

Team week project submission

[Start Assignment](#)

Due No due date **Points** 9 **Submitting** a website url

This rubric is a technical retrospective of the technical group dynamics and application of concepts that were gone through the module. Submit the link of your github repo and deployed site to your TM, and review the scores in your groups.

Technical team week retrospective

Criteria	Ratings				Pts
Comprehension Application of concepts that have been introduced in the module	3 Pts Full marks The project seamlessly integrates concepts from the module. It shows a solid understanding of how the concepts from the module apply in a context beyond the projects completed during class.	2 Pts Half marks The project shows that the group is comfortable using the concepts taught in the module. They however do not apply them in a context beyond the module's projects.	1 Pts Low rating The project exhibits a narrow scope of how the concepts learned in class are applied. It generally uses rudimentary approaches to applying concepts.	0 Pts No marks	3 pts
Collaboration Best practices for git and workflow in teams	3 Pts Full marks The group has a clean and well organized workflow. There are no conflicts of code in the project.	2 Pts Half marks The project shows a thoughtful workflow between team members, but the group has faced a few conflicts in their workflow.	1 Pts Low rating The project exhibits a lot of conflicts in the group's code, and the resolution of conflicts may lead to time wastage and an incomplete project.	0 Pts No marks	3 pts

Criteria	Ratings				Pts
Completion Group mock ups and MVPs	3 Pts Full marks The group completed all user stories set out in their MVPs during sprint planning. The group used the MVP to drive the timeline for project completion, revisiting user stories in a timely manner when necessary. The project is in a polished, portfolio-ready state.	2 Pts Half marks The group completed the project, and the finished product is generally polished, but may contain some bugs. They have set reasonable user stories in their MVP and met most of them, but may have had to revisit user stories to change scope of project.	1 Pts Low rating The group modified user stories based on work they've completed, instead of setting well-thought-out user stories and using those to drive the project timeline. They may also not have completed all user stories. The project is not in a polished, portfolio-ready state.	0 Pts No marks	3 pts
Total points: 9					