

Eric Zacharia

1a. The expected value of the Normal distribution is its mean, μ , and its variance is its stdev squared, σ^2 .

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-(x-\mu)^2/(2\sigma^2)}$$

Entropy, $H = E\left(\lg_2 \frac{1}{p(x)}\right) = -\sum_x p(x) \lg_2 p(x)$
for a
discrete r.v.

Entropy, $H(x) = -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \ln f(x) dx$
for a
continuous r.v.

Plug

$$= -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \left(-\frac{1}{2} \ln \sigma\sqrt{2\pi} - \frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2} \right) dx$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \frac{1}{2} \ln \sigma\sqrt{2\pi} + f(x) \frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2} dx$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \ln \sigma\sqrt{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) dx + \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) (x-\mu)^2 dx$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \ln \sigma\sqrt{2\pi} + \frac{1}{2} = 1.25 \ln \sigma + \frac{1}{2} = \underline{\ln \sigma + C}$$

1b. Cross entropy loss for the test sequence of words:

$$-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=k}^n \log_2 \hat{P}(w_i | c_i)$$

Perplexity of a model (w.r.t. corpus)

$$PP(W) = P(w_1 w_2 \dots w_n)^{-\frac{1}{n}} = \sqrt[n]{\frac{1}{P(w_1 w_2 \dots w_n)}}$$

by the chain rule,

$$\sqrt[n]{\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{P(w_i | w_1 w_2 \dots w_{i-1})}}$$

w.r.t. the test sequence, this becomes

$$\sqrt[n]{\prod_{i=k}^n \frac{1}{P(w_i | c_i)}} \quad ((k-1)\text{-gram context})$$

where $c_i = w_{i-k+1:i-1}$

Cross entropy loss is derived from the log perplexity.

$$\log PP(W) = \log \left(\sqrt[n]{\prod_{i=k}^n \frac{1}{P(w_i | c_i)}} \right)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=k}^n \log_2 P(w_i | c_i)$$

1c. $p(cw)$ = "The probability of the K -gram corresponding to context of size $K-1$ followed by a word." c
 w

$p(w|c)$ = "The conditional probability of seeing a word after seeing a context of size $K-1$." w
 c

The entropy of English based on a K -gram language model:

$$H_K = - \sum_{c,w} p(cw) \lg_2 p(w|c)$$

Random process in which each word-context pair (w, c) is generated independently with prob. $p(cw)$:

$$G_K = - \sum_{c,w} p(cw) \lg_2 p(cw)$$

To say "In general, $H_K < G_K$ " implies

$$\Rightarrow - \sum_{c,w} p(cw) \lg_2 p(w|c) < - \sum_{c,w} p(cw) \lg_2 p(cw)$$

\nearrow flip

\Rightarrow implies " $p(w|c) > p(cw)$ "

implies that seeing a word after its context is more probable than a K -gram that corresponds to a context followed by a word, and that makes sense.

1c.

$$H_K = - \sum_{c,w} p(cw) \lg_2 p(w|c) = - \sum_{c,w} p(cw) \lg_2 \frac{p(cw)}{p(c)} = - p(c) \lg_2 \frac{p(c)}{p(c)} = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} G_K - G_{K-1} &= - \sum_{c,w} p(cw) \lg_2 p(w_{i-K+1:i-1} w_i) - \left(- \sum_{c,w} p(cw) \lg_2 p(w_{i-K+2:i-1} w_i) \right) \\ &= - p(c) \lg_2 p(w_{i-K+1:i-1}) + p(c) \lg_2 p(w_{i-K+2:i-1}) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \underline{H_K = G_K - G_{K-1}}$$