

Curiosity simulation

Franziska Brändle, Charley M. Wu & Eric Schulz

March 2020

1 Problem statement

We want to explore a target function $f(x)$ on some bounded set \mathcal{X} , which we will take to be a subset of \mathbb{R}^D by using a Gaussian Process (\mathcal{GP}) as a probabilistic model for $f(x)$ and then exploiting this model via an acquisition function to decide where in \mathcal{X} to evaluate the function next. Our goal is to assess how different acquisition functions differ in their mean confidence ranks over their sampled input points.

2 Gaussian Process

Let $f(\mathbf{x})$ be a function mapping an input $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_d)^\top$ to an output y . A \mathcal{GP} defines a distribution $p(f)$ over such functions. A \mathcal{GP} is parametrized by a mean function $m(\mathbf{x})$ and a kernel function, $k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}')$:

$$m(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbb{E}[f(\mathbf{x})] \quad (1)$$

$$k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = \mathbb{E}[(f(\mathbf{x}) - m(\mathbf{x}))(f(\mathbf{x}') - m(\mathbf{x}'))] \quad (2)$$

At time t , we have collected observations $\mathbf{y}_{1:t} = [y_1, y_2, \dots, y_t]^\top$ at inputs $\mathbf{x}_{1:t} = (\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_t)$. For each outcome y_t , we assume $y_t = f(\mathbf{x}_t) + \epsilon_t$ with $\epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$. Given a \mathcal{GP} prior on the functions $f(\mathbf{x}) \sim \mathcal{GP}(m(\mathbf{x}), k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}'))$, the posterior over f is a \mathcal{GP} with

$$m_t(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{k}_{1:t}(\mathbf{x})^\top (\mathbf{K}_{1:t} + \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_t) \mathbf{y}_{1:t} \quad (3)$$

$$k_t(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') - \mathbf{k}_{1:t}(\mathbf{x})^\top (\mathbf{K}_{1:t} + \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_t)^{-1} \mathbf{k}_{1:t}(\mathbf{x}') \quad (4)$$

where $\mathbf{k}_{1:t}(\mathbf{x}) = [k(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}), \dots, k(\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{x})]^\top$, $\mathbf{K}_{1:t}$ is the positive definite kernel matrix $[k(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j)]_{i,j=1,\dots,t}$, and \mathbf{I}_t is a t by t identity matrix.

3 Simulation details

We use the Radial Basis Function (RBF) kernel as a component of the GP function learning algorithm, which specifies the correlation between inputs.

$$k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = \exp\left(-\frac{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'\|^2}{\lambda}\right) \quad (5)$$

We sample a target function $f^* \sim \mathcal{GP}(0, k(x, x'))$ over a discretized input space from -5 to 5 with equal distance between each points. We corrupt the signal of this function with a noise of $\sigma = 0.1$, such that

$$f^*(x) + \epsilon, \quad \epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma) \quad (6)$$

We then use a Gaussian Process to explore the sampled function by sampling options based on different acquisition functions. For all simulations, we set the length-scale of both the generating and the sampling RBF kernel to $\lambda = 1$.

4 Acquisition functions

We compare three different acquisition functions: novelty-based sampling, complexity approximations, and upper confidence bound sampling.

4.1 Novelty-based sampling

Novelty-based (NB) sampling picks the next point that currently has the highest predictive uncertainty

$$\text{NB}(\mathbf{x}) = \sigma(\mathbf{x}). \quad (7)$$

NB sampling treats uncertainty as positive and samples the option it is currently the most uncertain about. This mimics novelty-based approaches to curiosity.

4.2 Complexity approximation

Our implementation of complexity approximation (CA) sampling, tries to maximize the average expected reduction in predictive error

$$\text{CA}(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathcal{D}} \Delta\sigma(\mathbf{x}) \, dy, \quad (8)$$

which we approximated by summing up the current posterior standard deviation over all options and then comparing it to the same sum when new observations points were Monte Carlo-sampled for the evaluated input.

4.3 Upper confidence bound sampling

Upper confidence bound (UCB) sampling picks the next point that currently has the highest upper confidence bound

$$\text{UCB}(\mathbf{x}) = \mu(\mathbf{x}) + \beta\sigma(\mathbf{x}). \quad (9)$$

UCB sampling is an optimistic strategy that samples based on an explicit exploration-exploitation trade-off, and has been proven to produce sub-linear regret. We set the exploration parameter to $\beta = 3$ to mimic highly curious agents.

5 Simulation details

We let each acquisition function explore 10,000 target functions over 10 trials each. Thus, there are 10,000 simulation runs for each acquisition function. On each trial, a softmax choice rule transforms each model’s valuations into a probability distribution over options:

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\exp(q(\mathbf{x})/\tau)}{\sum_{j=1}^N \exp(q(\mathbf{x}_j)/\tau)}, \quad (10)$$

where $q(\mathbf{x})$ is the predicted value of each option \mathbf{x} for a given acquisition function (e.g., $q(\mathbf{x}) = \text{UCB}(\mathbf{x})$ for UCB), and τ is the temperature parameter. We set $\tau = 0.001$ to mimic minimally noisy sampling.

For every trial per simulation, we rank all options based on their current uncertainty, measured by $\sigma(x)$, and then track the average confidence rank, which is the opposite of an options uncertainty rank. After each run, we calculate the average confidence ranks over trials, leading to a collection of 10,000 such mean ranks for each acquisition function.