
EVALUATING MODERN VIDEO OBJECT DETECTION ARCHITECTURES FOR INDUSTRIAL ENVIRONMENTS

A PREPRINT

Erik Ilyassov

Artificial Intelligence Center
Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology
Moscow, Russia
E.Ilyassov@skoltech.ru

Svetlana Illarionova

Artificial Intelligence Center
Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology
Moscow, Russia
S.Illarionova@skoltech.ru

ABSTRACT

The task of object detection in video streams has been rapidly advancing due to the progress in deep learning; however, transferring modern models to industrial and operational processes remains challenging. The main difficulties include the limited availability of specialized datasets, the high cost of annotation, and significant domain shifts that arise under real-world recording conditions. This work presents a comparative study of contemporary architectures for video object detection, encompassing both end-to-end transformer-based approaches and efficient one-stage solutions. Experiments are conducted on public benchmarks as well as on a new domain-specific dataset containing objects from industrial scenarios. This design enables a thorough evaluation of the robustness and applicability of modern computer vision methods under real industrial conditions.

1 Introduction

Automated video analytics in industrial and operational environments is becoming an essential component of safety assurance, quality inspection, and process optimization. Unlike controlled or static scenes, industrial video streams are characterized by high motion variability, frequent occlusions, illumination changes, specular reflections, dust, and severe constraints on latency and computational budget. In such conditions, the system must not only detect objects and delineate their spatial boundaries, but also maintain instance consistency over time, even when visual appearance is unstable. The deployment of modern computer vision models in production remains limited due to the scarcity of domain-specific datasets, the high cost of annotation, and significant domain shifts arising from non-standard imaging conditions.

Recent advances in *video object detection* (VOD) and *video instance segmentation* (VIS) have demonstrated impressive progress in modeling spatio-temporal dependencies. One major direction focuses on **end-to-end transformer architectures**, which jointly encode temporal and spatial information using object-query representations. TransVOD and its improved variant TransVOD++ [He et al., 2022, Qian et al., 2023] formulate VOD as a set prediction problem and aggregate object-level context across frames, thereby eliminating the need for external optical flow or handcrafted post-processing such as Seq-NMS. Follow-up works further refine these ideas by enforcing temporal coherence and identity consistency at the feature or query level [Deng et al., 2023a,b].

Another active research line pursues **efficient one-stage solutions** that achieve a favorable balance between accuracy and latency. Real-time DETR [Lyu et al., 2023] and the latest YOLO series (e.g., YOLOv10) [Wang et al., 2024] exemplify this family, providing strong performance under real-time constraints. Extensions of one-stage VOD models leverage temporal redundancy to skip redundant computation without degrading accuracy [Li et al., 2024a].

In parallel, progress in **video instance segmentation (VIS)** has led to frameworks that couple detection and mask prediction at sequence level. Methods such as MinVIS and SeqFormer [Xie et al., 2022, Wu et al., 2022] show that strong image detectors can serve as temporal models with minimal additional supervision, while VISAGE and SyncVIS [Zhang et al., 2023, Li et al., 2024b] incorporate explicit spatio-temporal attention to improve tracking consistency

and appearance modeling. Open-vocabulary formulations (OV2Seg, OVFormer) extend category coverage by aligning visual and language embeddings [Wu et al., 2023, Chen et al., 2024, Xu et al., 2024].

Backbone choice plays a crucial role in domain generalization. Vision Transformers (ViT) [Dosovitskiy et al., 2020] and hierarchical Swin Transformers [Liu et al., 2021] have become standard backbones for both DETR-style and one-stage detectors, offering high-quality transferable representations. Their self-supervised or domain-adapted variants further enhance robustness when training data is limited.

The evaluation of video detection and segmentation models typically relies on large-scale public benchmarks. ImageNet-VID [Russakovsky et al., 2015] and YouTube-VIS/OVIS [Yang et al., 2019, Qi et al., 2022] are commonly used for measuring frame-level accuracy and occlusion robustness. Datasets such as UA-DETRAC [Wen et al., 2015] and BDD100K [Yu et al., 2020] extend this to real-world driving and surveillance scenarios, while LV-VIS [Xu et al., 2024] enables open-vocabulary assessment. However, these benchmarks poorly represent industrial environments, which feature unique object categories, visual artifacts, and lighting conditions, leading to substantial domain shifts in practice.

In this study, we present a systematic and reproducible comparison of contemporary VOD and VIS models with emphasis on their *industrial applicability*. We analyze both end-to-end transformer-based architectures (TransVOD/TransVOD++) and efficient one-stage detectors (YOLO, RT-DETR), using ViT and Swin as representative backbones. Experiments are conducted on public benchmarks as well as a new domain-specific dataset containing real industrial scenes. This experimental design allows us to evaluate the robustness, adaptability, and latency of state-of-the-art approaches under conditions that deviate significantly from standard benchmarks. The final outcome of this work is a set of practical recommendations for applying modern video detection and segmentation frameworks to real-time industrial monitoring and safety applications, and a deeper understanding of how data selection and domain alignment affect model stability.

2 Related Work

End-to-end spatio-temporal transformers for VOD. TransVOD and TransVOD++ formulate VOD as end-to-end set prediction with temporal query aggregation and deformable decoding, removing reliance on optical flow and sequence NMS [He et al., 2022, Qian et al., 2023]. Recent identity-consistent and clip-wise variants further strengthen temporal coherence while keeping competitive speed [Deng et al., 2023a,b].

Efficient one-stage pipelines. Real-time DETR (RT-DETR) and modern YOLO variants (e.g., YOLOv10) deliver strong accuracy under tight latency constraints and serve as practical backbones for video heads in industrial settings [Lyu et al., 2023, Wang et al., 2024]. Complementary one-stage VOD designs exploit temporal redundancy to skip compute while preserving accuracy [Li et al., 2024a].

Video instance segmentation (VIS). Minimal and sequence-level transformers (MinVIS, SeqFormer) demonstrate that strong image detectors with principled matching already yield competitive VIS, while newer works improve temporal association and appearance modeling (VISAGE, SyncVIS) [Xie et al., 2022, Wu et al., 2022, Zhang et al., 2023, Li et al., 2024b]. Open-vocabulary VIS expands category coverage via vision–language alignment (OV2Seg, OVFormer) and large-vocabulary datasets [Wu et al., 2023, Chen et al., 2024, Xu et al., 2024].

Backbones and pretraining. Vision Transformers (ViT) and hierarchical Swin backbones provide strong transferable features; self-supervised or domain-adapted pretraining is effective under limited industrial labels [Dosovitskiy et al., 2020, Liu et al., 2021].

Datasets and evaluation protocols. We follow ImageNet-VID for VOD mAP and YouTube-VIS/OVIS for VIS and occlusion stress tests; to assess distribution shift we include UA-DETRAC and BDD100K video subsets [Russakovsky et al., 2015, Yang et al., 2019, Qi et al., 2022, Wen et al., 2015, Yu et al., 2020]. Large-vocabulary VIS (LV-VIS) supports open-vocabulary evaluation [Xu et al., 2024].

3 Problem Formulation

3.1 Data

We consider a video $V = (I_t)_{t=1}^T$, where each frame $I_t : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ is an RGB image over pixel domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. A training corpus $\mathcal{D} = \{(V^{(n)}, Y^{(n)})\}_{n=1}^N$ is drawn i.i.d. from an unknown distribution $\mathbb{P}(V, Y)$ that reflects industrial environments (illumination shifts, motion blur, occlusions, periodic operations). For *video object detection (VOD)* the

annotation at time t is a finite set

$$Y_t = \{(c_{t,k}, \mathbf{b}_{t,k})\}_{k=1}^{K_t}, \quad \mathbf{b}_{t,k} \in [0, 1]^4, \quad c_{t,k} \in \{1, \dots, C\},$$

and $Y = (Y_t)_{t=1}^T$. Here $\mathbf{b}_{t,k}$ denotes a normalized bounding box (e.g., $(x_{\min}, y_{\min}, x_{\max}, y_{\max})$ in relative coordinates). If instance masks are available, each tuple extends to $(c_{t,k}, \mathbf{b}_{t,k}, m_{t,k})$ with $m_{t,k} \in \{0, 1\}^\Omega$. Temporal identities are not required for VOD but can be derived a posteriori. Algebraically, (Y_t) is a *sequence of finite sets*; probabilistically, $(V, Y) \sim \mathbb{P}$ with latent temporal dynamics and nuisance factors.

3.2 Mapping $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ (model-agnostic)

Given a context clip $X = (I_{t-\ell}, \dots, I_t, \dots, I_{t+r}) \in \mathcal{X}$ centered at time t , the predictor returns a permutation-invariant set of detections for frame t :

$$f_\theta(X) = \hat{Y}_t = \{(\hat{c}_j, \hat{\mathbf{b}}_j, \hat{m}_j)\}_{j=1}^{\hat{K}_t},$$

where masks \hat{m}_j are optional (VIS). We adopt a standard four-block factorization

$$f_\theta = \underbrace{H}_{\text{head / output}} \circ \underbrace{D}_{\text{object decoder}} \circ \underbrace{A}_{\text{temporal aggregation}} \circ \underbrace{\phi}_{\text{backbone}}.$$

Backbone ϕ : per-frame feature pyramid $F_s = \phi(I_s)$ using a 2D CNN (e.g., ResNet/Swin), ViT/Video-ViT (e.g., Swin-Video, TimeSformer), or hybrids. **Temporal aggregation A :** builds context for t , $Z_t = A(F_{t-\ell}, \dots, F_{t+r})$, via (i) 3D convolutions/temporal shift, (ii) learned alignment and summation (flow-free or flow-based warp), (iii) recurrent/memory mechanisms, or (iv) spatio-temporal attention (Transformers). **Object decoder D :** maps Z_t to object slots $\{s_j\}$; in query-based designs (DETR-style) a fixed set of queries is trained with Hungarian matching; dense/anchor heads with NMS are an alternative. **Head H :** predicts class \hat{c}_j , box $\hat{\mathbf{b}}_j$, and (if applicable) mask $\hat{m}_j = \psi(s_j, Z_t)$ with an upsampling/deformable-attention mask decoder. Post-processing is minimal for set-prediction (no NMS), optional for dense heads. Both causal (online, $r=0$) and offline ($r>0$) regimes are covered; open-vocabulary variants replace the classifier by a vision-text similarity head.

Instantiation: TransVOD++. A concrete instantiation fits the above template: ϕ is an image backbone plus spatial transformer encoder/decoder (Deformable-DETR style); A is a *Temporal Query Encoder* (TQE) that aggregates object queries across the clip; D is a *Temporal Deformable Decoder* (TDTD) that attends to temporal memories to produce current-frame predictions; H is the detection head (optionally with masks). Internal modules such as *Query-and-RoI Fusion* (QRF) and *Hard Query Mining* (HQM) inject appearance cues and reduce redundancy while preserving the end-to-end set-prediction interface.

3.3 External evaluation criterion

The primary metric is mean Average Precision (mAP) over IoU thresholds on a held-out video set, computed per frame and averaged over classes (ImageNet-VID protocol). For deployment-oriented reporting we additionally measure throughput (FPS) and latency (ms) on a fixed hardware profile, capturing the speed-accuracy trade-off required in industrial monitoring.

3.4 Learning objective

Training follows empirical risk minimization with Hungarian set matching between predictions and ground truth. For each clip and time t , let π be the optimal bipartite assignment between predicted slots and ground-truth objects. The per-frame detection loss is

$$\mathcal{L}_t(\theta) = \frac{1}{K_t} \sum_{k=1}^{K_t} \left[\lambda_{\text{cls}} \mathcal{L}_{\text{cls}}(p_{\pi(k)}, c_{t,k}) + \lambda_1 \|\hat{\mathbf{b}}_{\pi(k)} - \mathbf{b}_{t,k}\|_1 + \lambda_{\text{giou}} \mathcal{L}_{\text{GIoU}}(\hat{\mathbf{b}}_{\pi(k)}, \mathbf{b}_{t,k}) \right],$$

with focal or cross-entropy classification loss and GIoU-based localization. The total objective (with optional auxiliary decoder losses at intermediate layers, as in TransVOD++) is

$$\min_{\theta} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{T^{(n)}} \sum_{t=1}^{T^{(n)}} \left(\mathcal{L}_t^{(n)}(\theta) + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \alpha_j \mathcal{L}_{t,j}^{(n)}(\theta) \right),$$

optionally under a deployment constraint on average inference time $\tau(f_\theta) \leq \tau_{\max}$ (or via a Lagrangian penalty $\beta \tau(f_\theta)$) to encode real-time requirements in industrial settings.

References

- Lu He, Jiaxin Zhang, et al. Transvod: End-to-end video object detection with spatial-temporal transformers. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2201.05047*, 2022.
- Yu Qian, Lu He, Jiaxin Zhang, et al. Transvod++: Spatio-temporal transformer for end-to-end video object detection. *IEEE TPAMI*, 2023.
- Liang Deng et al. Clipvid: Clip-wise video object detection with identity consistency. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.07737*, 2023a.
- Liang Deng et al. Identity-consistent aggregation for video object detection. In *ICCV*, 2023b.
- Wen Lyu et al. Detrs beat yolos on real-time object detection. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.08069*, 2023.
- Chien-Yao Wang et al. Yolov10: Real-time end-to-end object detection. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.14458*, 2024.
- Haotian Li et al. Efficient one-stage video object detection. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.09241*, 2024a.
- Enze Xie et al. Minvis: A minimal video instance segmentation framework without video training. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2207.11620*, 2022.
- Jiannan Wu et al. Seqformer: Sequential transformer for video instance segmentation. In *ECCV*, 2022.
- Zhiqiang Zhang et al. Visage: Video instance segmentation via appearance-guided encoders. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.04885*, 2023.
- Yuxuan Li et al. Syncvis: Synchronized spatio-temporal transformers for video instance segmentation. In *NeurIPS*, 2024b.
- Jianzong Wu et al. Ov2seg: Open-vocabulary video instance segmentation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.15944*, 2023.
- Ming Chen et al. Ovformer: Open-vocabulary video segmentation with transformers. In *ECCV*, 2024.
- Bo Xu et al. Lv-vis: A large-vocabulary benchmark for video instance segmentation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.01234*, 2024.
- Alexey Dosovitskiy et al. An image is worth 16x16 words: Transformers for image recognition at scale. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.11929*, 2020.
- Ze Liu et al. Swin transformer: Hierarchical vision transformer using shifted windows. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2103.14030*, 2021.
- Olga Russakovsky et al. Imagenet large scale visual recognition challenge. In *IJCV*, 2015.
- Linjie Yang, Yuchen Fan, and Ning Xu. Video instance segmentation. In *ICCV*, 2019.
- Xiangtai Qi et al. Ovis: A benchmark for video instance segmentation under occlusions. *IJCV*, 2022.
- Longyin Wen et al. Ua-detrac: A new benchmark and protocol for multi-object detection and tracking. In *CVPR Workshops*, 2015.
- Fisher Yu et al. Bdd100k: A diverse driving dataset for heterogeneous multitask learning. In *CVPR*, 2020.