# Hacker School Analysis Seminar - Useful Sets and The Completeness Axiom

## Attribution

Much of the content is closely adapted from Elementary Analysis: The Theory of Calculus by Kenneth Ross. This book, or others on mathematical analysis, should be consulted for further inquiry.

# Natural Numbers, Integers, and Fractions

As we move forward, we will make use of a few different sets of numbers, most of which you are probably already familiar with.

$$\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, ...\}$$

These are all the positive whole numbers, called the Natural Numbers. Sometimes 0 is included, but to stick with the notation in the Ross text, we will exclude it.

$$\mathbb{Z} = \{ \pm n \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \} \cup \{0\}$$

This reads in plain english as "plus and minus n for all n in  $\mathbb{N}$  union the set containing 0".

$$\mathbb{Q} = \{ \frac{p}{q} \forall p, q \in \mathbb{Z} \mid q \neq 0 \}$$

These are more commonly known as fractions, (and maybe less commonly the rational numbers) and include any number which can be written as the division of any other two numbers of  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

## Real Numbers

The final set, and the most important for our little seminar, is  $\mathbb{R}$ . However, defining  $\mathbb{R}$  is a bit tedious and beyond our scope. Instead, we will describe it as the completion of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . That is, it "fill in the gaps" that  $\mathbb{Q}$  has, such as  $\pi$ ,  $\sqrt{2}$ , and e.

We will also introduce the Completeness Axiom, which assures us that  $\mathbb{R}$  has "no gaps". First, a couple definitions:

#### Definition 1.1

Let S be a nonempty subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

- (a). If S contains a largest element  $s_0$  (that is,  $s_0 \in S$  and  $s \leq s_0$  for all  $s \in S$ ), then we call  $s_0$  the maximum of S, i.e.  $s_0 = \max S$ .
- (b). If S contains a smallest element, then we call it the *minimum* of S, i.e.  $\min S$ .

#### Definition 1.2

Let S be a nonempty subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

- (a). If a real number M satisfies  $s \leq M$  for all  $s \in S$ , then M is called the *upper bound* of S and the set S is said to be *bounded above*.
- (b). If a real number m satisfies  $m \leq s$  for all  $s \in S$ , then m is called the *lower* bound of S and the set S is said to be bounded below.
- (c). The set S is said to be bounded if it is bounded above and bounded below. Thus S is bounded if there exists real numbers m and M such that  $S \subseteq [m, M]$ .

#### **Notation Note**

$$[m, M] = \{x \forall x \in \mathbb{R} \mid m \le x \le M\}$$
$$(m, M) = \{x \forall x \in \mathbb{R} \mid m < x < M\}$$

## Definition 1.3

Let S be a nonempty subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

- (a). If S is bounded above and S has a least upper bound, then we will call it the supremum of S and denote it by  $\sup S$ . Formally,  $s_0 = \sup(S)$  iff (1).  $s_0 \ge s$  for all sinS, and (2). for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $t \ge s$  for all  $s \in S$ , then  $t \ge s_0$ .
- (b). If S is bounded below and S has a greatest lower bound, then we will call it the *infimum of* S and denote it by  $\inf S$ .

#### Examples

- (a). If a set S has a maximum, then  $\max S = \sup S$ . Similarly, if it has a minimum, then  $\min S = \inf S$ .
- (b). If  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  and a < b, then

$$\sup[a, b] = \sup(a, b) = \sup[a, b) = \sup(a, b] = b$$

- (c). inf  $\mathbb{N} = 1$
- (d). If  $A = \{r \in \mathbb{Q} \mid 0 \le r \le \sqrt{2}\}$ , then  $\sup A = \sqrt{2}$  and  $\inf A = 0$

## Completeness Axiom

Every nonempty subset S of  $\mathbb{R}$  that is bounded above has a least upper bound. In other words, sup S exists and is a real number.

Since this is an axiom, it is one of the basic assumptions that we are making in order to conduct out analysis. We are also making a number of other assumptions about things like set theory, but we won't go into that detail here. This will be the most important "tool" we will use, and most of what we will learn over the next few weeks would not be true without the completeness axiom.

# Corollary

Every nonempty set S of  $\mathbb{R}$  that is bounded below has a greatest lower bound, i.e. inf S exists.

## Proof (Our first one!)

Let S be bounded below, and let -S be the set  $\{-s: s \in S\}$ . Since S is bounded below, by definition there exists an m in  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $m \leq s$  for all  $s \in S$ . This implies that  $-m \geq -s$  for all  $s \in S$ , and thus  $-m \geq u$  for all  $u \in -S$ . Thus -S is bounded above by -m, and by the Completeness Axiom,  $\sup(-S)$  exists.

Let  $s_0 = -\sup(-S)$ . We claim that  $s_0$  is  $\inf(S)$ , which means that we need to show:

- (1).  $s_0 \leq s$  for all  $s \in S$
- (2). for any t, if  $t \leq s$  for all  $s \in S$ , then  $t \leq s_0$

To prove (1), note that  $-s_0 = \sup(-S)$ , and by definition,  $\sup(-S) \ge u$  for all  $u \in -S$ . Replacing, we get  $-s_0 \ge u$  for all  $u \in -S$ . Multiplying by -1, we get  $s_0 \le -u$  for all  $u \in -S$ , thus  $s_0 \le s$  for all sin(S), as desired.

To prove (2), suppose by way of contradiction that there exists a t such that  $t \leq s$  for all  $s \in S$ , but  $t > s_0$ . Then,  $-t \geq -s$  for  $s \in S$ , which implies  $-t \geq u$  for all  $u \in -S$ . Similarly,  $-t < -s_0$ . However, this contradicts the fact that  $-s_0 = \sup(-S)$ .  $\mathbb{QED}$ .