

# M440B HW 17

Erick Castillo

5/8/2021

**Problem 28:** I will be using the watchstacked.csv file available on Canvas for this problem.

```
watch <- read.csv("~/M440B_HW/M440B Stuff/Data/watchstacked.csv")
watch.aov <- aov(WatchData~Group, data = watch)
summary(watch.aov)
```

```
##              Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value Pr(>F)
## Group          1      70    70.5    0.158  0.695
## Residuals     18    8008   444.9
```

The above output is a parametric ANOVA table. Because the p-value is so high, we conclude that there is insufficient evidence to conclude that the different watches have different means.

```
kruskal.test(WatchData~Group, data=watch)
```

```
##
## Kruskal-Wallis rank sum test
##
## data: WatchData by Group
## Kruskal-Wallis chi-squared = 2.1547, df = 2, p-value = 0.3405
```

Above is the output for the non-parametric analysis. Notice that the p-value is still big, so the conclusion would be the same; however, it is interesting to see that the p-value for the Kruskal-Wallis test is more “significant” than the parametric analysis.

**Problem 27:** I will be using the mice.csv file for this problem.

```
mice <- read.csv("~/M440B_HW/M440B Stuff/Data/mice.CSV")
pairwise.t.test(mice$Count, mice$Species, p.adj = "bonf")
```

```
##
## Pairwise comparisons using t tests with pooled SD
##
## data: mice$Count and mice$Species
##
##      AJ      C57
## C57 < 2e-16 -
## F2  4.4e-07 3.7e-11
##
## P value adjustment method: bonferroni
```

The above output indicates that there is a significant difference in the mean “aggressiveness” of each of the recorded species of mice.