

Explanations meeting (6/13/16)

- 3 kinds of projects
 - Idea 1: Computational psycholinguistics: Can we give a meaning to "a because b" that works.
 - Comprehension and Productions / Endorsements
 - What kinds of explanations are we dealing with? [perhaps not worth thinking about yet]
 - Obstacles
 - Lots of potential phenomena
 - Way forward: Design an experimental paradigm that gets interesting explanations
 - Focus on production / endorsement
 - These may not be totally separate because endorsement may depend upon alternatives (which basically makes it production)
 - Methodological point: May not be able to separate intuitive theory from explanations
 - Then, our approach may be to draw coherence between explanations (why questions?), fact questions, predictions, other dependent measures
 - Model
 - Inspired by Lewis, semantics of "a because b" as a counterfactual: (If not b then not a)
 - Something about a and b with presupposition
 - Open questions about the pragmatics model
 - What are the alternatives?
 - Projection / presupposition
 - Slack variables? [in the generative process of counterfactual worlds]
 - Stickiness variable: How happy are you to be in this counterfactual world
 - If A and B themselves are events, then not-A could be the logical negation, or some area in event space
 - QUD?
 - Perhaps the thing you assert the counterfactual are things robust to changes.
 - What's the paradigm?
 - Block world
 - Artifacts, Agents, Kinds, Events, Games
 - Seiver, Gopnik and Goodman (2013). Covariation data of individuals playing with certain toys (why? because it's a cool toy. vs. because she likes it)
 - Short movie clips and get people's explanations? [3-4 events; 15-30s]
 - nonverbal; *Coffee and cigarettes* ?
 - Write down by hand the events in the movie clip.
 - Ask for explanations of some events.
 - Noah talked to L. A. Paul
 - What's the difference between "cause" and "because"
 - Her take: "cause" has to be about events, but "because" can be about more abstract things (e.g. "it's black because it's a tire") <-- MH feels that that's a weird explanation
 - Idea 2: Learning from explanations (both psychology and machine learning)
 - If we had a bunch of "a because b", can we use that to learn a model of the world?
 - And is that better than just "a and b"
 - There are some choices to be made about "what kind of model are you trying to learn"
 - Similar to Leon style learning with RSA (here, + counterfactuals)
 - Counterfactuals could be with respect to either a structured or unstructured model (e.g., generative, multi-layered neural net)
 - Idea 3: ProbProg systems that explain themselves
 - Usual thing: Uncertainty, do inference, query for variable

- Missing: An explanation of why that variable has that value
- Why might it?
 - Because something about the observations
 - Because something about the latent variables
 - Because a law-like counterfactual
- Implemented models
 - Church, with easy syntax
 - WebPPL with maybe more complex syntax
- Next steps
 - Videos (criteria outlined above)
 - Write a few simple WebPPL programs: what kinds of explanations could you give for these models?
 - Baking: sometimes order matters
 - Knitting: if you make errors, you have to go back
 - Route finding
 - Video games
 - Real ML models