-off between minimizing the sum of squared errors and the magnitude of  $\hat{w}$ . In the following questions, we will explore this trade-off further. For the following, use the same data from data.mat.
  - (a) For the MLE estimate of w (as in 4.1), write down the value of the ratio

$$||\hat{w}_{MLE}||_2^2 / ||Y - X\hat{w}_{MLE}||_2^2$$
.

- (b) i. Suppose the assumptions of linear regression are satisfied. Let's say that with N training samples (assume N >> P, where P is the number of features), you compute  $\hat{w}_{MLE}$ . Then let's say you do the same with 2N training samples. How do you expect  $||Y X\hat{w}_{MLE}||_2^2$  to change when going from N to 2N samples? When N >> P, does this sum of squared errors for linear regression directly depend on the number of training samples?
  - ii. Likewise, if you double the number of training samples, how do you expect  $||\hat{w}_{MLE}||_2^2$  to change? Does  $||\hat{w}_{MLE}||_2^2$  for linear regression directly depend on the number of training samples in the large-N limit?
- (c) Using any method (e.g. trial and error, random search, etc.), find a value of  $\lambda$  for which the estimate  $\hat{w}$  satisfies

$$0.8 < ||\hat{w}||_2^2 / ||\hat{w}_{MLE}||_2^2 < 0.9.$$

(d) Using any method (e.g. trial and error, random search, etc.), find a value of  $\lambda$  for which the estimate  $\hat{w}$  satisfies

$$0.4 < ||\hat{w}||_2^2 / ||\hat{w}_{MLE}||_2^2 < 0.5.$$

# 4 Entropy and Minimum Description Length

- 1. You will need to transmit a sequence of n binary observations (e.g. y values), which will be "1" with probability  $p_1 = 3/16$  and "0" with probability  $p_0 = 13/16$ . What is the minimum number of bits to code the sequence (for large n)? Please do calculate the number instead of only providing the equation.
- 2. You are doing feature selection where there are far more possible features than observations. Assume there are total of f features and roughly 3/16 of the features will be selected. The original penalty parameter  $\lambda$  in  $\mathrm{RIC}(Err/2\sigma^2 + \lambda ||w||_0)$  is  $\log_2 f$ . In this situation, what would be a better alternative to  $\lambda$ ?

### 5 MDL on a toy dataset

We provide a data set (train\_data.mat, train\_y.mat, test\_data.mat, test\_y.mat) generated from a particular model with N = 64. We want to estimate

$$\hat{y} = w_1 x_1 + w_2 x_2 + w_3 x_3$$

We want to use MDL to find the 'optimal'  $L_0$ -penalized model.

1. Estimate the three linear regressions

(We could actually try all possible subsets here, but instead we'll just try three.)

$$y_1 = w_1 x_1$$
  
 $y_2 = w_1 x_1 + w_2 x_2$   
 $y_3 = w_1 x_1 + w_2 x_2 + w_3 x_3$ 

For each of the three cases, what is

- (a) the sum of square error
  - i)  $Err_1 =$
  - ii)  $Err_2 =$
  - iii)  $Err_3 =$
- (b) 2 times the estimated bits to code the residual  $(n \log \frac{Error}{n})$ 
  - i)  $ERR_bits_1 =$
  - ii)  $ERR_bits_2 =$
  - iii)  $ERR_bits_3 =$
- (c) 2 times the estimated bits to code each residual plus model under AIC (2\*1 bit to code each feature)
  - i)  $AIC_bits_1 =$
  - ii) AIC\_bits<sub>2</sub> =
  - iii)  $AIC_bits_3 =$
- (d) 2 times the estimated bits to code each residual plus model under BIC (2\*(1/2)log(n)) bits to code each feature)
  - i)  $BIC_bits_1 =$
  - ii)  $BIC_bits_2 =$
  - iii)  $BIC_bits_3 =$
- 2. Which model has the smallest minimum description length?
  - a) for AIC
  - b) for BIC
- 3. Included in the kit is a test data set; does the error on the test set for the three models correspond to what is expected from MDLs? Please compute the test errors and briefly explain it in one sentence.