

Problem Set 2

Due on February 25, 2016

The goal of this problem set is to talk about the McCall search model and Diamond paradox related to it.

1 McCall Search Model

Consider the McCall search model with a mass 1 of risk neutral individuals with discount factor β and an exogenously given stationary distribution of wages $F(w)$. A worker can be either employed or unemployed. An unemployed worker receives unemployment benefits b while an employed worker receives her wage. An unemployed worker receives job offers every period. The job offer is described by its wage, w , which is randomly drawn from $F(w)$. An unemployed worker has to decide whether to accept the job. If she does, she will receive the wage w forever. If she does not, she stays unemployed this period and receives a new offer next period.

Question 1.1 Let $v(w)$ be the value of being unemployed with a job offer with w . Find a recursive formulation for $v(w)$. ■

Question 1.2 Argue that the solution is given by a reservation wage R , so that a worker accepts an offer with $w \geq R$ and rejects otherwise. ■

Question 1.3 Argue that since all $w < R$ are turned down, $v(w) = R/(1 - \beta)$ for all $w < R$. Furthermore, it holds that $v(w) = w/(1 - \beta)$ for all $w \geq R$. ■

Question 1.4 Find an equation which implicitly determines R . Use that at R , the worker is indifferent between taking the offer or not. You should get

$$R - b = \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} \int_R^\infty (\omega - R) dF(\omega).$$

■

Question 1.5 Intuitively interpret the formula you obtained.

■

Question 1.6 Let's use this model to derive a simple theory of unemployment. To do so, assume that the setup is the same except for the fact that jobs are destroyed at an exogenous probability s . This effectively means that the discount factor is $\beta(1 - s)$ instead of β . The rest is the same. Let U_t be the number of unemployed at time t . Write down the law of motion for unemployment.

■

Question 1.7 Find the steady state unemployment and compare this formula to the one from DMP model.

■

2 The Diamond Paradox

The basis of the Diamond paradox is that it is difficult to rationalize the distribution function $F(w)$ as resulting from profit maximizing choices of firms.

Consider an economy with a mass 1 of identical workers and a measure $N \gg 1$ of heterogeneous firms with a linear production technology. Firms differ in their productivity x (which is output per worker), and we assume that the distribution of x is given by $G(x)$ with support $X \subset R^+$. Suppose that each firm can hire at most 1 worker and can post only 1 vacancy. The vacancy posting cost is γ . For simplicity also assume that $b = 0$.

If a worker accepts its job, it is permanent and is employed forever. A firm commits to the wage it offered at the beginning of the game. To keep the environment stationary, assume that every time a worker accepts a job, a new worker is born.

Let $F(w)$ be the resulting distribution of wages. The question we are going to examine is whether $F(\cdot)$ is going to be non-degenerate.

Let's introduce some more notation. The decision of a firm whether to post a vacancy or not is given by

$$p : X \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$$

denoting whether a firm is posting a vacancy or not ($p = 1$ means a vacancy is posted), and

$$h : X \rightarrow R^+$$

specifies the wage offers.

Question 2.1 Argue that it is reasonable to assume that $h(\cdot)$ is non-decreasing. We will

assume that it is the case in what follows. ■

Question 2.2 Find a formula for the wage distribution $F(w)$ in terms of h and p . Denote the inverse of h as h^{-1} . ■

Question 2.3 Let the strategy of a worker be represented by a function $a : R^+ \rightarrow [0, 1]$, denoting the probability that the worker accepts a wage in the support of the wage distribution. We consider a subgame perfect Nash equilibrium, where the strategies of a firm (p, h) is the best response to a and vice-versa in all subgames. Argue that the strategy of a worker will be given by a reservation wage R and that R is the same for all workers. The characterization of R is the same as in McCall. ■

Question 2.4 Now consider a firm's problem. Take a firm with productivity x offering a wage $w' > R$. Write down the net present value of profits for this firm. Remember that the matching is random, the measure of workers is 1 and the measure of active firms is $n \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x) dG(x)$. Denote the profit $\pi(p = 1, w' > R, x)$. ■

Question 2.5 Consider now a deviation of this firm. Suppose the firm offers a wage $w' - \varepsilon$. What is the firm's profit in this case? Is it a profitable deviation? ■

Question 2.6 Argue that there should be no wage strictly above R and hence $w \leq R$. ■

Question 2.7 Next, consider a firm offering a wage $\tilde{w} < R$. What is the profit of the firm in this case? ■

Hence we established the following theorem: In an environment with homogenous workers and undirected search, all equilibrium distributions will have a mass point at the reservation wage.

In what follows we will argue that in any subgame perfect equilibrium, $R = 0$.

Suppose that almost all firms offer wage R – “almost all” is going to be important in the argument. We will establish that it is profitable for a worker to decrease his acceptance

threshold. In particular, consider another acceptance strategy $\tilde{a}(w)$ given by

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{a}(w) &= 1 \text{ if } w \geq R - \varepsilon \\ \tilde{a}(w) &= 0 \text{ if } w < R - \varepsilon\end{aligned}$$

Question 2.8 Suppose a worker faces the wage $R - \varepsilon$. Write down worker's utility from following the strategy a and strategy \tilde{a} . ■

Question 2.9 Argue that if all workers follow \tilde{a} , then starting from a situation where all firms offer R , it is profitable for a firm to deviate and offer a wage $R - \varepsilon$. ■

Any firm can do this, and hence no $R > 0$ can be an equilibrium. Hence, regardless of $G(x)$ and β , not only there is no non-degenerate distribution, but also all firms offer the lowest possible wage, the “monopsony wage”, and the whole search model collapses to a simple labor market monopsony model.