A pre_main function that runs before static initialization that precedes main

Document #: D9999

Date: January 14, 2015

Project: Programming Language C++

Evolution Working Group

Reply-to: Sébastian Davalle

<sebastian.davalle@tallertechnologies.com>

Daniel Gutson

<daniel.gutson@tallertechnologies.com>

Christopher Kormanyos <e_float@yahoo.com>

1 Motivation

Overview

Many systems require user-specific initialization. In particular, deeply embedded environments may have user-specific initialization that should be executed as soon as possible following power-on-reset of the microcontroller. Examples thereof include initialization of I/O ports, watchdog timers, clock systems, *etc.* For these environments, postponing user-specific initialization until main may detract from quality of design.

2 Proposed Solution

This document proposes changes in the standard text reflecting the specification of a new pre_main function that is called prior to static initialization that precedes main.

Modification to the standard text

1. [basic.start.main] Add a new paragraph §3.6.1p6

The pre_main function shall be called prior to *static initialization* (§3.6.2) that precedes main. The linkage (3.5) of pre_main is implementation-defined. The pre_main function is parameter-free. The return value of pre_main is void. The pre_main function lacks side-effects. The mechanism ensuring that pre_main lacks side-effects is implementation-defined.

3 Existing mechanisms and workarounds

We will now investigate existing mechanisms and workarounds that implement a pre_main-style mechanism.

Custom startup code

Many embedded systems developers write custom startup code that supports a kind of pre_main function. For example, we will now look at sample startup code that shows how an implementation could potentially provide a call mechanism for pre_main [1, 2].

```
void __my_startup()
  // Load the sreg register.
  asm volatile("eor r1, r1");
  asm volatile("out 0x3F, r1");
  // Set the stack pointer.
  asm volatile("ldi r28, lo8(__initial_stack_pointer)");
  asm volatile("ldi r29, hi8(__initial_stack_pointer)");
  // Load the stack pointer high and low registers.
  asm volatile("out 0x3E, r29");
  asm volatile ("out 0x3D, r28");
  // A potential call mechanism for pre_main.
  pre_main();
  // Initialize statics from ROM to RAM.
  // Initialize default-initialized static RAM.
  crt::init_ram();
  // Call all ctor initializations.
  crt::init_ctors();
  // Call main (and never return).
  asm volatile("call main");
  // Catch an unexpected return from main.
  for(;;)
    // Replace with a loud error if desired.
    mcal::wdg::secure::trigger();
}
```

This example has been taken from a low-level initialization sequence for a popular 8-bit microcontroller. The code has been compiled and tested with GCC 4.8.1. After setting a CPU register, the stack pointer is initialized. Immediately following stack setup, pre_main is called. Note that pre_main is called prior to static initialization.

Commercially available microcontroller compilers

Some commercially available microcontroller compilers provide a custom hook (in the sense of pre_main) that is called before static initialization that precedes main. The IAR Systems C/C++ compiler and debugger toolchain [3], for instance, uses an implementation-specific function called __low_level_init for this purpose. The user is responsible for supplying the content (if any) of __low_level_init.

4 Future Work

The motivation and justification for a potential pre_main is analogous in C and C++. Specifying pre_main could potentially be addressed in WG14 as well as WG21.

Along these lines, do we need two versions of pre_main? In particular,

```
void ::pre_main(void); // Intended for C/C++
void std::pre_main(); // Intended for C++
```

What is the proper name of a potential pre_main? Is pre_init a better name because it more clearly reflects when the function is called?

Despite the proposal that pre_main is to lack side-effects, it could be beneficial to allow pre_main to initialize certain *clearly identifiable* non-local variables having static storage duration. Embedded systems tool chains for C/C++ typically provide special linker sections with implementation-specific names such as .noinit, .noclear, *etc*. These are meant to store non-local variables having static storage duration that are not intended to undergo static initialization. Attributes such as [[noclear]] or [[noinit]] could be used to clearly identify these.

Consider, for example, the reset_reason in the following code.

```
typedef enum enum_reset_reason
{
   power_on_reset,
   watchdog_reset,
   software_reset
}
reset_reason_type;
[[noclear]] reset_reason_type reset_reason;
```

Here, reset_reason is intended to be initialized by pre_main in the application, not via conventional static initialization.

5 Discussion

TBD: Summarize the discussion regarding when pre_main should be called and why.

TBD: Summarize the discussion regarding the dangers of offering an open user-interface that precedes main. Will users run into inordinate amounts of trouble with this proposed interface?

6 Acknowledgments

TBD: Acknowledge the participants.

7 References

- [1] C. M. Kormanyos, Real-Time C++, Springer Verlag, Heidelberg, 2013.
- [2] C. M. Kormanyos, Companion code for Real-Time C++, real-time-cpp, 2015.
- [3] IAR Systems, IAR Embedded Workbench $^{\circledR}$ C/C++ compiler and debugger toolchain, IAR Systems, 2015.