CSC207H1 SUMMER 2018: PROBLEM SET 1

BY: ERIC KOEHLI

Date: May 26, 2018.

1. Problem 1

Prove that $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geqslant 1 \implies 9 \mid 4^n + 15n - 1.$

Proof. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and define $P(n) : 9 \mid 4^n + 15n - 1$. We will prove P(n) is true for all natural numbers $n \ge 1$ by induction.

Base Case:

Let n = 1. Then $4^1 + 15(1) - 1 = 18$. Since $9 \mid 18$, we have shown P(1) is true.

Inductive Step:

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume P(k) is true. With the definition of divisibility, we are assuming that $\exists c_k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $4^k + 15k - 1 = 9c_k$. We want to show that P(k+1) is also true. That is, $P(k+1) : \exists c_{k+1} \in \mathbb{Z}, 4^{k+1} + 15(k+1) - 1 = 9c_{k+1}$.

Let $c_{k+1} = \frac{1}{3}(4^k - 1) + 18 + 9c_k$. From the LHS, we have

$$4^{k+1} + 15(k+1) - 1 = 4 \cdot 4^k + 15k + 15 - 1$$

$$= 3 \cdot 4^k + 4^k + 15k + 15 - 1$$

$$= 3 \cdot 4^k - 3 + 18 + (4^k + 15k - 1)$$

$$= 3(4^k - 1) + 18 + 9c_k$$

$$= 9 \cdot \left[\frac{1}{3}(4^k - 1) + 2 + c_k\right]$$

$$= 9c_{k+1}$$

The final step is not justified until we can show that $3 \mid (4^k - 1)$ (i.e. is a multiple of 3). We will prove this by induction (albeit less formally than the current proof).

Base Case:

Let k = 0. Then $4^0 - 1 = 0$ and $3 \mid 0$. Thus P(0) is true.

Inductive Step:

Assume $P(k): 4^k - 1 = 3p$ for some $p \in \mathbb{Z}$. We will show $P(k+1): 4^{k+1} - 1 = 3q$ for $q \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $q = 4^k + p$. From the LHS, we have

(By the I.H.)
$$4^{k+1} - 1 = 3 \cdot 4^k + (4^k - 1)$$
$$= 3 \cdot 4^k + 3p$$
$$= 3(4^k + p)$$
$$= 3q$$

This shows that $4^k - 1$ is a multiple of three, which now justifies our final step in the original proof. Thus P(k+1) follows from P(k) and this completes the induction step. Having shown steps 1 and 2, we can conclude by the Principle of Mathematical Induction that P(n) is true for all natural numbers $n \ge 1$.

2. Problem 2

- (a) Prove that every natural number $n \ge 1$ has a binary representation.
- (b) Prove that the binary representation is unique. That is, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, n is a binary representation $\implies n$ is unique.

Proof. Proof for (a). Since binary numbers are written as powers of 2, we will show that any number n (base 10) can be written as a sum of powers of 2. We will use strong induction to show that this is true. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (base 10) and define P(n): n has a binary representation as the equation:

$$n = \sum_{i=0}^{r} b_i 2^i$$

where $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, and $b_i = 0, 1$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, r$.

Base Case:

We can actually show 0 and 1 are true (even though we are only asked for $n \ge 1$). Let $n = 0, r = 0, b_0 = 0$. Then

$$0 = \sum_{i=0}^{0} b_i 2^i = b_0 2^0 = 0 \cdot 1 = 0$$

To show P(1), let $n = 1, r = 0, b_0 = 1$. Then

$$1 = \sum_{i=0}^{0} b_i 2^i = b_0 2^0 = 1 \cdot 1 = 1$$

Thus P(0) and P(1) are true.

Inductive Step:

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ (base 10) and assume $P(0), P(1), P(2), \ldots, P(k)$ are all true. That is,

$$P(j): j = \sum_{i=0}^{r} b_i 2^i$$

for $0 \le j \le k$. We now want to show that P(k+1) is true. We'll split the proof into two cases depending on whether k+1 is even or odd.

Case 1: k + 1 is even

In this case, $\frac{k+1}{2}$ is an integer and $0 \leq \frac{k+1}{2} \leq k$. Then we can use the induction hypothesis:

$$\frac{k+1}{2} = \sum_{i=0}^{r} b_i 2^i$$

(multiply both sides by 2)

$$k+1 = \sum_{i=0}^{r} b_i 2^{i+1}$$

Case 2: k + 1 is odd

In this case $\frac{k}{2}$ is an integer and $0 \leq \frac{k}{2} \leq k$, so the induction hypothesis applies and we get

$$\frac{k}{2} = \sum_{i=0}^{r} b_i 2^i$$

$$k = \sum_{i=0}^{r} b_i 2^{i+1}$$

$$k+1 = \sum_{i=0}^{r} b_i 2^{i+1} + 2^0$$

(since $2^0 = 1$). Thus P(k + 1) follows from P(k) and this completes the induction step. Having showing steps 1 and 2, we can conclude by the Principle of Strong Induction that P(n) is true for all natural numbers n (i.e. for every natural number, there exists has a binary representation).

Proof. Proof of (b), the uniqueness of binary numbers. We will prove that the binary representation of n is unique by contradiction.

Suppose that n is not unique. Then there must exist some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ (base 10) such that $m \neq n$, but m and n have the same binary representation:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{r} b_i 2^i = \sum_{i=0}^{s} c_i 2^i$$

for some positive arbitrary integers r and s and "bits" $b_i, c_i \in \{0, 1\}$. We may now assume that r > s (or r < s). Then we can show that

(by geometric series)
$$2^{r} > 2^{s+1} - 1$$
(geometric series expanded)
$$= 1 + 2 + \dots + 2^{s-1} + 2^{s}$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{s} 2^{i}$$

$$\geqslant \sum_{i=0}^{s} c_{i} 2^{i}$$

The last step follows since some c_i can equal 0. Therefore, this shows that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{r} b_i 2^i > \sum_{i=0}^{s} c_i 2^i$$

which contradicts our assumption that n is not unique. Then it must follow that the binary representation of n is indeed unique.

Prove
$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n > 1 \implies 2^{n/2} \leqslant g(n) \leqslant 2^n$$
.

Proof. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and define $P(n): 2^{n/2} \leq g(n) \leq 2^n$. We will prove that P(n) is true for all natural numbers n > 1 by complete induction.

Base Case:

Let n=2. Then

$$2^{2/2} = 2^1 = 2 \le g(2)$$

= $g(2-2) + g(2-1) = g(0) + g(1) = 4$
 $\le 2^2 = 4$

Thus P(2) is true.

Inductive Step:

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume $P(2), P(3), \dots, P(k)$ is true. For reference, we are assuming that the following holds:

(1)
$$2^{k/2} \leqslant g(k) \leqslant 2^k$$

(2)
$$2^{(k-1)/2} \leqslant g(k-1) \leqslant 2^{k-1}$$

We want to show $P(k+1): 2^{(k+1)/2} \leq g(k+1) \leq 2^{k+1}$. That is, we want to show the following two conditions hold:

$$i) \ 2^{(k+1)/2} \leqslant g(k+1)$$

$$ii) \ q(k+1) \leqslant 2^{k+1}$$

We begin by showing (i) as follows

$$g(k+1) = g(k) + g(k-1)$$

$$\geqslant 2^{k/2} + 2^{(k-1)/2}$$

$$= 2^{k/2} + 2^{k/2} \cdot 2^{-1/2}$$

$$= 2^{k/2} \cdot (1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})$$
(by calculator)
$$\geqslant 2^{k/2} \cdot 2^{1/2}$$

$$= 2^{(k+1)/2}$$

This shows that the first condition holds.

For (ii) we have

$$g(k+1) = g(k) + g(k-1)$$
 (by (1) and (2))
$$\leqslant 2^k + 2^{k-1}$$

$$\leqslant 2^k + 2^k$$

$$= 2^{k+1}$$

By showing (i) and (ii) holds, we can conclude that P(k+1) follows from $P(2), P(3), \ldots, P(k)$, and this completes the induction step. Having shown steps 1 and 2 we can conclude by complete induction that P(n) is true for all natural numbers n > 1.

4. Problem 4

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let T(n) denote the time complexity of L(n) for inputs n. The base case is when n < 7 and the function L(n) runs in constant time. Thus T(n) = c in this case.

If $n \ge 7$, then L(n) makes a recursive call. To analyze the runtime we need to consider the recursive and non-recursive parts separately.

For the non-recursive part, only a constant number of steps occur (the if check, the addition, and the return), so we can say that the non-recursive part takes d steps.

The recursive call is L(n/7), which has a worst-case runtime of T(n/7). Thus when $n \ge 7$, we get the recurrence relation T(n) = T(n/7) + d. Therefore the full recursive definition of T is:

$$T_1(n) = \begin{cases} c & \text{if } n < 7\\ T(n/7) + d & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Now we want to find the closed form of T. We first make the substitution $m = \log_7(n)$. Then $n = 7^m$.

(m = 0)
$$T(7^{0}) = T(1) = c + 0d$$
(m = 1)
$$T(7^{1}) = T(7) = T(1) + d = c + 1d$$
(m = 2)
$$T(7^{2}) = T(49) = T(7) + d = c + 2d$$

$$\vdots$$

$$T(7^{m}) = \cdots = c + md$$

Then when we convert back to n, we get the closed form shown below

$$T_2(n) = c + d \cdot \log_7(n)$$

Proof of Correctness: Prove $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 7 \implies T_2(n) = c + d \cdot \log_7(n)$.

Proof. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and define $P(n) : T_1(n)$ is equivalent to the closed form $T_2(n)$. We want to prove that $T_2(n)$ is the closed form of $T_1(n)$.

Base Case:

Let n = 7. Then $T_1(7) = T_1(7/7) = T_1(1) = c + d$. Also, $T_2(7) = c + d \cdot \log_7(7) = c + d$. Thus P(7) is true.

Inductive Step:

Let $m, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume P(m) is true for $7 \leq m \leq k$. That is, $P(m) : T_1(m)$ is equivalent to $T_2(m) = c + d \cdot \log_7(m)$. We want to show $P(k+1) : T_1(k+1)$ is equivalent to $T_2(k+1) = c + d \cdot \log_7(k+1)$. From our time complexity function, we have

$$T_1(k+1) = \begin{cases} c & \text{if } k+1 < 7\\ T(\frac{k+1}{7}) + d & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

For reference we note that (a): $7 \leqslant \frac{k+1}{7} \leqslant k$. Then we have

$$T_{1}(k+1) = T_{1}(\frac{k+1}{7}) + d$$
(by I.H. and (a))
$$= c + d \cdot \log_{7}(\frac{k+1}{7}) + d$$

$$= c + d \cdot \left[\log_{7}(k+1) - \log_{7}(7)\right] + d$$

$$= c + d \cdot \left[\log_{7}(k+1) - 1\right] + d$$

$$= c + d \cdot \log_{7}(k+1) - d + d$$

$$= c + d \cdot \log_{7}(k+1)$$

$$= T_{2}(k+1)$$

Thus P(k+1) follows from P(m) by complete induction. Since the basis and induction steps have been shown, we can conclude that P(n) is true for all natural numbers $n \ge 7$. Thus we have shown that the closed form T_2 is equivalent to the time complexity function T_1 . This completes the proof of correctness.

Define the set F recursively as follows:

- (a) $7 \in F$
- (b) if $u, v \in F$, then $u + v \in F$
- (c) Nothing else is in F

Prove by structural induction that $\forall w \in F$, $w \mod 7 = 0$ (i.e. $7 \mid w$).

Proof. We will prove by structural induction that $\forall w \in F, 7 \mid w$. Given any element in F, let the property P be the claim that 7 divides the element. That is, define $P(w): 7 \mid w$.

Base Case:

We want to show that each element in the base of F satisfies P. The only element in the base of F is 7, and we know that $7 \mid 7$. Thus, P(7) is true.

Inductive Step:

We now want to show that for each rule in the recursion for F, if the rule is applied to an element in F that satisfies the property P, then the element defined by the rule also satisfies the property P.

The recursive rule for F consists of one step denoted by (b) above.

Suppose $u, v \in F$ are any two elements such that $7 \mid u$ and $7 \mid v$. (This is our induction hypothesis). That is, expanding the definition of divisibility, we have $u = 7k_1$ and $v = 7k_2$ for $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$. When rule (b) is applied to u and v, the result is u + v, which we know is also in F (by the recursive definition of F). So we must now show that u + v is divisible by 7. That is, $u + v = 7k_3$ for $k_3 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $k_3 = k_1 + k_2$. Then by substitution, we have

$$u + v = 7k_1 + 7k_2$$
$$= 7(k_1 + k_2)$$
$$= 7k_3$$

This shows that $7 \mid u + v$. Thus when the recursive rule is applied to any element divisible by 7 in F, the result is another element (say w = u + v), that is also divisible by 7. Therefore all elements in F are divisible by 7 (i.e. P(w) is true for all $w \in F$).