

GRT Seminar Fa23-Sp24 Notes

September 28, 2023

Abstract

The seminar covers Ben-Zvi–Sakellaridis–Venkatesh, “Relative Langlands Duality.”

Contents

1	8/31 (David Nadler) – ???	1
2	9/7 (Elliot Kienzle) – Hamiltonian G-Spaces and Quantization	1
2.1	Symplectic geometry and quantization	2
2.2	G-Spaces	2
3	9/14 (Elliot Kienzle) – Continued	3
3.1	Symplectic reduction	3
3.2	(David) – Interlude	4
3.3	(Elliot) – A few last words	4
4	9/21 (Mark Macerato) – Hyperspherical Varieties	4
4.1	(David) – Multiplicity-freeness	4
4.2	(Mark) – Towards hyperspherical varieties	5
5	9/28 (Mark Macerato) – Continued	6
5.1	(David) – Groupoids and Hamiltonian G-spaces	6
5.2	(Mark) – Coisotropic G-varieties	6
5.3	Approaching hyperspherical varieties	7

1 8/31 (David Nadler) – ???

I missed this day. If you have good notes from this day, send them to me and I will type them up.

2 9/7 (Elliot Kienzle) – Hamiltonian G-Spaces and Quantization

Elliot’s notes for his talks are available at <https://chessapig.github.io/files/notes/G-spaces.pdf>.

The original Langlands program studies a duality of Lie groups $G \leftrightarrow G^\vee$. Relative Langlands seeks to upgrade this to a duality of Hamiltonian G-actions $(G \curvearrowright M) \leftrightarrow (G^\vee \curvearrowright M^\vee)$. This is proposed for hyperspherical varieties M , of which a typical example is $M = T^*X$ for X a spherical variety.

We can approach and motivate this using quantization. Start by considering the action of G on $L^2(X)$ for X a spherical variety (discussed in an earlier paper of Sakellaridis–Venkatesh discussing “harmonic analysis on spherical varieties”).

2.1 Symplectic geometry and quantization

The original motivation for symplectic geometry comes from classical mechanics. Suppose that we have a particle moving in \mathbb{R}^n . We can capture the data of the position and momentum using the cotangent bundle $T^*\mathbb{R}^n$. By Newton's second law, the time evolution of the particle is described by (the flow along) a vector field on $T^*\mathbb{R}^n$.

We can generalize this to a symplectic manifold (M, ω) , which is a manifold M with a closed, non-degenerate 2-form ω . To make this easier to work with, we can fix a metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on M and write $\omega(x, y) = \langle x, Jy \rangle$ where $J^2 = -1$ (i.e. J^2 is an almost complex structure). We think of J^2 as “multiplication by $-i$.” Given a Hamiltonian $H \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$, we obtain a Hamiltonian vector field $X_H = J\nabla H$. More invariantly, we can define X_H via the formula $\omega(X_H, -) = dH$.

Moving to quantum mechanics, we view a particle in \mathbb{R}^n as a \mathbb{C} -valued function ψ on \mathbb{R}^n (not $T^*\mathbb{R}^n$). In this case, the Hilbert space is $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. A free particle evolves according to Schrödinger's equation:

$$i\dot{\psi} = \Delta\psi.$$

We can summarize the classical and quantum pictures in the following table.

	Classical	Quantum
State Space	Symplectic manifold (M, ω)	Hilbert space \mathcal{H}
Observables	$f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$	Bounded operators $A \in \text{End}(\mathcal{H})$
Evolution	Vector fields X_H for $H \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$	Unitary operators $U(t) = e^{itA}$ for $A \in \text{End}(\mathcal{H})$
Lie Algebra of observables	Poisson bracket $\{f, g\} = X_f(g)$	Commutator $[A, B]$

To obtain a quantum system from a classical system (heuristically), we pass from nonlinear evolution of points in T^*M to linear evolution of functions on M . (This linearity is forced on us by our desire to have superposition of states.) The dream of quantization is, given a symplectic manifold (M, ω) , to construct a Lie algebra homomorphism $(\mathcal{C}^\infty(M), \{, \}) \rightarrow (\text{End}(\mathcal{H}), [,])$ for some Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Unfortunately, this is impossible to do consistently / functorially in general. However, there are some cases in which we can get good answers.

We will focus on geometric quantization, which behaves (loosely) as follows:

- For $M = T^*X$, we obtain $\mathcal{H} = L^2(X)$.
- For M a compact Kähler manifold, we obtain $\mathcal{H} = H^0(M, \mathcal{L})$ for some line bundle \mathcal{L} on M .

2.2 G-Spaces

We want to incorporate symmetries into the previous picture. Suppose G is a compact Lie group / reductive algebraic group (depending on context). We say a symplectic G -space is a symplectic manifold (M, ω) with G -action preserving ω . We can hope to quantize this to a linear representation $G \curvearrowright \mathcal{H}$. (There are subtleties that arise here – for geometric quantization, we would like a G -equivariant polarization.)

In general, it is better to consider Hamiltonian G -actions, where \mathfrak{g} acts by Hamiltonian vector fields. This allows us to construct a moment map $\mu : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ which is equivariant (with respect to the coadjoint action on \mathfrak{g}^*).

Let us start by understanding the coadjoint action $G \curvearrowright \mathfrak{g}^*$ using Kirillov's “orbit method.” For $\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}^*$, consider the coadjoint orbit \mathcal{O}_α . This \mathcal{O}_α turns out to be a symplectic manifold (with “Kirillov-Kostant-Souriau” / “KKS” form) with Hamiltonian G -action, and the moment map $\mathcal{O}_\alpha \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ is just the inclusion.

Example 2.1. Consider $G = \text{SO}(3)$. The coadjoint action is just $\text{SO}(3)$ acting on \mathbb{R}^3 by rotations. Thus the generic orbits are spheres S^2 .

The orbits \mathcal{O}_α will look like generalized flag manifolds, and conversely every generalized flag manifold arises in this way. (This is the first place where our compactness hypothesis comes in).

Proposition 2.2. *A coadjoint orbit \mathcal{O}_α is quantizable if and only if α is in the orbit of an integer point of the root lattice $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{Z}}^* \subset \mathfrak{t}^*$ (viewed as a subspace of \mathfrak{g}^* via the Killing form).*

Example 2.3. Continuing on with our $SO(3)$ example, we see that a symplectic sphere is quantizable if and only if it has integer area.

In these cases, the quantization of \mathcal{O}_α is $H^0(\mathcal{O}_\alpha, \mathcal{L}_\alpha)$ where \mathcal{L}_α is the line bundle corresponding to the character α . By the Borel-Weil theorem, $H^0(\mathcal{O}_\alpha, \mathcal{L}_\alpha)$ is the irrep V_α of G with highest weight \mathcal{L}_α .

We can summarize this in the following table:

Classical	Quantum
Symplectic action $G \curvearrowright M$	Representation $G \curvearrowright \mathcal{H}$
Coadjoint orbit \mathcal{O}_α	Highest weight representation E_α

3 9/14 (Elliot Kienzle) – Continued

3.1 Symplectic reduction

Suppose we have a Hamiltonian action $G \curvearrowright M$. This yields a G -equivariant moment map $\mu : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$, and the image of μ will necessarily be a collection of coadjoint orbits \mathcal{O}_α . We can use these orbits to decompose M .

First consider the orbit $\mathcal{O}_0 = \{0\}$. We note that $\mu^{-1}(0)$ is G -invariant, so we can consider the quotient $\mu^{-1}(0)/G$. We define this to be the *symplectic quotient*: $M//G := \mu^{-1}(0)/G$.

We will assume that 0 is a regular value of the moment map and that G acts on $\mu^{-1}(0)$ freely. We can drop these assumptions if we consider things in a suitable derived / stacky sense.

Theorem 3.1 (Marsden-Weinstein). *The symplectic quotient $M//G$ carries a natural symplectic structure.*

Example 3.2. If X is a (not necessarily symplectic) manifold with a G -action, then $T^*X//G = T^*(X/G)$.

Example 3.3. Let $M = T^*\mathbb{R}^2 \cong \mathbb{C}^2$. This has a $U(1)$ -action via

$$e^{i\theta}(z_1, z_2) = (e^{i\theta}z_1, e^{i\theta}z_2).$$

We can define a (shifted) moment map $\mu : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ via

$$\mu(z_1, z_2) = |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 - 1.$$

Then $\mathbb{C}^2//U(1) = S^3/U(1) = S^2 = \mathbb{P}^1$ (consider the Hopf fibration).

Morally, we should think of every symplectic manifold as a symplectic reduction of a (possibly infinite-dimensional) affine space.

Note that

$$\dim M//G = \dim M - 2 \dim G.$$

The slogan is that “in symplectic geometry, groups act twice.”

Theorem 3.4 (Guillemin-Sternberg, etc.). *The geometric quantization of a symplectic quotient satisfies*

$$\mathcal{H}(M//G) = \mathcal{H}(M)^G,$$

where the right hand side is the subspace of G -invariant vectors in G .

We can also define the symplectic reduction along any coadjoint orbit \mathcal{O}_α as $M//_\alpha G = \mu^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_\alpha)/G$. This gives a decomposition of M as

$$M = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mu(M)} \mu^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_\alpha) = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mu(M)} (G\text{-bundles over } M//_\alpha G),$$

at least if we avoid critical points.

Elliot has some fancy art of this decomposition.

Let's focus on the simplest possible case:

Definition 3.5. A Hamiltonian G -space M is *multiplicity-free* if $\dim M//_\alpha G = 0$ for all α .

Remark 3.6. If M is compact, then a Morse theory argument shows that $M//_{\alpha}G = \text{pt}$ for all α .

Here are some relevant examples.

Example 3.7. For a coadjoint orbit \mathcal{O}_{α} , we have $\mathcal{O}_{\alpha}//_{\alpha}G = \text{pt}$, so coadjoint orbits are multiplicity-free. Here we are ignoring stacky / derived quotients even though the action is typically nonfree.

Example 3.8. Consider \mathbb{P}^1 with $U(1)$ acting by rotation. Then μ is the height function on $\mathbb{P}^1 = S^2$. If the top height is 1 and the bottom height is -1 , then $\mu^{-1}(1)$ and $\mu^{-1}(-1)$ are both points. For any $x \in (-1, 1)$, we have $\mu^{-1}(x) = S^1$ and therefore $\mathbb{P}^1//_x U(1) = \text{pt}$. Thus this action is multiplicity-free.

Example 3.9. Let $U(1)^2$ acts on \mathbb{P}^2 (extending the standard action on $\mathbb{A}^2 \subset \mathbb{P}^2$). The fibers of the moment map over points in the interior of $\mu(M)$ are 2-tori, which degenerate to circles on the boundary lines of $\mu(M)$ and points at the corners of $\mu(M)$.

A non-example is given by the $U(1)$ action on \mathbb{C}^2 from earlier in the lecture. This is an obvious non-example because the dimension of the symplectic quotient is nonzero. The slogan is that “multiplicity-free manifolds have maximal symmetry.”

3.2 (David) – Interlude

For a Lie group G , we have $T^*G = G \times \mathfrak{g}^*$. Consider $G \curvearrowright T^*G$ induced by the adjoint action of G on itself. We obtain a moment map $\mu : G \times \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ given by the formula

$$\mu(g, x) = \text{Ad}_g(x) - x.$$

Then $\mu^{-1}(0) = \{(g, x) \in G \times \mathfrak{g}^* \mid g \in G_x\}$, where G_x is the centralizer of $x \in \mathfrak{g}$.

The multiplicity-freeness property for a general Hamiltonian G -space M can be understood as the requirement that the centralizers G_x act transitively on the preimages $\mu^{-1}(x)$.

It is a good exercise to classify multiplicity-free Hamiltonian G -spaces for $G = U(1)$ or $G = SU(2)$.

3.3 (Elliot) – A few last words

Multiplicity-freeness has a useful consequence for quantization: if M is multiplicity-free, then each highest weight representation E_{α} appears in $\mathcal{H}(M)$ at most once. In fact, E_{α} will appear if and only if $\mathcal{O}_{\alpha} \in \mu(M)$.

We will be interested in hyperspherical varieties as a large family of multiplicity-free symplectic manifolds. More on that next time!

4 9/21 (Mark Macerato) – Hyperspherical Varieties

4.1 (David) – Multiplicity-freeness

There may have been minor errors in the discussion last time, but the basic ideas were right. Suppose for simplicity that T is an *abelian* Lie group, and consider the cotangent bundle $T^*T \cong T \times \mathfrak{t}^*$. The moment map for the translation action of T on itself is the projection $T \times \mathfrak{t}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^*$. This gives a (trivial) family of abelian groups over \mathfrak{t}^* .

If we have another Hamiltonian T -space X , we obtain a moment map $\mu_X : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^*$. We can view our family of abelian groups over \mathfrak{t}^* as acting fiberwise on X . The multiplicity-freeness condition is requiring that the orbits of this action are fiberwise discrete.

This story still works for non-abelian G (but you have to be careful about left versus right actions). In this case, the fiber over $v \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ will be given by the stabilizer G_v .

Example 4.1. We can describe Hamiltonian $U(1)$ -spaces as lying over $\mathfrak{u}(1) \cong \mathbb{R}$. The multiplicity-freeness condition implies that the fibers are (disjoint unions of) copies of S^1 and points. For example, we can consider the height function on the sphere, or the projection of a cylinder $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$, or many related examples – these all give multiplicity-free Hamiltonian $U(1)$ -spaces.

Example 4.2. If we take $G = \mathrm{SU}(2)$, we obtain a similar (but distinct) picture because $\mathfrak{su}(2)/\mathrm{SU}(2) \cong [0, \infty)$ (the $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ -orbits in $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ are spheres). The fibers of $T^*\mathrm{SU}(2) \rightarrow \mathfrak{su}(2)$ are $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ (over 0) and S^1 (over points in $(0, \infty)$). We can analyze multiplicity-free Hamiltonian G -spaces as before.

In general, the left action $G \curvearrowright T^*G$ (via $g \cdot (h, v) = (gh, \mathrm{Ad}_g v)$) is not multiplicity-free. Consider the moment map $T^*G \cong G \times \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ given by projection (this depends on how we trivialize T^*G). For a coadjoint orbit \mathcal{O} , the preimage $\mu^{-1}(\mathcal{O})$ is $G \times \mathcal{O}$. The multiplicity-freeness here reduces to the question of whether the action $G_v \curvearrowright G$ has discrete orbits. This is not true in general (see e.g. the $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ example above), proving the claim.

A later clarification: Really, we should think of $T^*G \rightrightarrows \mathfrak{g}^*$ as a groupoid, where the “source” and “target” maps are μ_L and μ_R (the moment maps for the left / right actions, respectively). Given a groupoid, we can obtain a group scheme (encapsulating the “automorphism groups of points”) as a fiber product, e.g.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{[X, g] = 0\} & \longrightarrow & T^*G \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Delta & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{g}^* \times \mathfrak{g}^*. \end{array}$$

Understanding things from this perspective clears up the difficulties with left / right actions.

Hamiltonian G -spaces ($M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$) will be module objects for this groupoid.

4.2 (Mark) – Towards hyperspherical varieties

We will change settings to algebraic geometry (following section 3 of Ben-Zvi-Sakellaridis-Venkatesh). Fix an algebraically closed field k of characteristic zero (e.g. \mathbb{C} or \mathbb{Q}_ℓ). Let G be a connected reductive group over k .

Recall that a spherical variety is a normal G -variety X such that there exists a Borel subgroup $B \subset G$ with an open orbit in X . We can rephrase the last condition without picking a Borel: we require that G has an open orbit on $X \times \mathrm{Fl}_G$. If X is affine, this is equivalent to requiring that the coordinate ring $k[X]$ is multiplicity-free as a G -module.

Example 4.3 (“Group case”). Let H be a connected reductive group and $G = H \times H$. For $X = H$ and $G \curvearrowright X$ via $(h_1, h_2) \cdot h = h_1 h h_2^{-1}$, H is a spherical variety.

If we fix a Borel $B \subset H$, we have a unipotent subgroup $U \subset B$ and a surjection $B \twoheadrightarrow T = B/U$. By Levi’s theorem, this splits, giving $T \hookrightarrow B \subset G$. We get a vector space decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{u}^- \oplus \mathfrak{t} \oplus \mathfrak{u}$. Consider the open embedding $U^- \times B \rightarrow H$ given by $(u, b) \mapsto ub$. The Borel subgroup $B^- \times B \subset G$ has an open orbit in H . This leads to a Bruhat decomposition $H = \sqcup_{w \in W} BwB$.

We can obtain Bruhat decompositions for more general spherical varieties. This is a rich theory that has been worked out by several authors (Knapp, Brion, etc.). But let’s move on to hyperspherical varieties, which give a symplectic point of view.

Instead of a spherical variety X , let us consider $M = T^*X$ with the moment map $\mu : T^*X \rightarrow M$. For simplicity, we will assume our base spherical variety X is affine, smooth, and irreducible. In this case M is *coisotropic*, which means that the G -invariant function field $k(M)^G$ is Poisson-commutative.

Another way of saying this is as follows. Let $\mathfrak{c} = \mathfrak{g}^* // G \cong \mathfrak{g} // G$ be the “Chevalley space.” Letting $\eta \in M$ be the generic point, we obtain a Stein factorization $M \rightarrow \mathfrak{c}_M \rightarrow \mathfrak{c}$. The map $\tilde{\mu} : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{c}_M$ has connected generic fiber, and $\mathfrak{c}_M \rightarrow \mathfrak{c}$ is finite. The second definition of “coisotropic” is that the group $G_{K(\mathfrak{c}_M)}$ acts on $M_{K(\mathfrak{c}_M)}$ with an open (hence dense) orbit.

Theorem 4.4 (Losev). *If M is a smooth Hamiltonian G -variety, then all of the fibers of $\tilde{\mu} : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{c}_M$ are connected.*¹

A third definition of coisotropic is that the generic G -orbit on M is coisotropic in the usual sense.

“Coisotropic” is the algebraic geometry version of “multiplicity-free.” Elliot gave a discussion of why this recovers the earlier condition in symplectic geometry, but it was a bit too fast to type up.

¹This is the closest analogue in algebraic geometry of the connectedness theorem of Atiyah-Guillemin-Sternberg.

5 9/28 (Mark Macerato) – Continued

5.1 (David) – Groupoids and Hamiltonian G-spaces

Recall the homework problem of classifying multiplicity-free $SU(2)$ -spaces.

The corrected general picture is as follows. Consider the cotangent bundle T^*G with natural Hamiltonian G -actions on the left and right. These yield moment maps $\mu_L, \mu_R : T^*G \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$. If we trivialize $T^*G \cong G \times \mathfrak{g}^*$, these maps are given by $(g, X) \mapsto X$ and $(g, X) \mapsto \text{Ad}_g X$.

We should think of $T^*G \rightrightarrows \mathfrak{g}^*$ as a groupoid. The “objects” are $X \in \mathfrak{g}^*$, and the “morphisms” are $g : X \rightarrow \text{Ad}_g X$. Composition is given by group multiplication.

We may view any Hamiltonian G -space Y (with moment map $\mu : Y \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$) as a module over this groupoid. Specifically, we have a natural map $T^*G \times_{\mathfrak{g}^*} Y \rightarrow Y$, the projection of the fiber product onto the second factor. On elements, this is given by $(g, X, y) \mapsto gy$, which lies in the fiber of Y over $\text{Ad}_g X \in \mathfrak{g}^*$.

Consider the pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{S} & \longrightarrow & T^*G \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{g}^* & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & \mathfrak{g}^* \times \mathfrak{g}^* \end{array}$$

In equation, $\mathcal{S} = \{[g, X] = 0\}$. From the groupoid perspective, $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ is obtained by only considering automorphisms of objects in our original groupoid (i.e. forgetting about isomorphisms between different objects). We can view $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ as the relative group over \mathfrak{g}^* with fibers given by stabilizers $\text{Stab}_G(X)$.

The “multiplicity-free” condition can now be restated: it means that the \mathcal{S} -action on Y relative to \mathfrak{g}^* has only finitely many orbits.

For the exercise about $SU(2)$, we have $\mathfrak{g}^* = \mathbb{R}^3$, and \mathcal{S} has fiber $SU(2)$ over the identity and $U(1)$ over other fibers. We really only care about $\mathfrak{g}^*/SU(2)$, which looks like a real ray $[0, \infty)$. This allows us to produce some examples of multiplicity-free Hamiltonian $SU(2)$ -spaces - these spaces should have maps to $[0, \infty)$ with fibers over $X \in \mathfrak{g}^*/SU(2) \cong [0, \infty)$ looking like (finite disjoint unions of) orbits of $\text{Stab}_{SU(2)}(X)$ -actions.

Example 5.1. The 2-sphere S^2 has multiplicity-free $SU(2)$ -action via the action coming from $SU(2) \rightarrow SO(3)$.

Example 5.2. The standard representation \mathbb{C}^2 has multiplicity-free $SU(2)$ -action.

Example 5.3. The blowup of \mathbb{C}^2 at the origin (with a corrected symplectic form) has multiplicity-free $SU(2)$ -action.

Are these all of the possible examples (up to finite covers)? It would be good to figure this out.

5.2 (Mark) – Coisotropic G-varieties

Recall our setup: G is connected and reductive, and M is a smooth affine Hamiltonian G -variety. We have a moment map $\mu : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$, and we can compose this with a GIT quotient map to get $\bar{\mu} : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{c}_G$, where $\mathfrak{c}_G = \mathfrak{g}^*/G$ is called the Chevalley base. This admits a “Knop factorization”

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{\bar{\mu}} & \mathfrak{c}_G \\ & \searrow \tilde{\mu}_M \quad \nearrow \pi & \\ & \mathfrak{c}_M & \end{array}$$

where π is finite and $\tilde{\mu}_M$ has generically connected fiber.

Definition 5.4. We say that M is *coisotropic* if any of the following equivalent conditions hold.

1. $k(M)^G$ is Poisson-commutative.²
2. The generic orbit of G on M is coisotropic.

²In this setup, we can replace this by the condition that $k[M]^G$ is Poisson commutative, since $\text{Frack}[M]^G = k(M)^G$.

3. The generic fiber of $\tilde{\mu}_M$ has a dense G -orbit.

Let's see why 1 and 2 are equivalent. Choose $f_1, \dots, f_n \in K(M)$ which separate generic orbits (this is possible by a theorem of Rosenlicht). This yields $\underline{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_n) : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$ (for $\mathcal{U} \subset M$ open), and we can restrict this to a surjective smooth map $\mathcal{U}' \rightarrow W$ such that \mathcal{U}' is dense in \mathcal{U} and $W \subset \mathbb{A}^n$ is a locally closed subvariety. Replace \mathcal{U} by \mathcal{U}' . The fibers of \underline{f} are exactly the G -orbits in \mathcal{U} . Therefore, for $x \in \mathcal{U}$, we see that $df_1(x), \dots, df_n(x)$ span the conormal space $T_{\mathcal{U}}^*(G \cdot x)_x$. Thus $G \cdot x$ is coisotropic at x if and only if $T_{\mathcal{U}}^*(G \cdot x)_x$ is isotropic, if and only if the f_1, \dots, f_n Poisson-commute at x .

5.3 Approaching hyperspherical varieties

Suppose that M is a smooth affine Hamiltonian G -variety as before. We will also require that M comes with a \mathbb{G}_m -action (equivalently, a grading on $k[M]$) such that

1. The \mathbb{G}_m -action on M commutes with the G -action.
2. The symplectic form ω on M has weight 2, i.e. $\lambda \cdot \omega = \lambda^2 \omega$.

David noted that this latter condition implies that ω is exact: if v is the vector field generating the \mathbb{G}_m -action, then Cartan's magic formula (using that ω is closed) gives

$$2\omega = \mathcal{L}_v \omega = d(i_v \omega).$$

The 2 here is needed to ensure that we can construct a " \mathbb{G}_m -equivariant Kostant slice."

We want to define what it means for M to be hyperspherical. The first condition will be that M is coisotropic.

The second condition is that $\mu(M) \subset \mathfrak{g}^*$ meets the nilpotent cone $\mathcal{N}_G = \chi^{-1}(0)$ (for $\chi : \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*//G$). Equivalently, $\bar{\mu}(M)$ contains $0 \in \mathfrak{c}_G$. This implies that $M//G \rightarrow \mathfrak{c}_M$ is surjective (it is always an open immersion, so we get $M//G = \mathfrak{c}_M$). There will be two more conditions (which we will discuss next time).