

Problem Set 2  
Due on February 25, 2016

The goal of this problem set is to talk about the McCall search model and Diamond paradox related to it.

## 1 McCall Search Model

Consider the McCall search model with a mass 1 of risk neutral individuals with discount factor  $\beta$  and an exogenously given stationary distribution of wages  $F(w)$ . A worker can be either employed or unemployed. An unemployed worker receives unemployment benefits  $b$  while an employed worker receives her wage. An unemployed worker receives job offers every period. The job offer is described by its wage,  $w$ , which is randomly drawn from  $F(w)$ . An unemployed worker has to decide whether to accept the job. If she does, she will receive the wage  $w$  forever. If she does not, she stays unemployed this period and receives a new offer next period.

**Question 1.1** Let  $v(w)$  be the value of being unemployed with a job offer with  $w$ . Find a recursive formulation for  $v(w)$ . ■

**Question 1.2** Argue that the solution is given by a reservation wage  $R$ , so that a worker accepts an offer with  $w \geq R$  and rejects otherwise. ■

**Question 1.3** Argue that since all  $w < R$  are turned down,  $v(w) = R/(1 - \beta)$  for all  $w < R$ . Furthermore, it holds that  $v(w) = w/(1 - \beta)$  for all  $w \geq R$ . ■

**Question 1.4** Find an equation which implicitly determines  $R$ . Use that at  $R$ , the worker is indifferent between taking the offer or not. You should get

$$R - b = \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} \int_R^\infty (\omega - R) dF(\omega).$$

**Question 1.5** Intuitively interpret the formula you obtained.

**Question 1.6** Let's use this model to derive a simple theory of unemployment. To do so, assume that the setup is the same except for the fact that jobs are destroyed at an exogenous probability  $s$ . This effectively means that the discount factor is  $\beta(1-s)$  instead of  $\beta$ . The rest is the same. Let  $U_t$  be the number of unemployed at time  $t$ . Write down the law of motion for unemployment.

**Question 1.7** Find the steady state unemployment and compare this formula to the one from DMP model.

## 2 The Diamond Paradox

The basis of the Diamond paradox is that it is difficult to rationalize the distribution function  $F(w)$  as resulting from profit maximizing choices of firms.

Consider an economy with a mass 1 of identical workers and a measure  $N \gg 1$  of heterogeneous firms with a linear production technology. Firms differ in their productivity  $x$  (which is output per worker), and we assume that the distribution of  $x$  is given by  $G(x)$  with support  $X \subset R^+$ . Suppose that each firm can hire at most 1 worker and can post only 1 vacancy. The vacancy posting cost is  $\gamma$ . For simplicity also assume that  $b = 0$ .

If a worker accepts its job, it is permanent and is employed forever. A firm commits to the wage it offered at the beginning of the game. To keep the environment stationary, assume that every time a worker accepts a job, a new worker is born.

Let  $F(w)$  be the resulting distribution of wages. The question we are going to examine is whether  $F(\cdot)$  is going to be non-degenerate.

Let's introduce some more notation. The decision of a firm whether to post a vacancy or not is given by

$$p : X \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$$

denoting whether a firm is posting a vacancy or not ( $p = 1$  means a vacancy is posted), and

$$h : X \rightarrow R^+$$

specifies the wage offers.

**Question 2.1** Argue that it is reasonable to assume that  $h(\cdot)$  is non-decreasing. We will

assume that it is the case in what follows.

■

**Question 2.2** Find a formula for the wage distribution  $F(w)$  in terms of  $h$  and  $p$ . Denote the inverse of  $h$  as  $h^{-1}$ .

■

**Question 2.3** Let the strategy of a worker be represented by a function  $a : R^+ \rightarrow [0, 1]$ , denoting the probability that the worker accepts a wage in the support of the wage distribution. We consider a subgame perfect Nash equilibrium, where the strategies of a firm  $(p, h)$  is the best response to  $a$  and vice-versa in all subgames. Argue that the strategy of a worker will be given by a reservation wage  $R$  and that  $R$  is the same for all workers. The characterization of  $R$  is the same as in McCall.

■

**Question 2.4** Now consider a firm's problem. Take a firm with productivity  $x$  offering a wage  $w' > R$ . Write down the net present value of profits for this firm. Remember that the matching is random, the measure of workers is 1 and the measure of active firms is  $n \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x) dG(x)$ . Denote the profit  $\pi(p = 1, w' > R, x)$ .

■

**Question 2.5** Consider now a deviation of this firm. Suppose the firm offers a wage  $w' - \varepsilon$ . What is the firm's profit in this case? Is it a profitable deviation?

■

**Question 2.6** Argue that there should be no wage strictly above  $R$  and hence  $w \leq R$ .

■

**Question 2.7** Next, consider a firm offering a wage  $\tilde{w} < R$ . What is the profit of the firm in this case?

■

Hence we established the following theorem: In an environment with homogenous workers and undirected search, all equilibrium distributions will have a mass point at the reservation wage.

In what follows we will argue that in any subgame perfect equilibrium,  $R = 0$ .

Suppose that almost all firms offer wage  $R$  – “almost all” is going to be important in the argument. We will establish that it is profitable for a worker to decrease his acceptance

threshold. In particular, consider another acceptance strategy  $\tilde{a}(w)$  given by

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{a}(w) &= 1 \text{ if } w \geq R - \varepsilon \\ \tilde{a}(w) &= 0 \text{ if } w < R - \varepsilon\end{aligned}$$

**Question 2.8** Suppose a worker faces the wage  $R - \varepsilon$ . Write down worker's utility from following the strategy  $a$  and strategy  $\tilde{a}$ .

■

**Question 2.9** Argue that if all workers follow  $\tilde{a}$ , then starting from a situation where all firms offer  $R$ , it is profitable for a firm to deviate and offer a wage  $R - \varepsilon$ .

■

Any firm can do this, and hence no  $R > 0$  can be an equilibrium. Hence, regardless of  $G(x)$  and  $\beta$ , not only there is no non-degenerate distribution, but also all firms offer the lowest possible wage, the “monopsony wage”, and the whole search model collapses to a simple labor market monopsony model.