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1. **What went bad for us in Sprint 5:**

It was hard to make a presentation that could show all the work we have done over weeks in 15 minutes. We think we did a pretty good job to put in everything we wanted into the presentation. Although it was very hard to define the right use-cases and to find a red thread throughout the presentation. We feel like every single feature may be not interesting for the viewers, although it was a big part while coding.

1. **What went good for us in Sprint 5:**

Distributing Task worked very well in Sprint 5, because we had no new major Task to do. The testing went pretty smoothly and bug-finding/documenting was simple, because every group member communicated the bugs to each other immediately. Bugs were discussed in the group together and everyone shared his experience and knowledge that we gained over the five weeks to fix them.

1. **What could have gone better for us in Sprint 5:**

Coding could have been done cleaner to prevent bugs and making it easier to understand functions for other group members. Also, a lot of work deleting comments and code fragments, we did not use anymore. This also could have been avoided, by coding cleaner and delete the replaced code.