

CSE4701 - Databases

HW3 - 2020-04-07

Problem 1

Prove or disprove that the following inference rules for functional dependencies. A proof can be made by using inference rules IR1 through IR3. A disproof should be done by showing a relational instance that refutes the rule. (20 points)

- a. If $\{A \rightarrow B, C \rightarrow D\}$, then $\{AC \rightarrow BD\}$
 1. If $A \rightarrow B$, then $AC \rightarrow BC$ (IR2 Augmentation)
 2. If $C \rightarrow D$, then $BC \rightarrow BD$ (IR2 Augmentation)
 3. If $AC \rightarrow BC$, and $BC \rightarrow BD$, then $AC \rightarrow BD$ (IR3 Transitive)
- b. If $\{AB \rightarrow C, C \rightarrow D\}$, then $\{A \rightarrow D\}$
 - Counterexample of this would be the following:
 - $A = \text{NAME}, B = \text{SSN}, C = \text{DEPT_#}, D = \text{DEPT_NAME}$
 - If $\text{SSN}, \text{NAME} \rightarrow \text{DEPT_#}$, and $\text{DEPT_#} \rightarrow \text{DEPT_NAME}$
 - NAME does not $\rightarrow \text{DEPT_NAME}$

Problem 2

Show that $AB \rightarrow D$ is in the closure of $\{AB \rightarrow C, CE \rightarrow D, A \rightarrow E\}$ (10 points)

1. If $A \rightarrow E$, then $AC \rightarrow CE$ (IR2 Augmentation)
2. If $AB \rightarrow C$, and $AC \rightarrow CE$, then $ABA \rightarrow CE$ (IR6 Pseudo Transitivity)
3. $ABA \rightarrow CE = AB \rightarrow CE$ *Union of Attribute A twice, same as once
4. If $AB \rightarrow CE$, and $CE \rightarrow D$, then $AB \rightarrow D$ (IR3 Transitivity)

Problem 3

Consider the relation schema $R = \{A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I, J\}$ and the set of functional dependencies $F = \{AB \rightarrow C, A \rightarrow DE, B \rightarrow F, F \rightarrow GH, D \rightarrow IJ\}$ that hold true for R . Determine whether each of the decompositions given below has (1) the dependency preservation property, and (2) the lossless join property, with respect to F . Also determine which normal form each relation in the decomposition is in. (20 points)

- a. $D_1 = \{R_1, R_2, R_3\}$ where $R_1 = \{A, B, C, D, E\}$, $R_2 = \{B, F, G, H\}$, and $R_3 = \{D, I, J\}$,
 - Dependency Preservation Property
 - Based on the definition, the decomposition D_1 is indeed dependency-preserving with respect to F , since each of the functional dependencies here are satisfied
 - $AB \rightarrow C$ using R_1
 - $A \rightarrow DE$ using R_1
 - $B \rightarrow F$ using R_2
 - $F \rightarrow GH$ using R_2
 - $D \rightarrow IJ$ using R_3
 - Lossless Join Property
 - Using the following table, which represents a natural join between all R_i ($R_1, R_2 \dots$ etc.), we can determine if it is lossless or lossy by finding out if a row contains all columns filled with the “ α ”. Cells that have a green background represent “ α ” that have been added in afterwards that aren’t

CSE4701 - Databases

HW3 - 2020-04-07

found in the set of functional dependencies using that specific decomposition

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
R_1	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α
R_2		α				α	α	α		
R_3				α					α	α

Given we row R_1 contains " α " in all columns, it is determined that this is a lossless decomposition

- b. $D_2 = \{R_1, R_2, R_3, R_4, R_5\}$ where $R_1 = \{A, B, C, D\}$, $R_2 = \{D, E\}$, $R_3 = \{B, F\}$, $R_4 = \{F, G, H\}$, and $R_5 = \{D, I, J\}$.

- Dependency Preservation Property
 - Based on the definition, the decomposition D_2 is not dependency-preserving with respect to F, one of the functional dependencies that is not preserved is provided:
 - $A \rightarrow DE$ cannot be determined using R_1 or R_2 or any projections
- Lossless Join Property

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
R_1	α	α	α			α	α	α		
R_2										
R_3		α				α	α	α		
R_4						α	α	α		
R_5				α					α	α

Given that none of the rows contain all the " α " variables, it is determined that this to be a lossy decomposition.

Problem 4

Consider the relation schema $R = \{A, B, C, D, E, F\}$ and the set of functional dependencies $F = \{ABC \rightarrow D, D \rightarrow E, BC \rightarrow E, D \rightarrow F\}$ hold in R. Assume all domains of the attributes in R is atomic. (20 points)

- Can you decompose R into R_1 and R_2 in which this decomposition is lossless and R_2 is 1NF at best and R_1 is BCNF?
 - $R_1 = \{D, E, F\}$
 - R_1 is in BCNF if it is in 3NF and all functional dependencies applicable to this relation have the left hand side as superkey of the relation. $D \rightarrow E$ and $D \rightarrow F$ are the only applicable FDs, $D^+ = \{D, E, F\}$, making it a candidate key, or super key. Therefore, R_1 is in BCNF
 - $R_2 = \{A, B, C, D, E\}$

CSE4701 - Databases

HW3 - 2020-04-07

- R_2 is in 1NF, and has candidate key ABC , $ABC^+ = \{A, B, C, D, E\}$. However $BC \rightarrow E$ is also a FD, meaning it has practical dependency, R_2 is not in 2NF with atomic values in domain, meaning it is in 1NF
- b. Now, can you decompose R_2 into R_3 and R_4 in which this decomposition is lossless and both R_3 and R_4 are BCNF?
 - $R_3 = \{A, B, C, D\}$
 - The candidate key in R_3 is $ABC^+ = \{A, B, C, D\}$, with applicable FD $ABC \rightarrow D$. Left hand side of all applicable FDs is a superkey on R_3 , this makes R_3 in BCNF
 - $R_4 = \{B, C, E\}$
 - Candidate key in R_4 is $BC^+ = \{B, C, E\}$, with applicable FD $BC \rightarrow E$. Left hand side of all applicable FDs is a superkey on R_4 , this makes R_4 in BCNF

$$R : R_1 = \{D, E, F\}, R_3 = \{A, B, C, D\}, R_4 = \{B, C, E\}$$