24 test · 36 Recaer DS 102-HW05 36 44 24 44 no second 1. Supsois Paidox a) Adults | B | B | B | RIK | Recovery Rate 40 DIVY ·20 R. Drug (D) 10 No Disco 14 29 0.359 120 RS 40 NO DO · 16 B . 24 RC RIR Becovery Rade Kids Orus (O) 20 10 239 No Drug (DC) 2 0 22 19 40 Simpson's paradox can occur when there is a large imbalance in the subgroups, By putting a large majority of kids as drug-vers and adults as non-dry users, we can achieve tables s.t. equation 1 holds b) If Dow A are independent, prove Down K, De and A, Down K are inde P(0)+P(04) = 1, P(A)+P(K) = 1 by definition P(D(A) = P(O).P(A); P(O) = P(O(A) + P(O(K) P(D(K) = P(D) - P(O(A) = P(O) - P(D) - P(A) = P(O) (1 - P(A)) = P(O) P(K) So D is indepredent of A and K and by Same argument, D's independent of A and K c) Guran Dad A ore independent, Simpson's Poroces is impossible A P(RID, A) < P(RID, A) as P(RID, K) < P(RID, K) implies P(RID) < P(RIO) P(BID) > P(RID) = P(RID, A) P(A, ID) > = P(RIDC, A) P(A, IDC) EP(RID, A) P(A) > EP(RID, A) P(A) えP(RID, M) > 其P(RIDC, A) (mun P(RID, A) & P(RIDK, A), the above statement cannot be true. The same argument applies for Kids. Therefore, if Dad A, Daw K, O' and A, O'm K are independent,

then simpson's paradox is not possible

1-19

7

```
PS 102 - HW 5
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          11-4-19
2. Expensent Design for Linear Medels
                        ai) Show Var (200) = = 02 , Var (2005) = 50 (2007)2 ; X = 1 2002
                                                 XE1, , , ~ > 1 = 0 for i= 1,, 2
                                                Var(\hat{a}_{08}) = O^{2}(\hat{x}_{1}^{2} x_{1}^{2} + 1/4) = \frac{o^{2}}{\hat{x}_{1}^{2} + 1/4} = \frac{4}{5}o^{2}
Var(\hat{a}_{08}) = \frac{3}{2}(\hat{x}_{1}^{2} + 1/4) = \frac{o^{2}}{\hat{x}_{1}^{2} + 1/4} = \frac{4}{5}o^{2}
Var(\hat{a}_{08}) = \frac{3}{2}(\hat{x}_{1}^{2} + 1/4) = \frac{6}{5}o^{2}
Var(\hat{a}_{09}) = \frac{3}{2}(\hat{x}_{1}^{2} + 1/4) = \frac{6}{5}o^{2}
Var(\hat{a}_{19}) =
                                                                   Xx=0.5 for x= 3+1,..., 30
                                                 50 - 4 + 1 = 50 - 7 = 52 - 30
                                            51, Y; = 37 + 3 · 1 = 3 + 6 = 2
                                                                Var(hara) = 02/(50- = +4) = 02/1/6 = 602
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               2/1 - n/6
                            aii) Show Var (acron) = 3(n-1) Var (acro) - 3(n-1), 402 = 1202(n-1)
                                         Evaly spaced'=> \frac{\Sigma}{\Sigma} \frac{1}{1} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot 
                                    (N-1)(MH)
```

11-6-19 PS 102 - HW 5 X; 3. Karms, P. for i=1,..., K reund distribution Mean A = EpilXi], P(a = Xi = b) = 1 for all a > b After CK romes > ph. = & & Xs At - denote choice of arm to pu) for t-1,2,... input: # explore pulls, c At = ((t mod K) + 1
agmaxiel, KM t & CK t >CK Men of optimal orn: Mt = 1611, K3 M. Sun-optimality gop: A= M* -M. Pseudo Regret => R(n) = NM*- E [EXXA.] a) T.(t) => # times arm a, pulled by time t Show react=> R(n) = \$ Ai F(I(n)) R(n) = n M* - & E(XA+) = & [[XA+]] M. = E[Xi] ξίη Ε[XA+] = Zx, μ. · Ε (T,(n)) 5 Ayg. reword of Arm ? It hat => Sum of reward distributions for arm E(XAE) = Aug. Remod of Arm A, up to time step 1 I at time step t > E[Hi * Tili)] = M. E(TILI)] $P(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (M^{n} - M_{i}) E(T_{i}(n)) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{i} E(T_{i}(n))$ Expectation of arm i at time step 1" b) Show if n > cK, E[Ti(n)] = c+(n-Kc)Pr(M: > max Mg) T; (Λ) = ES, 1 {As=i} => E[Zs=1 18As=13] = ES=, E[18As=13] = Es. E[1{As=13}] + Ein E[1{As=13}] = Zs=1 Pr((cmod k)+1 = s)+ Zs=ck+2 Pr(A; > max As) = C + (n-ck) Pr(M; > max Ms) c) from optimal arm = 1, show for my Sub-optimal arm is: Pr(Mismax/h) & Pr(Mismi) four optimal arm 1, and any supporting arm is: max Mi = Mi = Ma =) Pr(Mi > Mi) = Pr(Mi > Mi) Pr(A, > M1) > Pr(A; > M3) for all j=1, ... K

er.

0

0

7

D= 102 - HW 5

11-9-19

3. d) Use Hoeffdig to show
$$P(\hat{\mu}_{n} > \hat{\mu}_{n}) \leq \exp \left\{ -\frac{c \Delta_{n}^{2}}{(b-a)^{2}} \right\}$$

Given: $E\left[T_{n}(h)\right] \geq C + (h - K_{c}) P_{r}\left(\hat{M}_{n} > \hat{M}_{1}\right)$, All X_{i} bounded on C_{i} , b_{i}
 $P_{r}\left(\hat{M}_{i} > \hat{M}_{i}\right) = P_{r}\left(\hat{M}_{i} - E\left(\hat{M}_{n}\right) > \hat{M}_{1} - E\left(\hat{M}_{n}\right)\right)$
 $= P_{r}\left(\hat{M}_{i} - E\left[\frac{1}{c}\sum_{s=1}^{c}X_{s}\right] > \hat{M}_{1} - \hat{M}_{i}\right)$
 $= P_{r}\left(\frac{1}{c}\sum_{s=1}^{c}X_{s} + \sum_{s=1}^{c}X_{s}\right) > \hat{M}_{1} - \hat{M}_{i}$
 $= P_{r}\left(\sum_{s=1}^{c}\sum_{s=1}^{c}X_{s} - E\left[\frac{1}{c}\sum_{s=1}^{c}X_{s}\right] > \hat{M}_{n}\right) = P_{r}\left(\frac{1}{c}\sum_{s=1}^{c}\left(X_{s} - E\left[X_{s}\right]\right) > \hat{M}_{n}\right)$
 $= P_{r}\left(\sum_{s=1}^{c}\sum_{s=1}^{c}X_{s} - E\left[X_{s}\right]\right) > \hat{M}_{n}$
 $= P_{r}\left(\sum_{s=1}^{c}\sum_{s=1}^{c}X_{s} - E\left[X_{s}\right]\right) > \hat{M}_{n}$

e)
$$E[T_{i}(n)] \stackrel{!}{=} c + (n-Kc) \exp \{-\frac{c\Delta^{2}}{(b-a)^{2}}\}$$

Min. Sub-optimality: $\Delta = \min_{i \ge 2} \Delta_{i}$; Then for each sub-optimal arm $i = 2, ..., K_{i}$
 $E[T_{i}(n)] \stackrel{!}{=} c + n \cdot \exp \{-\frac{c\Delta^{2}}{(b-a)^{2}}\}$ W/ $(n-Ke)$ upper bounded by n

Find value of C st. $\exp(-\frac{c\Delta^{2}}{(b-a)^{2}}) \stackrel{!}{=} n$
 $\frac{c\Delta^{2}}{(b-a)^{2}} \stackrel{!}{=} \ln n = -\ln(n)$

$$(bn)^{2} = m n -$$

Pseudo-Roscot: $R(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{K} \Delta_i E(T_i(n))$ $L_{i=1}^{K} \Delta_i (c + n \cdot exp(-\frac{ca^2}{(o-n)^2}))$