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1. **What went bad for us in Sprint 3:**

Sprint 3 was overall a pretty good sprint for us. Our biggest issue was an expected one. Gökhan Ünsal didn’t get the approval by the Prüfungsamt and so we definitely have to divide our workload on 5 instead of 6 people. Therefor the time investment for this sprint was definitely higher than we expected at the start of the sprint. We realized this after our researching and preparing for the task. Even though we had less errors / bugs than last week, there is still room for improvement for us.

1. **What went good for us in Sprint 3:**

As already mentioned we think we managed the sprint pretty well and achieved to complete every planned task for this sprint, even though it was pretty time consuming compared to our last sprints. We didn’t had to adjust a lot because of the Prüfungsamt decision, since we were working already from the start as a group of 5. Also we had definitely less errors / bugs than last week which is a good sign.

1. **What could have went better for us in Sprint 3:**

The only things we can think of is the to be aware of the expected time for each task before we start our research. As soon as we break down the tasks we realized we need a bit more time for each task than expected. Also, this will be an ongoing point, we will try to make less errors / bugs while programming to save ourselves time.