

Type theory and logic

Lecture II: dependent type theory

2 July 2014

柯向上

Department of Computer Science
University of Oxford

Hsiang-Shang.Ko@cs.ox.ac.uk

Indexed families of sets (predicates)

Common mathematical statements involve predicates and universal/existential quantification.

For example: “For all natural number $x : \mathbb{N}$, if x is not zero, then there exists $y : \mathbb{N}$ such that x is equal to $1 + y$.”

In type theory, a predicate on A has type $A \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ — a *family of sets* indexed by the domain A . For example:

$$\vdash \lambda x. \text{“if } x \text{ is zero then } 0 \text{ else } 1\text{”} : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$$

(Note that the above treatment is in fact unfounded in our current theory. Why? (We will fix it on Thursday.))

Dependent product types (universal quantification)

- Formation:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A : \mathcal{U} \quad \Gamma \vdash B : A \rightarrow \mathcal{U}}{\Gamma \vdash \Pi A B : \mathcal{U}} \text{ (}\Pi\text{F)}$$

- Introduction:

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : A \vdash t : B x}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x. t : \Pi A B} \text{ (}\Pi\text{I)}$$

- Elimination:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash f : \Pi A B \quad \Gamma \vdash a : A}{\Gamma \vdash f a : B a} \text{ (}\Pi\text{E)}$$

Notation. We usually write $\Pi[x : A] B x$ for $\Pi A B$, regarding ' $\Pi[x : A]$ ' as a quantifier.

Exercise. Let $\Gamma := A : \mathcal{U}, B : \mathcal{U}, C : A \rightarrow B \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$. Derive

$$\Gamma \vdash _ : (\Pi[x : A] \Pi[y : B] C x y) \rightarrow \Pi[y : B] \Pi[x : A] C x y$$

Dependent sum types (existential quantification)

- Formation:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A : \mathcal{U} \quad \Gamma \vdash B : A \rightarrow \mathcal{U}}{\Gamma \vdash \Sigma A B : \mathcal{U}} \quad (\Sigma F)$$

- Introduction:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash a : A \quad \Gamma \vdash b : B a}{\Gamma \vdash (a, b) : \Sigma A B} \quad (\Sigma I)$$

- Elimination:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash p : \Sigma A B}{\Gamma \vdash \text{fst } p : A} \quad (\Sigma EL) \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash p : \Sigma A B}{\Gamma \vdash \text{snd } p : B (\text{fst } p)} \quad (\Sigma ER)$$

Notation. We usually write $\Sigma[x : A] B x$ for $\Sigma A B$.

Exercise. Let $\Gamma := A : \mathcal{U}, B : \mathcal{U}, C : A \rightarrow B \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$. Derive the *axiom of choice*:

$$\Gamma \vdash _ : (\Pi[x : A] \Sigma[y : B] C x y) \rightarrow \Sigma[f : A \rightarrow B] \Pi[x : A] C x (f x)$$

Computation

Let $\Gamma := A : \mathcal{U}, B : A \rightarrow \mathcal{U}, C : A \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$. Try to derive

$$\Gamma \vdash _ : (\Pi[p : \Sigma A B] C (\text{fst } p)) \rightarrow (\Pi[x : A] B x \rightarrow C x)$$

... and you should notice some problems.

So far we have been concentrating on the *statics* of type theory; here we need to formally invoke the *dynamics* of the theory.

Equality judgements and computation rules

We introduce a new kind of judgements stating that two terms should be regarded as the same during typechecking:

$$\Gamma \vdash t = u \in A$$

for which we also have a well-formedness requirement that A and everything appearing on the right of the colons in Γ are judged to be sets, and t and u are judged to be elements of A .

For each set, (when applicable) we specify additional *computational rules* stating that eliminating an introductory term yields a component of the latter. For example, for product types we have two computation rules:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash a : A \quad \Gamma \vdash b : B}{\Gamma \vdash \text{fst}(a, b) = a \in A} (\times\text{CL}) \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash a : A \quad \Gamma \vdash b : B}{\Gamma \vdash \text{snd}(a, b) = b \in B} (\times\text{CR})$$

More computation rules

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : A \vdash t : B \quad \Gamma \vdash a : A}{\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x. t) a = t[a/x] \in A \rightarrow B} (\rightarrow C)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash a : A \quad \Gamma \vdash f : A \rightarrow C \quad \Gamma \vdash g : B \rightarrow C}{\Gamma \vdash \text{case}(\text{left } a) fg = fa \in C} (+CL)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash b : B \quad \Gamma \vdash f : A \rightarrow C \quad \Gamma \vdash g : B \rightarrow C}{\Gamma \vdash \text{case}(\text{right } b) fg = gb \in C} (+CR)$$

More computation rules

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : A \vdash t : B \quad \Gamma \vdash a : A}{\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x. t) a = t[a/x] \in B a} \text{ (}\Pi\text{C)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash a : A \quad \Gamma \vdash b : B a}{\Gamma \vdash \text{fst}(a, b) = a \in A} \text{ (}\Sigma\text{CL)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash a : A \quad \Gamma \vdash b : B a}{\Gamma \vdash \text{snd}(a, b) = b \in B a} \text{ (}\Sigma\text{CR)}$$

Equivalence rules

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : A}{\Gamma \vdash t = t \in A} \text{ (refl)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t = u \in A}{\Gamma \vdash u = t \in A} \text{ (sym)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t = u \in A \quad \Gamma \vdash u = v \in A}{\Gamma \vdash t = v \in A} \text{ (trans)}$$

Congruence rules

We need a congruence rule for each constant we introduce:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash a = a' \in A \quad \Gamma \vdash b = b' \in B}{\Gamma \vdash (a, b) = (a', b') \in A \times B}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash p = p' \in A \times B}{\Gamma \vdash \text{fst } p = \text{fst } p' \in A} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash p = p' \in A \times B}{\Gamma \vdash \text{snd } p = \text{snd } p' \in B}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : A \vdash t = t' \in B}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x. t = \lambda x. t' \in A \rightarrow B}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash f = f' \in A \quad \Gamma \vdash a = a' \in A}{\Gamma \vdash f a = f' a' \in B}$$

... and similar rules for left, right, case, and absurd.

Conversion rule

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : A \quad \Gamma \vdash A = B \in \mathcal{U}}{\Gamma \vdash t : B} \text{ (conv)}$$

More congruence rules

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash a = a' \in A \quad \Gamma \vdash b = b' \in B \ a}{\Gamma \vdash (a, b) = (a', b') \in \Sigma A B}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash p = p' \in \Sigma A B}{\Gamma \vdash \text{fst } p = \text{fst } p' \in A} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash p = p' \in \Sigma A B}{\Gamma \vdash \text{snd } p = \text{snd } p' \in B (\text{fst } p)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : A \vdash t = t' \in B \ x}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x. t = \lambda x. t' \in \Pi A B}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash f = f' \in A \quad \Gamma \vdash a = a' \in A}{\Gamma \vdash f a = f' a' \in B \ a}$$

Predicates respect computation.

Natural numbers

- Formation:

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbb{N} : \mathcal{U}} \text{ (NF)}$$

- Introduction:

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{zero} : \mathbb{N}} \text{ (NIZ)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash n : \mathbb{N}}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{suc } n : \mathbb{N}} \text{ (NIS)}$$

Natural numbers — elimination rule

- Elimination:

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} \Gamma \vdash P : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{U} \\ \Gamma \vdash z : P \text{ zero} \\ \Gamma \vdash s : \Pi[x:\mathbb{N}] P x \rightarrow P (\text{suc } x) \\ \Gamma \vdash n : \mathbb{N} \end{array}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{ind } P z s n : P n} \text{ (NE)}$$

Natural numbers — computation rule

■ Computation:

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} \Gamma \vdash P : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{U} \\ \Gamma \vdash z : P \text{ zero} \\ \Gamma \vdash s : \Pi[x:\mathbb{N}] P x \rightarrow P (\text{suc } x) \end{array}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{ind } P z s \text{ zero} = z \in P \text{ zero}} \text{ (NCZ)}$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} \Gamma \vdash P : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{U} \\ \Gamma \vdash z : P \text{ zero} \\ \Gamma \vdash s : \Pi[x:\mathbb{N}] P x \rightarrow P (\text{suc } x) \\ \Gamma \vdash n : \mathbb{N} \end{array}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{ind } P z s (\text{suc } n) = s n (\text{ind } P z s n) \in P (\text{suc } n)} \text{ (NCS)}$$