

Turtle vs. Rabbit Race: Optimal Trainings

Input file: **standard input**
Output file: **standard output**
Time limit: 5 seconds
Memory limit: 256 megabytes

Isaac begins his training. There are n running tracks available, and the i -th track ($1 \leq i \leq n$) consists of a_i equal-length sections.

Given an integer u ($1 \leq u \leq 10^9$), finishing each section can increase Isaac's ability by a certain value, described as follows:

- Finishing the 1-st section increases Isaac's performance by u .
- Finishing the 2-nd section increases Isaac's performance by $u - 1$.
- Finishing the 3-rd section increases Isaac's performance by $u - 2$.
- ...
- Finishing the k -th section ($k \geq 1$) increases Isaac's performance by $u + 1 - k$. (The value $u + 1 - k$ can be negative, which means finishing an extra section decreases Isaac's performance.)

You are also given an integer l . You must choose an integer r such that $l \leq r \leq n$ and Isaac will finish **each** section of **each** track $l, l + 1, \dots, r$ (that is, a total of $\sum_{i=l}^r a_i = a_l + a_{l+1} + \dots + a_r$ sections).

Answer the following question: what is the optimal r you can choose that the increase in Isaac's performance is maximum possible?

If there are multiple r that maximize the increase in Isaac's performance, output the **smallest** r .

To increase the difficulty, you need to answer the question for q different values of l and u .

Input

The first line of input contains a single integer t ($1 \leq t \leq 10^4$) — the number of test cases.

The descriptions of the test cases follow.

The first line contains a single integer n ($1 \leq n \leq 10^5$).

The second line contains n integers a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n ($1 \leq a_i \leq 10^4$).

The third line contains a single integer q ($1 \leq q \leq 10^5$).

The next q lines each contain two integers l and u ($1 \leq l \leq n, 1 \leq u \leq 10^9$) — the descriptions to each query.

The sum of n over all test cases does not exceed $2 \cdot 10^5$. The sum of q over all test cases does not exceed $2 \cdot 10^5$.

Output

For each test case, output q integers: the i -th integer contains the optimal r for the i -th query. If there are multiple solutions, output the **smallest** one.

Example

| standard input | standard output |
|-----------------------|---------------------|
| 5 | 3 4 5 |
| 6 | 1 |
| 3 1 4 1 5 9 | 9 2 9 4 9 |
| 3 | 5 2 5 5 5 2 4 5 4 2 |
| 1 8 | 10 6 9 7 7 |
| 2 7 | |
| 5 9 | |
| 1 | |
| 10 | |
| 1 | |
| 1 1 | |
| 9 | |
| 5 10 9 6 8 3 10 7 3 | |
| 5 | |
| 8 56 | |
| 1 12 | |
| 9 3 | |
| 1 27 | |
| 5 45 | |
| 5 | |
| 7 9 2 5 2 | |
| 10 | |
| 1 37 | |
| 2 9 | |
| 3 33 | |
| 4 32 | |
| 4 15 | |
| 2 2 | |
| 4 2 | |
| 2 19 | |
| 3 7 | |
| 2 7 | |
| 10 | |
| 9 1 6 7 6 3 10 7 3 10 | |
| 5 | |
| 10 43 | |
| 3 23 | |
| 9 3 | |
| 6 8 | |
| 5 14 | |

Note

For the 1-st query in the first test case:

- By choosing $r = 3$, Isaac finishes $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 = 3 + 1 + 4 = 8$ sections in total, hence his increase in performance is $u + (u - 1) + \dots + (u - 7) = 8 + 7 + 6 + 5 + 4 + 3 + 2 + 1 = 36$.
- By choosing $r = 4$, Isaac finishes $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 = 3 + 1 + 4 + 1 = 9$ sections in total, hence his increase in performance is $u + (u - 1) + \dots + (u - 8) = 8 + 7 + 6 + 5 + 4 + 3 + 2 + 1 + 0 = 36$.

Both choices yield the optimal increase in performance, however we want to choose the **smallest** r . So we choose $r = 3$.

For the 2-nd query in the first test case, by choosing $r = 4$, Isaac finishes $a_2 + a_3 + a_4 = 1 + 4 + 1 = 6$ sections in total, hence his increase in performance is $u + (u - 1) + \dots + (u - 5) = 7 + 6 + 5 + 4 + 3 + 2 = 27$. This is the optimal increase in performance.

For the 3-rd query in the first test case:

- By choosing $r = 5$, Isaac finishes $a_5 = 5$ sections in total, hence his increase in performance is $u + (u - 1) + \dots + (u - 4) = 9 + 8 + 7 + 6 + 5 = 35$.
- By choosing $r = 6$, Isaac finishes $a_5 + a_6 = 5 + 9 = 14$ sections in total, hence his increase in performance is $u + (u - 1) + \dots + (u - 13) = 9 + 8 + 7 + 6 + 5 + 4 + 3 + 2 + 1 + 0 + (-1) + (-2) + (-3) + (-4) = 35$.

Both choices yield the optimal increase in performance, however we want to choose the **smallest** r . So we choose $r = 5$.

Hence the output for the first test case is $[3, 4, 5]$.