# Assignment 3 SSY316

# Matthew Newson, Erik Norlin

### November 2023

## Exercise 1

Suppose we collect data from a group of students in a Machine Learning class with variables  $x_1$  = hours studied,  $x_2$  = grade point average, and y = a binary output indicating whether that student received grade 5 (y = 1) or not (y = 0). We learn a logistic regression model

$$p(y=1|x) = \frac{e^{\hat{\theta}_0 + \hat{\theta}_1 x_1 + \hat{\theta}_2 x_2}}{1 + e^{\hat{\theta}_0 + \hat{\theta}_1 x_1 + \hat{\theta}_2 x_2}}$$

with parameters  $\hat{\theta}_0 = -6$ ,  $\hat{\theta}_1 = 0.05$ , and  $\hat{\theta}_2 = 1$ .

i)

The probability of getting a 5 using the parameters  $\theta_0 = -6$ ,  $\theta_1 = 0.05$  is

$$p(y=1|x) = \frac{e^{\theta_0 + \theta_1 x_1 + \theta_2 x_2}}{1 + e^{\theta_0 + \theta_1 x_1 + \theta_2 x_2}} = \frac{e^{-6 + 0.05x_1 + x_2}}{1 + e^{-6 + 0.05x_1 + 1x_2}}$$

Now, with  $x_1 = 40$  and  $x_2 = 3.5$ ,

$$p(y=1|x) = \frac{e^{-6+0.05\cdot40+1\cdot3.5}}{1+e^{-6+0.05\cdot40+1\cdot3.5}} = \frac{e^{-0.5}}{1+e^{-0.5}}$$
$$= \frac{1}{1+e^{0.5}} \approx 38\%.$$

ii)

Set p(y=1|x)=0.5 and  $x_2=3.5$ . This gives

$$0.5 = \frac{e^{-6+0.05x_1+3.5}}{1+e^{-6+0.05x_1+3.5}} = \frac{1}{e^{2.5-0.05x_1}+1}$$

$$0.5(1+e^{2.5-0.05x_1}) = 1$$

$$e^{2.5-0.05x_1} = \frac{1}{0.5} - 1 = 1$$

$$2.5 - 0.05x_1 = \log(1) = 0$$

$$x_1 = \frac{2.5}{0.05} = 50 \text{ hours}$$

# Exercise 2

i)

The sigmoid is defined as set

$$\sigma(a) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-a}}$$

We want to show the following

$$\frac{d\sigma(a)}{da} = \sigma(a)(1 - \sigma(a))$$

This is how we show it

$$\frac{d}{dx}\sigma(x) = \frac{d}{dx}\left(\frac{1}{1+e^{-x}}\right) \tag{1}$$

$$= \frac{d}{dx}(1+e^{-x})^{-1} \tag{2}$$

$$= -(1 + e^{-x})^{-2} \frac{d}{dx} (e^{-x}) \tag{3}$$

$$= -\frac{e^{-x}}{(1+e^{-x})^2} \tag{4}$$

$$= \frac{1}{1+e^{-x}} \cdot \frac{1+e^{-x}-1}{1+e^{-x}} \tag{5}$$

$$= \frac{1}{1 + e^{-x}} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{1 + e^{-x}}\right) \tag{6}$$

$$= \sigma(x) \cdot (1 - \sigma(x)) \tag{7}$$

ii)

Here we derive an expression for the gradient of the log-likelhood

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta) = p(t_{\mathcal{D}}|x_{\mathcal{D}}, \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} (t_i|x_i, \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} p(\theta^T x_i)^{t_i} (1 - p(\theta^T x_i))^{1 - t_i}$$
$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\log}(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[ t_i ln(p(\theta^T x_i)) + (1 - t_i) ln(1 - p(\theta^T x_i)) \right]$$

where  $p(\theta^T x_i) = \sigma(\theta^T x_i) = \frac{1}{1 + exp(-\theta^T x_i)}$ , so we have that

$$\nabla_{\theta} \mathcal{L}_{\log}(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[ t_{i} \frac{p(\theta^{T} x_{i}) p(1 - p(\theta^{T} x_{i})) x_{i}}{p(\theta^{T} x_{i})} - (1 - t_{i}) \frac{p(\theta^{T} x_{i}) p(1 - p(\theta^{T} x_{i})) x_{i}}{1 - p(\theta^{T} x_{i})} \right]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[ t_{i} (1 - p(\theta^{T} x_{i})) x_{i} - (1 - t_{i}) p(\theta^{T} x_{i}) x_{i} \right]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[ (t_{i} - p(\theta^{T} x_{i}) x_{i}) \right]$$

$$= X^{T} (t_{D} - y)$$

iii)

We want to show that the hessian  $H = X^TSX$  is positive definite where  $S = \operatorname{diag}(\mu_1(1-\mu_1),...,\mu_n(1-\mu_n))$  and  $0 < \mu_i < 1$ . Consider a matrix A, in theory we know that if A is diagonalizable then there exists a matrix P such that it can be written as  $A = PDP^{-1}$ , where D is a diagonal matrix with all the eigenvalues of A. If P is orthogonal we can write  $P^{-1} = P^T$ , we then have  $A = PDP^T$ . Since H can be written as  $H = X^TSX \in R^{N \times N}$  where S is a diagonal matrix, then let A = H,  $P = X^T$  and D = S. Hence, the eigenvalues of H can be found in the diagonal of S. Because of the assumption that  $0 < \mu_i < 1$ ,  $\forall i \in \{0, ..., N\}$ , all eigenvalues of H are positive for all points, and hence, H is positive definite which implies that the objective function  $-\mathcal{L}_{log}$  is convex. Thus, the minimum of this function is indeed the MLE.

## Exercise 3

We want to create a generative binary classification model for classifying non-negative one dimensional data. This means, that the labels are binary  $(y \in 0, 1)$  and the samples are  $x \in [0, \infty)$ . We assume uniform class probabilities

$$p(y = 0) = p(y = 1) = 1/2$$

As our samples x are non-negative, we use exponential distributions (and not Gaussians) as class conditionals:

$$p(x|y=0) = Expo(x|\lambda_0)$$
 and  $p(x|y=1) = Expo(x|\lambda_1)$ 

where  $\lambda_0 \neq \lambda_1$ . We assume, that the parameters  $\lambda_0$  and  $\lambda_1$  are known and fixed

**i**)

The name of the posterior distribution p(y|x) is the Bernoulli distribution because of the binary outcome.

ii)

Here we want to calculate what values of x classify as class 1.  $x \in \mathcal{C}_1$  if p(y=1|x) > p(y=0|x), we have that

$$\frac{p(y=1|x)}{p(y=0|x)} > 1$$
, and  $\frac{p(y=0|x)}{p(y=1|x)} > 0$ 

We take the logarithm of the left inequality

$$\begin{split} \ln\left(\frac{p(y=1|x)}{p(y=0|x)}\right) &= \ln\left(\frac{p(x|y=1)p(y=1)}{p(x|y=0)p(y=0)}\right) \\ &= \ln\left(\frac{p(x|y=1)}{p(x|y=0)}\right) \\ &= \ln\left(\frac{\lambda_1 e^{-\lambda_1 x}}{\lambda_0 e^{-\lambda_0 x}}\right) \\ &= \ln(\lambda_1) - \lambda_1 x - \ln(\lambda_0) + \lambda_0 x \\ &= x(\lambda_0 - \lambda_1) - \ln(\lambda_0/\lambda_1) \end{split}$$

combining the left inequality and the derived expression we get that x takes the following values if  $x \in \mathcal{C}_1$ 

$$x \in \left(\frac{\ln(\lambda_0/\lambda_1)}{\lambda_0 - \lambda_1}, \infty\right) \text{ if } \lambda_0 > \lambda_1$$
  
 $x \in \left[0, \frac{\ln(\lambda_0/\lambda_1)}{\lambda_0 - \lambda_1}\right) \text{ otherwise}$ 

# Exercise 4

Here we consider a generative classification model for C classes defined by class probabilities  $p(y=c)=\pi_c$  and general class-conditional densities  $p(x|y=c,\theta_c)$ , where  $x\in\mathcal{R}^D$  is the input feature vector and  $\theta=\{\theta_c\}_{c=1}^C$  are further model parameters. Suppose we are given a training set  $D=\{(x^{(n)},y^{(n)})\}_{n=1}^N$ , where  $y^{(n)}$  is a binary target vector of length C that uses the 1-of-C (one-hot) encoding scheme, so that it has components  $y_c^{(n)}=\delta_{ck}$  if pattern n is from class y=k. We assume that the data points are i.i.d., and we want to show that the maximum-likelihood solution for the class probabilities  $\pi$  is given by  $\pi_c=N_c/N$  where  $N_c$  is the number of data points assigned to class c.

To find the MLE of  $\pi_c$  we first define the likelihood as

$$p(D|\{\pi_c, \theta_c\}_{c=1}) = \prod_{n=1}^{N} \prod_{c=1}^{C} (p(x^{(n)}|\theta_c)\pi_c)^{y_c^{(n)}}$$

hence the log-likelihood becomes

$$\mathcal{L}_{\log} = \ln p(D|\{\pi_c, \theta_c\}_{c=1}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{c=1}^{C} y_c^{(n)} \log \pi_c + \text{const}$$

We maximise the log-likelihood with respect to  $\pi_c$  to find the MLE of  $\pi_c$ . To do that we lagrangian relax the constraint  $\sum_{c=1}^{C} \pi_c = 1$ 

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{c=1}^{C} y_c^{(n)} \log \pi_c - \lambda \left( \sum_{c=1}^{C} \pi_c - 1 \right)$$

Then we take the derivative with respect to  $\pi_c$  and setting the expression to 0 which yields

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{y_c^{(n)}}{\pi_c} - \lambda = 0 \Rightarrow \pi_c = \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{n=1}^{N} y_c^{(n)} = \frac{N_c}{\lambda}$$

Since we have that

$$\sum_{c=1}^{C} \pi_c = 1$$

we insert  $N_c/\lambda$  into the constraint and solve for  $\lambda$ 

$$\sum_{c=1}^{C} \frac{N_c}{\lambda} = 1 \Rightarrow \lambda = N$$

Putting  $\lambda = N$  into the previous expression we obtain

$$\pi_c = \frac{N_c}{N}$$

as we wanted to show.

#### Exercise 5

Using the same classification model as in the previous question, now suppose that the class-conditional densities are given by Gaussian distributions with a shared covariance matrix, so that

$$p(x|y=c,\theta) = p(x|\theta_c) = N(x|\mu_c,\Sigma)$$

We want to show that the maximum likelihood estimate for the mean of the Gaussian distribution for class c is given by

$$\mu_c = \frac{1}{N_c} \sum_{\substack{n=1\\y^{(n)}=c}}^{N} x^{(n)}$$

which represents the mean of the observations assigned to class c

To find the MLE of  $\mu_c$  we first define the log-likelihood as

$$\mathcal{L}_{\log} = \ln p(D | \{\pi_c, \theta_c\}_{c=1})$$

$$= \ln \left( \prod_{n=1}^{N} \prod_{c=1}^{C} (\pi_c \mathcal{N}(x^{(n)} | \mu_c, \Sigma))^{y_c^{(n)}} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{c=1}^{C} y_c^{(n)} [\ln(\pi_c) + \mathcal{N}(x^{(n)} | \mu_c, \Sigma)]$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{c=1}^{C} y_c^{(n)} \left[ \ln(\pi_c) + \ln \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^D \det(\Sigma)}} e^{-1/2(x^{(n)} - \mu_c)\Sigma^{-1}(x^{(n)} - \mu_c)} \right) \right]$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{c=1}^{C} y_c^{(n)} \left[ \ln(\pi_c) - \frac{1}{2} (x^{(n)} - \mu_c)\Sigma^{-1}(x^{(n)} - \mu_c) + \frac{D}{2} \ln(2\pi) + \frac{1}{2} \ln(\det(\Sigma)) \right]$$

$$= \frac{-1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{c=1}^{C} y_c^{(n)} \left[ -2 \ln(\pi_c) + (x^{(n)} - \mu_c)\Sigma^{-1}(x^{(n)} - \mu_c) - D \ln(2\pi) - \ln(\det(\Sigma)) \right]$$

We find the MLE by maximising the log-likelihood function with respect to  $\mu_c$  by taking the derivative with respect to  $\mu_c$  and setting it to 0, then solving for  $\mu_c$  which yields

$$\nabla_{\mu_c} \mathcal{L}_{\log} = \frac{-1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} y_c^{(n)} (2\Sigma^{-1} (\mu_c - x^{(n)})) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} y_c^{(n)} \Sigma^{-1} (x^{(n)} - \mu_c) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{N} y_c^{(n)} \Sigma^{-1} x^{(n)} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} y_c^{(n)} \Sigma^{-1} \mu_c$$

$$\mu_c = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N} y_c^{(n)} \Sigma^{-1} \Sigma x^{(n)}}{\sum_{n=1}^{N} y_c^{(n)}} = \frac{1}{N_c} \sum_{\substack{n=1 \ y_c^{(n)} = c}}^{N} x^{(n)}$$

which we wanted to show.