

### Exercise A3.1

Let  $a : N \rightarrow M$  be a monomorphism,  $M$  essential over  $N$ , and  $b : M \rightarrow E$  be a morphism which restricts to a monomorphism on  $a(N)$ . Then  $\ker(b) \cap a(N) = 0$ , and as  $M$  is essential over  $N$ , this implies that  $\ker(b) = 0$ . Indeed, any non-trivial submodules of  $M$  intersect  $a(N)$  non-trivially.

### Exercise A3.2

(a)

Let  $Q_i, i \in I$  be a family of injective  $R$ -modules,  $M, N$  be  $R$  modules,  $a : M \rightarrow N$  an injective  $R$ -linear map, and  $b : M \rightarrow Q = \prod_{i \in I} Q_i$  a  $R$ -linear map. Then if we let  $b_i = \pi_i \circ b : M \rightarrow Q_i$ , we have a morphism  $c_i : N \rightarrow Q_i$  which agrees with  $b_i$  on  $M$  since  $Q_i$  is injective. It now follows from the universal property of  $Q$  that there is a unique morphism  $c : N \rightarrow Q$  such that  $c_i = \pi_i \circ c$ . If we collect all our results, we get that

$$\pi_i \circ c \circ a = \pi_i \circ b,$$

whence  $c \circ a = b$  since  $\pi_i$  is an epimorphism, hence  $Q$  is injective.

An alternate picture, which emphasizes the component-wise picture of the problem is given as follows. We have the following diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xhookrightarrow{a} & N \\ \downarrow b & & \\ Q, & & \end{array}$$

which we can split up for each injective component  $Q_i$  of  $Q$  as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xhookrightarrow{a} & N \\ \downarrow b_i & \nearrow \exists c_i & \\ Q_i & & \end{array}$$

and we can then let  $c : N \rightarrow Q$  be the morphism  $c : n \mapsto (c_i(n))_{i \in I}$ . This shows that we indeed need that  $Q$  is the direct product, and the same construction can't be used for a direct sum of injective modules, since  $c_i(n)$  can be non-zero for infinitely many  $i \in I$ . If however we could guarantee that  $c_i(n) \neq 0$  for all but finitely many  $i \in I$ , then this proof would work when  $Q$  is the direct product as well, which leads us into the second part of the question.

Suppose that  $R$  is Noetherian, and that  $Q = \bigoplus_{i \in I} Q_i$  where each  $Q_i$  is an injective  $R$ -module, and that we have  $R$ -modules and morphisms as in the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} I & \xhookrightarrow{a} & R \\ \downarrow b & & \\ Q, & & \end{array}$$

where  $I$  is an ideal in  $R$ . Then since  $R$  is Noetherian,  $I$  is finitely generated by say  $(f_1, \dots, f_m)$ . Let  $i_j \in I$  be the index such that  $a(f_j) \in Q_{i_j}$ . It then follows that  $a(I) \subseteq \bigoplus_{j=1}^m Q_{i_j}$ , hence we have maps  $c_i$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xhookrightarrow{a} & N \\ \downarrow b_i & \swarrow \exists c_i & \\ Q_i & & \end{array}$$

such that  $c_i = 0$  whenever  $i \neq i_j$  for some  $j \in [1..m]$ , and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} I & \xhookrightarrow{a} & R \\ \downarrow b & \swarrow c: r \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^m c_{i_j}(r) & \\ Q, & & \end{array}$$

is a commutative diagram, which shows that  $Q$  is injective by Lemma A.3.4.

For the other direction, suppose that  $R$  is a ring,  $Q_i, i \in I$  is a family of injective  $R$ -modules, and that  $Q = \bigoplus_{i \in I} Q_i$  is a non-injective  $R$ -module. Then there exist an ideal  $I \subset R$  and maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} I & \xhookrightarrow{a} & R \\ \downarrow b & & \\ Q, & & \end{array}$$

such that  $b$  doesn't extend to  $R$ . It follows that  $I$  must be infinitely generated, as otherwise we could extend  $b$  like above, hence  $R$  isn't Noetherian.

(b)

Let  $R$  be a Noetherian ring and  $Q$  be an injective  $R$ -module. Let  $Q' = \bigoplus_{i \in I} Q_i \subseteq Q$  be a maximal direct sum of indecomposable injective submodules.

Such  $Q'$  exists by Zorn's lemma, since  $0 \subset Q$  is a direct sum of indecomposable injective submodules, and if  $A_0 \subset A_1 \subset A_2 \subset \dots$  is a chain of such sums, then so is  $\bigcup A_i$ .

Our objective is to show that  $Q = Q'$ . By part (a),  $Q'$  is injective, so  $Q = Q' \oplus Q''$  since injective morphisms from injective modules split. But,  $Q''$  is injective as well since  $Q'' \cong Q/Q'$  is a quotient of an injective module. Now,  $Q''$  can't contain any indecomposable injective submodule, as this would contradict the maximality of  $Q'$ .

Now suppose towards a contradiction that we have some  $m \in Q''$ , and let  $E = E(Rm)$  be the injective envelope of  $Rm$ . We claim that  $E$  is indecomposable. To see this, suppose that  $E = E' \oplus E''$ , and that  $m \in E'$ . Then  $Rm \subseteq E'$ , so  $E'' \cap Rm = 0$  since  $E = E' \oplus E''$ , whence  $E'' = 0$  since  $E$  is an essential extension of  $Rm$ . We've found an indecomposable injective module  $E \subseteq Q''$ , a contradiction, hence  $Q'' = 0$  and we are done.

### Exercise A3.3

We showed in the previous exercise that  $E(R/P)$  is an indecomposable injective.

Now suppose that  $E$  is an indecomposable injective module, and that  $P$  is some associated prime of  $E$ . Then  $E(R/P)$  is an injective submodule of  $E$ , hence a direct summand of  $E$  as injective morphisms from injective modules split. Since  $E$  is irreducible, it follows that  $E = E(R/P)$ .

Now let  $P \neq Q$  be two prime ideals and suppose towards a contradiction that  $E = E(R/P) = E(R/Q)$ . Then let  $x_P \in \text{ann}(P)$  and  $x_Q \in \text{ann}(Q)$ . We claim that  $M_P = Rx_P, M_Q = Rx_Q$  are two submodules with trivial intersection. To see this, note that

Then  $R/P, R/Q$  are both submodules of  $E$ , and we claim that they don't intersect. To see this, let  $x \in R/P \cap R/Q$ . Then  $P \cup Q \subset \text{ann}(x)$

We showed above that when  $E$  is an injective indecomposable, then  $E = E(R/P)$  for any associated prime  $P$  of  $E$ .

If we can show that  $P$  is the only associated prime of  $E$ , then it follows that  $Q \neq P$  implies  $E(R/Q) \neq E(R/P)$ , since otherwise  $R/Q$  would be a submodule of  $E(R/P)$ .

So, let  $Q$  be an associated prime of  $E = E(R/P)$ .

We showed in the previous part that this implies that  $E = E(R/P) = E(R/Q)$ . Our goal is to show that  $Q = P$ . Let  $x \in E$  be such that  $\text{ann}(x) = Q$ . Since  $E$  is an essential extension of  $R/P$ , we must have some  $rx \in Rx \cap R/P$ . But then  $rxP = 0$  so  $rP \subseteq \text{ann}(x) = Q$ .

By symmetric arguments (since  $E = E(R/P) = E(R/Q)$ ), we can find  $r'$  such  $r'Q \subseteq P$ . Then

TODO: Finish after reading about primary decomposition of modules

### Exercise A3.4

(a)

Let  $R$  be a Noetherian ring,  $P \subset R$  a prime ideal,  $I \subset R$  an arbitrary ideal and  $\phi : I \rightarrow R/P$  some  $R$ -linear map. Then if  $p \in P, i \in I$ , we have  $\phi(pi) = p\phi(i) = 0$ , so the kernel of  $\phi$  contains  $PI$ .

Now let's apply the Artin-Rees lemma. Consider the  $P$ -filtration

$$R \subset P \subset P^2 \subset \dots,$$

and the  $R$ -submodule  $I$ . By the lemma, the filtration

$$I \subset I \cap P \subset I \cap P^2 \subset \dots$$

is  $P$ -stable. Hence there exist  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $I \cap P^{d+r} = P^r I \cap P^{d+r}$  for all  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ . In particular,  $P^r I \subset PI$  so  $I \cap P^d \subset PI \subseteq \ker(\phi)$ , hence  $\phi$  factors through  $\frac{I}{I \cap P^d} = \frac{P^d + I}{P^d}$ .

Now let  $E' = \{m \in E : p^k m = 0 \text{ for some } p \in P, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Then  $E'$

### Exercise A3.8

We need to show to things,

1. That the resulting  $z$  is independent of our choice of  $y$ .
2. That the resulting  $z$  is independent on which representative  $x$  we choose from the homology class of  $x$ . I.e that any element in  $\text{im}(\phi''_i)$  is sent to  $\text{im}(\phi'_{i-1})$ .

We begin with showing (1), I.e that the given map is a well-defined morphism  $F''_i \rightarrow HF'_{i-1}$ . Suppose that  $y' \in F_i$  is another choice of element in  $F_i$  such that  $\beta_i(y') = x$ . Then  $y - y' \in \ker(\beta_i) = \text{im}(\alpha_i)$ , so there is some  $w \in F'_i$  such that  $\alpha_i(w) = y - y'$ . We now have that

$$\begin{aligned} z - z' &= \alpha_{i-1}^{-1} \phi_{i-1}(y) - \alpha_{i-1}^{-1} \phi_{i-1}(y') \\ &= \alpha_{i-1}^{-1} \phi_{i-1}(y - y') \\ &= \alpha_{i-1}^{-1} \phi_{i-1} \alpha_i(w) \\ &= \phi'_{i-1} \alpha_{i-1}^{-1} \alpha_{i-1}(w) \\ &= \phi'_{i-1}(w) \\ &\in \text{im}(\phi')'. \end{aligned}$$

To show (2), let  $x' \in \text{im}(\phi''_i)$ . Then there is  $u \in F''_{i+1}$  such that  $\phi''_i(u) = x'$ . As  $\beta_{i+1}$  is surjective, we have some  $v \in F_{i+1}$  such that  $\beta_{i+1}(u) = v$ . Then by the commutativity of the diagram,  $\phi_i(u) \in \beta_i^{-1}(x')$  so we can chose  $y = \phi_i(u)$ . The  $\phi_{i+1}(y) = \phi_{i+1} \phi_i(u) = 0$ , and the resulting  $z$  is 0 as well since  $\alpha$  is a monomorphism.