

$$\begin{aligned} 1) \quad \dot{x}(t) &= A x(t) + B u(t) \\ y(t) &= C x(t) + D u(t) \end{aligned}$$

ME-418
Pring Ada Ceylon
22101844
ME-PHYS

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad C = [1 \quad 1], \quad D = 0$$

$$T = 0.1 \text{ s}, \quad 20 \text{ Hz}$$

$$a) \quad F = e^{AT}, \quad G = \int_0^T e^{A\sigma} d\sigma B, \quad G = A^{-1}(e^{AT} - I)B \quad (\text{if } A \text{ has inverse})$$

$$F = e^{AT} = \mathcal{L}^{-1} \{ sI - A \}^{-1}$$

$$sI - A = \begin{bmatrix} s+1 & 0 \\ 0 & s+2 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow (sI - A)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{s+1} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{s+2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{s+1} \right\} = e^{-t}, \quad \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{s+2} \right\} = e^{-2t}$$

$$F = e^{AT} = \begin{bmatrix} e^{-T} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-2T} \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{T=0.1\text{s}} F \approx \begin{bmatrix} 0.905 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.819 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1/2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad e^{AT} - I = \begin{bmatrix} e^{-T} - 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-2T} - 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1/2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e^{-T} - 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-2T} - 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - e^{-T} \\ \frac{1}{2}(1 - e^{-2T}) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$G \approx \begin{bmatrix} 0.0952 \\ 0.0906 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$x[k+1] = F x[k] + G u[k]$$

$$y[k] = C x[k] + D u[k]$$

$$F = \begin{bmatrix} 0.905 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.819 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0952 \\ 0.0906 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$C = [1 \quad 1], \quad D = 0$$

b) λ_d , discrete poles are eigenvalues of F .

$$\lambda_d = e^{\lambda_c T} \quad (\text{relationship with cont. poles})$$

$$\lambda_c \Rightarrow \det(sI - A) = \det \begin{bmatrix} s-1 & 0 \\ 0 & s+2 \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda_{c,1} = -1, \quad \lambda_{c,2} = -2$$

$$\lambda_d = e^{\lambda_c T} \quad \therefore \begin{cases} \lambda_{d,1} = e^{-1(0.1)} = 0.904837 \\ \lambda_{d,2} = e^{-2(0.1)} = 0.818731 \end{cases} \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \text{from} \\ \text{cont.} \\ \text{poles rel.} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\det(zI - F) = \det \begin{bmatrix} z - e^{-0.1} & 0 \\ 0 & z - e^{-0.2} \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

$$\det(zI - F) = (z - e^{-0.1})(z - e^{-0.2}) = 0$$

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_{d,1} = e^{-0.1} = 0.904837 \\ \lambda_{d,2} = e^{-0.2} = 0.818731 \end{cases} \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \text{from} \\ \text{eigenvals} \\ \text{of } F \end{array} \right\} \rightarrow \text{equivalent}$$

2) If the closed-loop bandwidth is 3 Hz, the sampling freq. should be 10-20 times faster than that bandwidth. (Theoretical min. is (Nyquist) 2-times)

$$f_s = 50 - 100 \text{ Hz} \Rightarrow T = 0.01 - 0.02 \text{ s}$$

If sampling is too slow:

- Causes aliasing and phase delay, which destabilizes the closed loop
- The discrete model no longer represents the true dynamics

If sampling is too fast:

- Increases noise sensitivity and computational load without much performance gain.

A good practise of T would be 0.01s (100 Hz) if meas. sys. is available of capacity.

4) d) For the plant $G_p(s) = \frac{4}{s^2 + 2s + 4}$

Standard second-order form $\frac{\omega_n^2}{s^2 + 2\zeta\omega_n s + \omega_n^2}$

$\omega_n = 2 \text{ rad/s}$ $2\zeta\omega_n = 2$
 $\zeta = 0.5$

The %2 settling time of a second order sys.

$$t_s \approx \frac{4}{\zeta\omega_n} = \frac{4}{0.5 \times 2} = 4s$$

Sensor has $[G(s) = \frac{1}{0.1s + 1}]$ small time const.

$\tau = 0.1s$. Overall settling time is dominated by the plant.

with a sampling interval $T = 0.02s$

$$N_s \approx \frac{t_s}{T} \approx \frac{4}{0.02} = 200 \text{ samples}$$

Therefore, for an input to die out, around

$\boxed{200 \approx N_b}$, or FIR horizon is ideal.

One can scan between 150 - 250 interval to find a further finer N_b value (due to noise, bias etc. N_b may fluctuate.)

at (e) we find this value.