

# Summary of TTK4125: System identification and adaptive control

Morten Fyhn Amundsen

December 9, 2015

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Todo</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Preliminaries</b>	<b>2</b>
2.1	Norms . . . . .	2
2.2	Transfer function properties . . . . .	2
2.3	(Strictly) positive real transfer functions . . . . .	3
<b>3</b>	<b>Models for dynamic systems</b>	<b>3</b>
3.1	SISO, LTI system . . . . .	3
<b>4</b>	<b>Parametric models</b>	<b>4</b>
4.1	Linear . . . . .	4
4.2	Bilinear . . . . .	4
<b>5</b>	<b>Parameter estimation</b>	<b>4</b>
5.1	SPR Lyapunov method . . . . .	4
5.2	Gradient method . . . . .	4
5.2.1	Instantaneous cost . . . . .	4
5.2.2	Integral cost . . . . .	5
5.3	With projection . . . . .	5
5.4	Least squares . . . . .	5
5.4.1	Pure least squares . . . . .	5
5.4.2	With covariance resetting . . . . .	5
5.4.3	With forgetting . . . . .	5
<b>6</b>	<b>Model reference adaptive control (MRAC)</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Adaptive pole placement control (APPC)</b>	<b>6</b>
7.1	Indirect APPC . . . . .	6

## 1 Todo

- Canonical forms
- PR and SPR

- Unbounded input (necessary shit for proofs or whatever)
- List of abbreviations (SPR - strict pos. real)
- Lemma 3.5.2 - 3.5.4
- PE (p177)

## 2 Preliminaries

### 2.1 Norms

**General  $p$ -norm**

$$\|x\|_p = \left( \int_0^\infty |x(\tau)|^p dt \right)^{1/p} \quad (1)$$

**$\mathcal{L}_\infty$ -norm**

$$\|x\|_\infty = \sup_{t \geq 0} |x(t)| \quad (2)$$

and we say  $x \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$  when  $\|x\|_\infty$  exists.

### 2.2 Transfer function properties

Consider the polynomial

$$X(s) = \alpha_n s^n + \alpha_{n-1} s^{n-1} + \dots + \alpha_0 \quad (3)$$

and the transfer function

$$G(s) = \frac{Z(s)}{R(s)}. \quad (4)$$

**Monic:**  $X(s)$  is *monic* iff  $\alpha_n = 1$ .

**Hurwitz:**  $X(s)$  is *Hurwitz* if all roots of  $X(s) = 0$  are in the left half plane.

**Minimum phase:** A system defined by the t.f.  $G(s)$  is *minimum phase* iff  $Z(s)$  is Hurwitz.

**Stability:** A system defined by the t.f.  $G(s)$  is *stable* if  $R(s)$  is Hurwitz.

**Coprime:** Two polynomials are *coprime* if they have no common factors other than a constant.

### 2.3 (Strictly) positive real transfer functions

**KYP Lemma** Given a square matrix  $A$  with eigenvalues  $\Re(\lambda) \leq 0$ , a vector  $B$  such that  $(A, B)$  controllable, a vector  $C$ , and scalar  $d \geq 0$ , then the t.f.

$$G(s) = d + C^T(sI - A)^{-1}B \quad (5)$$

is PR iff  $\exists$  a symmetric pos. def. matrix  $P$  and a vector  $q$  such that

$$A^T P + PA = -qq^T \quad (6)$$

$$PB - C = \pm\sqrt{2d} \cdot q. \quad (7)$$

**LKY Lemma** Given a stable matrix  $A$ , a vector  $B$  such that  $(A, B)$  controllable, a vector  $C$  and a scalar  $d \geq 0$ , then the t.f.

$$G(s) = d + C^T(sI - A)^{-1}B \quad (8)$$

is SPR iff for any pos. def. matrix  $L$ ,  $\exists$  a symmetric pos. def. matrix  $P$ , a scalar  $\nu > 0$  and a vector  $q$  such that

$$A^T P + PA = -qq^T - \nu L \quad (9)$$

$$PB - C = \pm q\sqrt{2d}. \quad (10)$$

**MKY Lemma** Given a stable matrix  $A$ , vectors  $B, C$ , and a scalar  $d \geq 0$ , we have: If

$$G(s) = d + C^T(sI - A)^{-1}B \quad (11)$$

is SPR, then for any  $L = L^T > 0$ ,  $\exists$  a scalar  $\nu > 0$ , a vector  $q$  and a  $P = P^T > 0$  such that

$$A^T P + PA = -qq^T - \nu L \quad (12)$$

$$PB - C = \pm q\sqrt{2d}. \quad (13)$$

## 3 Models for dynamic systems

### 3.1 SISO, LTI system

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = A\mathbf{x} + B\mathbf{u} \quad (14)$$

$$\mathbf{y} = C^T \mathbf{x} \quad (15)$$

**Controllability**

$$P_c \triangleq \begin{bmatrix} B \\ AB \\ \vdots \\ A^{n-1}B \end{bmatrix} \quad (16)$$

If  $P_c$  is nonsingular, the system is controllable, and can be transformed to the *controllability canonical form* by

$$\mathbf{x}_c = P_c^{-1} \mathbf{x} \quad (17)$$

**Properness** A transfer function  $G(s) = \frac{N(s)}{D(s)}$  is

- *proper* if  $\deg(N) \leq \deg(D)$ ,
- *biproper* if  $\deg(N) = \deg(D)$ ,
- *strictly proper* if  $\deg(N) < \deg(D)$ .

## 4 Parametric models

### 4.1 Linear

$$z = \theta^{*\top} \phi \quad (18)$$

$$y = \theta_\lambda^{*\top} \phi \quad (19)$$

### 4.2 Bilinear

$$y = k_0(\theta^{*\top} \phi + z_0) \quad (20)$$

## 5 Parameter estimation

### 5.1 SPR Lyapunov method

Based on choosing an adaptive law so that a *Lyapunov-like* function guarantees  $\tilde{\theta} \rightarrow 0$ . The parametric model  $z = W(s)\theta^{*\top}\psi$  is rewritten  $z = W(s)L(s)\theta^{*\top}\phi$ , with  $L(s)$  a proper stable t.f., and  $W(s)L(s)$  a proper SPR t.f.

$$z = W(s)L(s)\theta^{*\top}\phi \quad (21)$$

$$\hat{z} = W(s)L(s)\theta^\top\phi \quad (22)$$

$$\epsilon = z - \hat{z} - W(s)L(s)\epsilon n_s^2 \quad (23)$$

$$\dot{\theta} = \Gamma\epsilon\phi \quad (24)$$

### 5.2 Gradient method

$$z = \theta^{*\top}\phi \quad (25)$$

$$\hat{z} = \theta^\top\phi \quad (26)$$

$$\epsilon = \frac{z - \hat{z}}{m^2} \quad (27)$$

#### 5.2.1 Instantaneous cost

$$\dot{\theta} = \Gamma\epsilon\phi \quad (28)$$

### 5.2.2 Integral cost

$$\dot{\theta} = -\Gamma(R\theta + Q) \quad (29)$$

$$\dot{R} = -\beta R + \frac{\phi\phi^T}{m^2} \quad (30)$$

$$\dot{Q} = -\beta Q - \frac{z\phi}{m^2} \quad (31)$$

### 5.3 With projection

$$\dot{\theta} = \begin{cases} \Gamma\epsilon\phi & \text{if } \theta \in \mathcal{S}^0 \\ \Gamma\epsilon\phi - \Gamma \frac{\nabla g \nabla g^T}{\nabla g^T \Gamma \nabla g} \Gamma\epsilon\phi & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (32)$$

### 5.4 Least squares

$$z = \theta^{*T} \phi \quad (33)$$

$$\hat{z} = \theta^T \phi \quad (34)$$

$$\epsilon = \frac{z - \hat{z}}{m^2} \quad (35)$$

#### 5.4.1 Pure least squares

$$\dot{\theta} = P\epsilon\phi \quad (36)$$

$$\dot{P} = -P \frac{\phi\phi^T}{m^2} P \quad (37)$$

#### 5.4.2 With covariance resetting

$$\dot{\theta} = P\epsilon\phi \quad (38)$$

$$\dot{P} = -P \frac{\phi\phi^T}{m^2} P, \quad P(t_r^+) = P_0 = \rho_0 I \quad (39)$$

#### 5.4.3 With forgetting

$$\dot{\theta} = P\epsilon\phi \quad (40)$$

$$\dot{P} = \begin{cases} \beta P - P \frac{\phi\phi^T}{m^2} P & \text{if } \|P(t)\| \leq R_0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (41)$$

## 6 Model reference adaptive control (MRAC)

MRAC requires a plant and a reference model. A controller is made so that the controller and plant together behave similar to the reference model. An adaptive algorithm estimates the controller parameters  $\theta$ . There are two main categories:

- *Direct*, where  $\theta$  is equal to the controller gains.

- *Indirect*, where the controller gains are a function of  $\theta$ .

Huge drawback: Requires plant of minimum phase.

## **7 Adaptive pole placement control (APPC)**

### **7.1 Indirect APPC**

Objective: Choose  $u_p$  so that the closed-loop poles are the roots of  $A^*(s) = 0$ .