

000  
001  
002  
003  
004  
005  
006  
007  
008  
009  
010  
011054  
055  
056  
057  
058  
059  
060  
061  
062  
063  
064  
065  
066  
067  
068  
069  
070  
071  
072  
073  
074  
075  
076  
077  
078  
079

# Rendering Photorealistic Training Images for Eye Tracking

Anonymous ICCV submission

Paper ID \*\*\*\*

## Abstract

The ABSTRACT is to be in fully-justified italicized text, at the top of the left-hand column, below the author and affiliation information. Use the word “Abstract” as the title, in 12-point Times, boldface type, centered relative to the column, initially capitalized. The abstract is to be in 10-point, single-spaced type. Leave two blank lines after the Abstract, then begin the main text. Look at previous ICCV abstracts to get a feel for style and length.

## 1. Introduction

Machine learning approaches that leverage large amounts of image data are currently the best solutions to many problems in computer vision [cite]. However, capturing or collecting images can be extremely time consuming, especially for new areas of research without pre-existing datasets. Supervised learning approaches then require that the images are labelled. This annotation process can be expensive and tedious, and there is no guarantee the labels will be correct.

In this paper we describe our approach for generating photorealistic training data, and then present and evaluate two systems trained on SynthesEyes: an eye-region specific deformable model and an appearance-based gaze estimator. These systems are case studies that show how we leverage the degrees of control made available by rendering our training data to easily and quickly generate high quality training datasets.

## 2. Related work

### 2.1. Synthetic data

[1] – uses rendered videos of eyes to evaluate eye tracking algorithms.

[2] – relit 3d face scans to study the effect of illumination on automatic expression recognition.

[3] – train head pose estimator on only synthetic depth data.



(a) 3D eye model      (b) Pupil dilation and iris color variation

Figure 1: Our realistic eye model is capable of expressing degrees of variability seen in real life.

### 2.2. Deformable eye model

[4] – trained a detailed deformable eye region model on in-the-wild images.

### 2.3. Gaze estimation

[5] – regression with features of 3d pupil centers and eye-contours (the eyelids) for gaze estimation. Use multiple cameras and IR lights.

## 3. Synthetic data generation

In this section we first present our anatomically inspired CG eyeball model, and then explain our novel procedure for preparing a suite of 3D head scans for dynamic photorealistic labelled data generation. We then briefly describe how we use image-based lighting [6] to model a wide range of realistic lighting conditions, and finally discuss the details of our rendering setup.

### 3.1. Eye model

Eyeballs are complex organs comprised of multiple layers of tissue, each with different reflectance properties and levels of transparency. Fortunately, as realistic eyes are so important for many areas of CG, there is already a large body of previous work on modelling and rendering eyes Erroll: cite.

As shown in Figure 1a, our eye model consists of two parts. The outer part (red wireframe) approximates the eye’s overall shape with two spheres ( $r_1 = 12\text{mm}$ ,  $r_2 = 8\text{mm}$  [7]),

108 the latter representing the corneal bulge. To avoid a discontinuous seam between spheres, the meshes were joined and  
109 then smoothed. It is transparent, refractive ( $n = 1.376$ ), and  
110 partially reflective. The eye's bumpy surface variation is  
111 modelled by a displacement map generated with noise functions.  
112 The inner part (blue wireframe) is a flattened sphere  
113 with Lambertian material. The planar end represents the iris  
114 and pupil, and the rest represents the sclera – the white of  
115 the eye. There is a 0.5mm gap between the outer and inner  
116 parts which accounts for the thickness of the cornea. **Erroll:**  
117 **compare with recent Disney work**

118 Eyes exhibit variations in both shape (pupillary dilation)  
119 and texture (iris color and scleral veins). To model shape  
120 variation we use *shape keys* – a CG animation technique  
121 where different versions of a mesh are stored, modified, and  
122 interpolated between [8]. **Erroll: more on shape keys**  
123 We have shape keys representing dilated and constricted  
124 pupils, as well as large and small irises to account for a  
125 small amount (10%) of variation in iris size.

126 We vary the appearance of the eye by compositing textures  
127 in three separate layers: *i*) a *sclera* layer representing  
128 the tint of the sclera (white, pink, or yellow); *ii*) an *iris* layer  
129 with four photo-textures of different colored irises (amber,  
130 blue, brown, grey); and *iii*) a *veins* layer which varies  
131 between blood-shot and clear. We matched the sclera tint to  
132 each separate face model, but uniformly randomly varied  
133 iris color. Previous research on iris-synthesis **Erroll: cite**  
134 would have allowed continually different iris textures, but  
135 we decided this added complexity would not make a worth-  
136 while improvement in overall appearance variation, espe-  
137 cially when rendered at lower resolutions.

### 138 3.2. Preparing a suite of 3D eye-region models

139 As can be seen in [Figure 3a](#), the cornea has been in-  
140 correctly reconstructed in the head scan. This is because  
141 transparent surfaces are not directly visible, so cannot be  
142 reconstructed in the same way as diffuse surfaces like skin.  
143 Recent work uses a hybrid reconstruction method to recon-  
144 struct the corneal surface separately, but requires additional  
145 hardware [9] – this level of detail was deemed unneccesary  
146 for our purposes. As we need full control of where the  
147 eye looks, we remove the original scanned eyeball from the  
148 mesh using boolean operations and place our own eyeball  
149 approximation in its place.

150 As can be seen in [Figure 3a](#), the cornea has been in-  
151 correctly reconstructed in the head scan. This is because  
152 transparent surfaces are not directly visible, so cannot be  
153 reconstructed in the same way as diffuse surfaces like skin.  
154 Recent work uses a hybrid reconstruction method to recon-  
155 struct the corneal surface separately, but requires additional  
156 hardware [9] – this level of detail was deemed unneccesary  
157 for our purposes. As we need full control of where the  
158 eye looks, we remove the original scanned eyeball from the

159 mesh using boolean operations and place our own eyeball  
160 approximation in its place.

### 161 Preparing the head geometry

162 While the original head scan geometry is suitable for being  
163 rendered as a static model, its topology cannot easily rep-  
164 resent dynamic changes in eye-region shape. Vertical sac-  
165 cades are always accompanied by eyelid motion [10], so we  
166 need to be able to pose the eyelids according to the gaze vec-  
167 tor. When preparing a mesh for facial animation, edge loops  
168 should flow along and around the natural contours of facial  
169 muscles. This leads to a more efficient (lower-resolution)  
170 geometric representation of the face, and more realistic ani-  
171 mation as mesh deformation matches that of actual muscles.

172 We therefore *retopologize* the face geometry into a more  
173 optimal form using a commercial semi-automatic system  
174 [11]. **Erroll: Reference some other options, e.g au-**  
175 **tomatic methods in research** As can be seen in [Fig-](#)  
176 [ure 3b](#), edge loops now follow the *Orbicularis Oculi*  
177 muscle, allowing for realistic eye-region deformations. This re-  
178 topologized low-poly mesh now lacks the detail of the orig-  
179 inal scan (e.g. the crease above the eye), and has visible  
180 sharp edges. We therefore use it as the control mesh for a  
181 displaced subdivision surface [12], with displacement map  
182 computed from the scanned geometry. As can be seen in  
183 [Figure 3c](#), detail is restored.

184 Although they are two seperate organs, there is normally  
185 no visible gap between eyeball and skin. However, as a con-  
186 sequence of removing the eyeball from the original scan, the  
187 retopologized mesh will not necessarily meet the geometry  
188 of our eyeball model ([Figure 3b](#)). To compensate, the face  
189 mesh's eyelid vertices are displaced along their normals to  
190 their respective closest positions on the eyeball geometry  
191 ([Figure 3c](#)). This automatic operation ensures the models  
192 are joined, even after changes in pose [13].

### 193 Eyelashes

194 Eyelashes are short curved hairs that grow from the outer  
195 edges of the eyelids. These can occlude parts of the eye  
196 and affect eye-tracking algorithms, so it is important that  
197 we model them. We follow the approach of Świrski and  
198 Dodgson [1], and model them using directed hair particle  
199 effects. The hair particles are generated from a smoothed  
200 control surface below the eyelid edges and directed away  
201 from the face. To make them curl, the eyelash particles ex-  
202 perience a slight amount of gravity during growth (negative  
203 gravity for the upper eyelash).

216  
217  
218  
219  
220  
221  
222



Figure 2: Our suite of female and male head models for rendering.

225  
226  
227  
228  
229  
230  
231



(a) Original 3D head scan (b) Retopologized head model (c) Surface detail is stored in displacement maps (d) 3D iris and eyelid landmarks are annotated (e) The final render

234  
235  
236

Figure 3: Model preparation process

237  
238  
239  
240  
241  
242  
243



Figure 4: Eyelids.

243  
244  
245  
246  
247  
248



Figure 5: Appearance variation from lighting is modelled with poseable high-dynamic-range environment maps [6].

## 3D Landmarks

## Eyelid motion

Create face, eyelash, and landmark blend shapes for eyelids looking up and down.

### 3.3. Lighting

## 4. Experiments

### 4.1. Deformable model

- Evaluate eyelid landmark accuracy on LFW and M-PIE data, compare against several state-of-the-art CLM methods.
  - Evaluate eyelid and iris landmarks on hand-annotated MPII data, compare against a baseline method; major-

- 324 [3] G. Fanelli, J. Gall, and L. Van Gool, “Real time head pose 378  
325 estimation with random regression forests,” in *Computer Vi- 379  
326 sion and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), 2011 IEEE Confer- 380  
327 ence on*. IEEE, 2011, pp. 617–624. 1 381  
328  
329 [4] J. Alabart-i Medina, B. Qu, and S. Zafeiriou, “Statistically 382  
330 learned deformable eye models,” in *Computer Vision-ECCV 383  
331 2014 Workshops*. Springer, 2014, pp. 285–295. 1 384  
332  
333 [5] C. Xiong, L. Huang, and C. Liu, “Gaze estimation based 385  
334 on 3d face structure and pupil centers,” in *Pattern Recog- 386  
335 nition (ICPR), 2014 22nd International Conference on*. IEEE, 387  
336 2014, pp. 1156–1161. 1 388  
337  
338 [6] P. Debevec, “Image-based lighting,” *IEEE Computer Graph- 389  
339 ics and Applications*, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 26–34, 2002. 1, 3 390  
340  
341 [7] K. Ruhland, S. Andrist, J. Badler, C. Peters, N. Badler, 391  
342 M. Gleicher, B. Mutlu, and R. McDonnell, “Look me in the 392  
343 eyes: A survey of eye and gaze animation for virtual agents 393  
344 and artificial systems,” in *Eurographics State-of-the-Art Re- 394  
345 port*, 2014, pp. 69–91. 1 395  
346  
347 [8] V. Orvalho, P. Bastos, F. Parke, B. Oliveira, and X. Alvarez, 396  
348 “A facial rigging survey,” in *in Proc. of the 33rd Annual 397  
349 Conference of the European Association for Computer Graphics- 398  
350 Eurographics*, 2012, pp. 10–32. 2 399  
351  
352 [9] P. Bérard, D. Bradley, M. Nitti, T. Beeler, and M. Gross, 400  
353 “Highquality capture of eyes,” *ACM Transactions on Graph- 401  
354 ics*, vol. 33, no. 6, p. 223, 2014. 2 402  
355  
356 [10] S. Liversedge, I. Gilchrist, and S. Everling, *The Oxford hand- 403  
357 book of eye movements*. Oxford University Press, 2011. 2 404  
358  
359 [11] Pixologic, *ZBrush ZRemesher 2.0 Automatic retopology 405  
360 taken to a new level*, 2015, [http://docs.pixologic.com/user- 407  
362 guide/3d-modeling/topology/zremesher/](http://docs.pixologic.com/user- 406<br/>361 guide/3d-modeling/topology/zremesher/). 2 408  
363  
364 [12] A. Lee, H. Moreton, and H. Hoppe, “Displaced subdivi- 409  
365 sion surfaces,” in *Proceedings of the 27th annual conference 410  
366 on Computer graphics and interactive techniques*. ACM 411  
367 Press/Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., 2000, pp. 85–94. 2 412  
368  
369 [13] The Blender Foundation, *The Shrinkwrap Modifier*, 2015, 413  
370 <http://wiki.blender.org/index.php/Doc:2.6/Manual/Modifiers/Deform/Shrinkwrap>. 414  
371  
372  
373  
374  
375  
376  
377