

# Homework 1 – Maximum Likelihood Estimator

STA 211 – The Mathematics of Regression – Spring 2022

Erik Novak

2022 January 25

## Setup

```
library(tidyverse)
```

```
Warning in system("timedatectl", intern = TRUE): running command 'timedatectl'
had status 1
```

## Instructions

This assignment covers methods for maximum likelihood estimation for general statistical models. Upload a scanned or word-processed version of the assignment to the Assignments folder on Sakai. For problems where you are asked to derive or show a result, include all intermediate steps in your answer for full credit.

## Problems

1. Watch the Panopto video on “Properties of Estimator” posted in the Panopto folder on the Sakai site. This video covers Section 7 of the STA 211 Supplement, which you are welcome to read in addition. For those learning maximum likelihood estimation for the first time, or needing a review, read Section 8 of the STA 211 Supplement. For this problem, write a sentence indicating whether or not you viewed the video and what sections of the STA 211 Supplement you read, if any. Watching the video earns 2 points on this assignment.

**I watched the Panopto video, and have read all of the supplement sections, the most relevant of which for this homework were certainly sections 7 and 8.**

2. A commonly used probability distribution for monetary random variables is the Pareto distribution. Assume all values of the random variable are greater than or equal to some baseline value  $k$ , which is fixed and known. The Pareto probability density function is

$$f(y) = \begin{cases} \theta k^\theta \left(\frac{1}{y}\right)^{\theta+1} & y \geq k, \theta > 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Suppose you have a random sample of  $n$  independent measurements. Find an expression for the MLE for  $\theta$ .

Given the assumption that the  $n$  measurements are i.i.d, then let  $f(y_i)$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , be the probability density function for one of the  $n$  measurements.

From that, the Likelihood function  $L(\theta)$  is defined as the product of the probability density functions for each measurement. That is,

$$\begin{aligned} L(\theta) &= \prod_{i=1}^n f(y_i) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n \theta k^\theta \left(\frac{1}{y_i}\right)^{\theta+1} \\ &= (\theta k^\theta)^n \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{y_i^{\theta+1}} \\ &= \theta^n k^{n\theta} \prod_{i=1}^n y_i^{-(\theta+1)} \end{aligned}$$

Now, having the expression for the likelihood function we need to find the values for its variables that maximize it. That is, the maximum likelihood estimator for  $\theta$  will be the value of  $\theta$  that maximizes  $L(\theta)$

To solve this optimization problem, we need only differentiate  $L(\theta)$  with respect to  $\theta$ , set it equal to 0, and solve for  $\theta$ .

However, to differentiate this expression, we notice it is the product of  $n + 2$  different simpler functions; thus, to find the derivative, we are best served by first taking the natural logarithm of the function, and thus finding the log-likelihood function:

$$\begin{aligned}
l(\theta) &= \ln(L(\theta)) = \ln \left( \theta^n k^{n\theta} \prod_{i=1}^n y_i^{-(\theta+1)} \right) \\
&= \ln(\theta^n) + \ln(k^{n\theta}) + \ln \left( \prod_{i=1}^n y_i^{-(\theta+1)} \right) \\
&= n \ln(\theta) + n \ln(k)\theta + \sum_{i=1}^n \ln(y_i^{-(\theta+1)}) \\
&= n \ln(\theta) + n \ln(k)\theta - (\theta + 1) \sum_{i=1}^n \ln(y_i) \\
&= n \ln(\theta) + n \ln(k)\theta - \theta \sum_{i=1}^n \ln(y_i) - \sum_{i=1}^n \ln(y_i)
\end{aligned}$$

Note that that our values for  $y_i$  remain the same, as the logarithm was taken over the function as a whole; so, the window for which the distributions is defined as nonzero will stay the same.

Now, the value that maximizes the natural logarithm of a function is the same as the value that maximizes the original function, so we need only consider the log-likelihood function  $l(\theta)$  and differentiate it with respect to  $\theta$ , and finally find the value of  $\theta$  for which the derivative gives 0. That value will be the same for  $L(\theta)$  too.

So, we differentiate:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d\theta} g(y) &= \frac{d}{d\theta} \left( \ln(\theta) + \theta \ln(k) + (\theta + 1) \ln \left( \frac{1}{y} \right) \right) \\
&= \frac{d}{d\theta} (\ln(\theta)) + \frac{d}{d\theta} (\theta \ln(k)) + \frac{d}{d\theta} \left( \theta \ln \left( \frac{1}{y} \right) \right) + \frac{d}{d\theta} \left( \ln \left( \frac{1}{y} \right) \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{\theta} + \ln(k) + \ln \left( \frac{1}{y} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we set the derivative of  $g(y)$  equal to 0, and find the values of  $\theta$  and  $y$  that ensure that result; those will be our MLE values.

3. Suppose that in a company all individuals earn at least  $k = \$20,000$  per year. Suppose you have  $n = 5$  individuals' salaries,  $y_1 = \$110,501$ ,  $y_2 = \$45,662$ ,  $y_3 = \$89,680$ ,  $y_4 = \$1,658,909$ , and  $y_5 = \$20,218$ . (Professor Reiter drew these randomly from a Pareto distribution using R.) Find the MLE of  $\theta$ .
4. Using the data from Problem 3 and the model from Problem 2, use R to plot the likelihood function over the range  $\theta < \theta < 1$  using step sizes of 0.01. Turn in the plot and code. Label the maximum likelihood estimate on the plot, and confirm that it approximately matches your answer in Problem 3.