

# **SoccerBeacons: tracking player movements in a soccer game using iBeacons**

CS 6235 Project


Suk Hwan Hong

([shong38@gatech.edu](mailto:shong38@gatech.edu))

# Motivation

- Playing soccer casually with friends every week
- Players love to compare their performances in a game each other
- # of goals scored often not fair enough
- Is there any other metric that can represent the performance in a game more fairly?

# Movement Heatmap



Which side seems to have performed better in this game?


# Tracking movement in outdoors

- GPS not suitable for tracking movements in a soccer game because of its coarse granularity
- iBeacons are currently being used for tracking indoor movements
- Why not apply it to outdoors? More specifically, to the soccer field!
- I developed an Android application that can track movements for any rectangular-shaped field


# Application Workflow

1. Beacons will be placed in a rectangular-shaped field at certain positions.
2. After placing all beacons, users manually specify the dimensions of the field and coordinates of each beacon in my Android application.
3. Users have an option to calibrate beacons before starting to track positions
4. Users also have an option to adjust certain parameters to make tracking result more accurate.
5. When a user ready, he/she can start the tracking process. The user carries the phone (with my Android application running) and moves around the field.
6. My Android application will track current position and show a live tracking result on the screen.


# Example Setup



# User Interface




Main Screen




Enter Dimensions

# User Interface

 BeaconLocations

<b>(2.0, 2.0)</b> Name: FOJZ Major, Minor: 15525, 87 RSSI: 0	×
<b>(4.0, 2.0)</b> Name: Uh1Q Major, Minor: 43413, 37679 RSSI: 0	×
<b>(6.0, 2.0)</b> Name: DBrM Major, Minor: 26679, 47080 RSSI: 0	×
<b>(2.0, 4.0)</b> Name: l8mn Major, Minor: 57907, 18818 RSSI: 0	×
<b>(4.0, 4.0)</b> Name: 48nY Major, Minor: 42322, 7929 RSSI: 0	×

Add Beacon

 Beacons

BEACON NAME: sTOh MAJOR, MINOR: 59810, 29797 RSSI: -50
BEACON NAME: l8mn MAJOR, MINOR: 57907, 18818 RSSI: -58
BEACON NAME: W1I5 MAJOR, MINOR: 10990, 20362 RSSI: -66
BEACON NAME: 48nY MAJOR, MINOR: 42322, 7929 RSSI: -70
BEACON NAME: b6GP MAJOR, MINOR: 14361, 48047 RSSI: -70

View/Add Beacon Locations

Beacons List



# User Interface


Calibrate List	
<b>(2.0, 2.0)</b> Name: FOJZ Major, Minor: 15525, 87	<b>USING DEFAULT</b> a: -60.000 b: -12.000 C: 0
<b>(4.0, 2.0)</b> Name: Uh1Q Major, Minor: 43413, 37679	<b>MANUAL</b> a: -66.877 b: 6.603 C: 0
<b>(6.0, 2.0)</b> Name: DBrM Major, Minor: 26679, 47080	<b>MANUAL</b> a: -55.006 b: -0.376 C: 0
<b>(2.0, 4.0)</b> Name: sTOh Major, Minor: 59810, 29797	<b>USING DEFAULT</b> a: -60.000 b: -12.000 C: 0
<b>(4.0, 4.0)</b> Name: b6GP Major, Minor: 14361, 48047	<b>USING DEFAULT</b> a: -60.000 b: -12.000 C: 0
<b>(6.0, 4.0)</b> Name: l8mn Major, Minor: 57907, 18818	<b>USING DEFAULT</b> a: -60.000 b: -12.000 C: 0

Select a beacon for calibration

Calibration		USE DEFAULT	SUBMIT
Beacon Name: sTOh 59810, 29797			
		-73	
1m (39.37in)	-51.32		
2m (78.74in)	-57.86363636363637		
3m (118.11in)	-63.476190476190474		
4m (157.48in)	-69.23809523809524		
5m (196.85in)	-71.0909090909091		
6m (236.22in)	-75.73913043478261		
7m (275.591in)	-76.875		
8m (314.961in)	-74.88235294117646		
9m (354.331in)	-75.82352941176471		
10m (393.701in)	-80.23809523809524		

Manual Calibration

# User Interface

 Settings

Field display margin (px):

Scan Interval(ms):

Running Sum Count Limit:

Outlier Trim Threshold(m):

Outlier Trim Factor (0~1.0):

Third Beacon Choice


☒ Closest Beacon

☐ Strongest Signal

Save


Settings

# User Interface



Track Locations

# Estimating Distances




- Sampled and averaged beacon signals at 1m,2m,3m,4m,5m from beacons
- Fitted a logarithmic curve to find a distance function


# Algorithm

- 1. Find two beacons that are giving the strongest signals. Given two “range circles” from each beacon, there are three cases:
  - two range circles intersect with each other: take the middle point
  - one circle is contained in another
  - two circles are disjoint


# Algorithm



**Two circles intersect**



**One circle is  
contained in another**




**Two circles are disjoint**

# Algorithm

- 2. Then using from this base point, I find a third beacon to use to determine the location. There are two possible choices here:
  - Use the closest beacon from the base point
  - Use the beacon that is giving the strongest signal
- There is a high probability that the closest beacon from base point is a correct choice even if it doesn't give the strongest signal.

# Algorithm






# Configurable Parameters



1. **Field display margin:** display purpose
2. **Scan Interval:** interval between each scan
3. **Running Sum Count Limit:** affects running sum average
4. **Outlier Trim threshold:** determines outlier
5. **Outlier trim factor:** multiplied to outliers
6. **Third Beacon Choice:** Closest Beacon or Strongest Signal

# Experiments

- 10m x 10m square field in Klaus Atrium
- Two movement patterns: border and diagonal





# Third Beacon Choice





Strongest Signal (border and diagonal)

# Third Beacon Choice





Closest Beacon (border and diagonal)

# Scan Interval




150ms (Left) and 350ms (Right)

# Scan Interval





700ms (Left) and 1000ms (Right)

# Running Sum Count Limit



1 Running Sum Count (Left) and 4 Running Sum Count (Right)


# Running Sum Count Limit



Running Sum Count (Left) and 15 Running Sum Count (Right)





# 3m vs. 5m distance



3m horizontal distance between beacons

# 3m vs. 5m distance



5m horizontal distance between beacons

# Lessons Learned

- Signal strengths from iBeacons can vary widely from time to time
- Below certain signal strength, it is impossible to get an accurate estimate of distances from a beacon.
- RELATIVE distances between the beacons are far more important than ABSOLUTE distances from the beacons.
- I overestimated the capability of beacons before the project

# Applying it to a soccer field...?

- Typical soccer field's dimension is 100m x 60m
- Let's say that we apply to a much smaller soccer field, say 70m x 40m.
- We need 91 beacons to cover the whole field
- It will cost easily more than \$1000 even with the cheapest beacons
- Very impractical costwise!

# Applying it to a soccer field...?

- However, apart from the cost, this project clearly showed that the beacons can be used to track outdoor movements.
- Feasible...? **YES!**
- Practical...? **NO!**
- Hopefully, iBeacons improve in the future so that it also becomes “practical” to apply this project to a soccer field