

GRT Seminar Fa23-Sp24 Notes

October 20, 2023

Abstract

The seminar covers Ben-Zvi–Sakellaridis–Venkatesh, “Relative Langlands Duality.”

Contents

1	8/31 (David Nadler) – ???	2
2	9/7 (Elliot Kienzle) – Hamiltonian G-Spaces and Quantization	2
2.1	Symplectic geometry and quantization	2
2.2	G-Spaces	2
3	9/14 (Elliot Kienzle) – Continued	3
3.1	Symplectic reduction	3
3.2	(David) – Interlude	4
3.3	(Elliot) – A few last words	4
4	9/21 (Mark Macerato) – Hyperspherical Varieties	5
4.1	(David) – Multiplicity-freeness	5
4.2	(Mark) – Towards hyperspherical varieties	5
5	9/28 (Mark Macerato) – Continued	6
5.1	(David) – Groupoids and Hamiltonian G-spaces	6
5.2	(Mark) – Coisotropic G-varieties	7
5.3	Approaching hyperspherical varieties	7
6	10/5 (Mark Macerato) – Continued	7
6.1	Pre-hyperspherical varieties	7
6.2	(David) – Weinstein manifolds	8
6.3	(Mark) – Hyperspherical varieties	9
7	10/12 (Mark Macerato) – Continued	9
7.1	Refresher on the definition	9
7.2	(David) – More on shearing	10
7.3	(Mark) – A construction	10
8	10/19 (Mark Macerato) – Concluded	10
8.1	Hamiltonian induction	10
8.2	(David) – The groupoid picture	11
8.3	(Mark) – Sheared Hamiltonian G-spaces	11
8.4	Whittaker induction	12

1 8/31 (David Nadler) – ???

I missed this day. If you have good notes from this day, send them to me and I will type them up.

2 9/7 (Elliot Kienzle) – Hamiltonian G-Spaces and Quantization

Elliot’s notes for his talks are available at <https://chessapig.github.io/files/notes/G-spaces.pdf>.

The original Langlands program studies a duality of Lie groups $G \leftrightarrow G^\vee$. Relative Langlands seeks to upgrade this to a duality of Hamiltonian G -actions $(G \curvearrowright M) \leftrightarrow (G^\vee \curvearrowright M^\vee)$. This is proposed for hyperspherical varieties M , of which a typical example is $M = T^*X$ for X a spherical variety.

We can approach and motivate this using quantization. Start by considering the action of G on $L^2(X)$ for X a spherical variety (discussed in an earlier paper of Sakellaridis-Venkatesh discussing “harmonic analysis on spherical varieties”).

2.1 Symplectic geometry and quantization

The original motivation for symplectic geometry comes from classical mechanics. Suppose that we have a particle moving in \mathbb{R}^n . We can capture the data of the position and momentum using the cotangent bundle $T^*\mathbb{R}^n$. By Newton’s second law, the time evolution of the particle is described by (the flow along) a vector field on $T^*\mathbb{R}^n$.

We can generalize this to a symplectic manifold (M, ω) , which is a manifold M with a closed, non-degenerate 2-form ω . To make this easier to work with, we can fix a metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on M and write $\omega(x, y) = \langle x, Jy \rangle$ where $J^2 = -1$ (i.e. J^2 is an almost complex structure). We think of J^2 as “multiplication by $-i$.” Given a Hamiltonian $H \in C^\infty(M)$, we obtain a Hamiltonian vector field $X_H = J\nabla H$. More invariantly, we can define X_H via the formula $\omega(X_H, -) = dH$.

Moving to quantum mechanics, we view a particle in \mathbb{R}^n as a \mathbb{C} -valued function ψ on \mathbb{R}^n (not $T^*\mathbb{R}^n$). In this case, the Hilbert space is $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. A free particle evolves according to Schrödinger’s equation:

$$i\dot{\psi} = \Delta\psi.$$

We can summarize the classical and quantum pictures in the following table.

	Classical	Quantum
State Space	Symplectic manifold (M, ω)	Hilbert space \mathcal{H}
Observables	$f \in C^\infty(M)$	Bounded operators $A \in \text{End}(\mathcal{H})$
Evolution	Vector fields X_H for $H \in C^\infty(M)$	Unitary operators $U(t) = e^{itA}$ for $A \in \text{End}(\mathcal{H})$
Lie Algebra of observables	Poisson bracket $\{f, g\} = X_f(g)$	Commutator $[A, B]$

To obtain a quantum system from a classical system (heuristically), we pass from nonlinear evolution of points in T^*M to linear evolution of functions on M . (This linearity is forced on us by our desire to have superposition of states.) The dream of quantization is, given a symplectic manifold (M, ω) , to construct a Lie algebra homomorphism $(C^\infty(M), \{, \}) \rightarrow (\text{End}(\mathcal{H}), [,])$ for some Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Unfortunately, this is impossible to do consistently / functorially in general. However, there are some cases in which we can get good answers.

We will focus on geometric quantization, which behaves (loosely) as follows:

- For $M = T^*X$, we obtain $\mathcal{H} = L^2(X)$.
- For M a compact Kähler manifold, we obtain $\mathcal{H} = H^0(M, \mathcal{L})$ for some line bundle \mathcal{L} on M .

2.2 G-Spaces

We want to incorporate symmetries into the previous picture. Suppose G is a compact Lie group / reductive algebraic group (depending on context). We say a symplectic G -space is a symplectic manifold (M, ω) with

G -action preserving ω . We can hope to quantize this to a linear representation $G \curvearrowright \mathcal{H}$. (There are subtleties that arise here – for geometric quantization, we would like a G -equivariant polarization.)

In general, it is better to consider Hamiltonian G -actions, where \mathfrak{g} acts by Hamiltonian vector fields. This allows us to construct a moment map $\mu : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ which is equivariant (with respect to the coadjoint action on \mathfrak{g}^*).

Let us start by understanding the coadjoint action $G \curvearrowright \mathfrak{g}^*$ using Kirillov’s “orbit method.” For $\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}^*$, consider the coadjoint orbit \mathcal{O}_α . This \mathcal{O}_α turns out to be a symplectic manifold (with “Kirillov-Kostant-Souriau” / “KKS” form) with Hamiltonian G -action, and the moment map $\mathcal{O}_\alpha \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ is just the inclusion.

Example 2.1. Consider $G = \mathrm{SO}(3)$. The coadjoint action is just $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ acting on \mathbb{R}^3 by rotations. Thus the generic orbits are spheres S^2 .

The orbits \mathcal{O}_α will look like generalized flag manifolds, and conversely every generalized flag manifold arises in this way. (This is the first place where our compactness hypothesis comes in).

Proposition 2.2. *A coadjoint orbit \mathcal{O}_α is quantizable if and only if α is in the orbit of an integer point of the root lattice $\mathfrak{t}_\mathbb{Z}^* \subset \mathfrak{t}^*$ (viewed as a subspace of \mathfrak{g}^* via the Killing form).*

Example 2.3. Continuing on with our $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ example, we see that a symplectic sphere is quantizable if and only if it has integer area.

In these cases, the quantization of \mathcal{O}_α is $H^0(\mathcal{O}_\alpha, \mathcal{L}_\alpha)$ where \mathcal{L}_α is the line bundle corresponding to the character α . By the Borel-Weil theorem, $H^0(\mathcal{O}_\alpha, \mathcal{L}_\alpha)$ is the irrep V_α of G with highest weight \mathcal{L}_α .

We can summarize this in the following table:

Classical	Quantum
Symplectic action $G \curvearrowright M$	Representation $G \curvearrowright \mathcal{H}$
Coadjoint orbit \mathcal{O}_α	Highest weight representation E_α

3 9/14 (Elliot Kienzle) – Continued

3.1 Symplectic reduction

Suppose we have a Hamiltonian action $G \curvearrowright M$. This yields a G -equivariant moment map $\mu : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$, and the image of μ will necessarily be a collection of coadjoint orbits \mathcal{O}_α . We can use these orbits to decompose M .

First consider the orbit $\mathcal{O}_0 = \{0\}$. We note that $\mu^{-1}(0)$ is G -invariant, so we can consider the quotient $\mu^{-1}(0)/G$. We define this to be the *symplectic quotient*: $M//G := \mu^{-1}(0)/G$.

We will assume that 0 is a regular value of the moment map and that G acts on $\mu^{-1}(0)$ freely. We can drop these assumptions if we consider things in a suitable derived / stacky sense.

Theorem 3.1 (Marsden-Weinstein). *The symplectic quotient $M//G$ carries a natural symplectic structure.*

Example 3.2. If X is a (not necessarily symplectic) manifold with a G -action, then $T^*X//G = T^*(X/G)$.

Example 3.3. Let $M = T^*\mathbb{R}^2 \cong \mathbb{C}^2$. This has a $U(1)$ -action via

$$e^{i\theta}(z_1, z_2) = (e^{i\theta}z_1, e^{i\theta}z_2).$$

We can define a (shifted) moment map $\mu : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ via

$$\mu(z_1, z_2) = |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 - 1.$$

Then $\mathbb{C}^2//U(1) = S^3/U(1) = S^2 = \mathbb{P}^1$ (consider the Hopf fibration).

Morally, we should think of every symplectic manifold as a symplectic reduction of a (possibly infinite-dimensional) affine space.

Note that

$$\dim M//G = \dim M - 2 \dim G.$$

The slogan is that “in symplectic geometry, groups act twice.”

Theorem 3.4 (Guillemin-Sternberg, etc.). *The geometric quantization of a symplectic quotient satisfies*

$$\mathcal{H}(M//G) = \mathcal{H}(M)^G,$$

where the right hand side is the subspace of G -invariant vectors in G .

We can also define the symplectic reduction along any coadjoint orbit \mathcal{O}_α as $M//_\alpha G = \mu^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_\alpha)/G$. This gives a decomposition of M as

$$M = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mu(M)} \mu^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_\alpha) = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mu(M)} (G\text{-bundles over } M//_\alpha G),$$

at least if we avoid critical points.

Elliot has some fancy art of this decomposition.

Let's focus on the simplest possible case:

Definition 3.5. A Hamiltonian G -space M is *multiplicity-free* if $\dim M//_\alpha G = 0$ for all α .

Remark 3.6. If M is compact, then a Morse theory argument shows that $M//_\alpha G = \text{pt}$ for all α .

Here are some relevant examples.

Example 3.7. For a coadjoint orbit \mathcal{O}_α , we have $\mathcal{O}_\alpha//_\alpha G = \text{pt}$, so coadjoint orbits are multiplicity-free. Here we are ignoring stacky / derived quotients even though the action is typically nonfree.

Example 3.8. Consider \mathbb{P}^1 with $U(1)$ acting by rotation. Then μ is the height function on $\mathbb{P}^1 = S^2$. If the top height is 1 and the bottom height is -1 , then $\mu^{-1}(1)$ and $\mu^{-1}(-1)$ are both points. For any $x \in (-1, 1)$, we have $\mu^{-1}(x) = S^1$ and therefore $\mathbb{P}^1//_x U(1) = \text{pt}$. Thus this action is multiplicity-free.

Example 3.9. Let $U(1)^2$ acts on \mathbb{P}^2 (extending the standard action on $\mathbb{A}^2 \subset \mathbb{P}^2$). The fibers of the moment map over points in the interior of $\mu(M)$ are 2-tori, which degenerate to circles on the boundary lines of $\mu(M)$ and points at the corners of $\mu(M)$.

A non-example is given by the $U(1)$ action on \mathbb{C}^2 from earlier in the lecture. This is an obvious non-example because the dimension of the symplectic quotient is nonzero. The slogan is that “multiplicity-free manifolds have maximal symmetry.”

3.2 (David) – Interlude

For a Lie group G , we have $T^*G = G \times \mathfrak{g}^*$. Consider $G \curvearrowright T^*G$ induced by the adjoint action of G on itself. We obtain a moment map $\mu : G \times \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ given by the formula

$$\mu(g, x) = \text{Ad}_g(x) - x.$$

Then $\mu^{-1}(0) = \{(g, x) \in G \times \mathfrak{g}^* \mid g \in G_x\}$, where G_x is the centralizer of $x \in \mathfrak{g}$.

The multiplicity-freeness property for a general Hamiltonian G -space M can be understood as the requirement that the centralizers G_x act transitively on the preimages $\mu^{-1}(x)$.

It is a good exercise to classify multiplicity-free Hamiltonian G -spaces for $G = U(1)$ or $G = SU(2)$.

3.3 (Elliot) – A few last words

Multiplicity-freeness has a useful consequence for quantization: if M is multiplicity-free, then each highest weight representation E_α appears in $\mathcal{H}(M)$ at most once. In fact, E_α will appear if and only if $\mathcal{O}_\alpha \in \mu(M)$.

We will be interested in hyperspherical varieties as a large family of multiplicity-free symplectic manifolds. More on that next time!

4 9/21 (Mark Macerato) – Hyperspherical Varieties

4.1 (David) – Multiplicity-freeness

There may have been minor errors in the discussion last time, but the basic ideas were right. Suppose for simplicity that T is an *abelian* Lie group, and consider the cotangent bundle $T^*T \cong T \times \mathfrak{t}^*$. The moment map for the translation action of T on itself is the projection $T \times \mathfrak{t}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^*$. This gives a (trivial) family of abelian groups over \mathfrak{t}^* .

If we have another Hamiltonian T -space X , we obtain a moment map $\mu_X : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^*$. We can view our family of abelian groups over \mathfrak{t}^* as acting fiberwise on X . The multiplicity-freeness condition is requiring that the orbits of this action are fiberwise discrete.

This story still works for non-abelian G (but you have to be careful about left versus right actions). In this case, the fiber over $v \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ will be given by the stabilizer G_v .

Example 4.1. We can describe Hamiltonian $U(1)$ -spaces as lying over $\mathfrak{u}(1) \cong \mathbb{R}$. The multiplicity-freeness condition implies that the fibers are (disjoint unions of) copies of S^1 and points. For example, we can consider the height function on the sphere, or the projection of a cylinder $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$, or many related examples – these all give multiplicity-free Hamiltonian $U(1)$ -spaces.

Example 4.2. If we take $G = SU(2)$, we obtain a similar (but distinct) picture because $\mathfrak{su}(2)/SU(2) \cong [0, \infty)$ (the $SU(2)$ -orbits in $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ are spheres). The fibers of $T^*SU(2) \rightarrow \mathfrak{su}(2)$ are $SU(2)$ (over 0) and S^1 (over points in $(0, \infty)$). We can analyze multiplicity-free Hamiltonian G -spaces as before.

In general, the left action $G \curvearrowright T^*G$ (via $g \cdot (h, v) = (gh, \text{Ad}_g v)$) is not multiplicity-free. Consider the moment map $T^*G \cong G \times \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ given by projection (this depends on how we trivialize T^*G). For a coadjoint orbit \mathcal{O} , the preimage $\mu^{-1}(\mathcal{O})$ is $G \times \mathcal{O}$. The multiplicity-freeness here reduces to the question of whether the action $G_v \curvearrowright G$ has discrete orbits. This is not true in general (see e.g. the $SU(2)$ example above), proving the claim.

A later clarification: Really, we should think of $T^*G \rightrightarrows \mathfrak{g}^*$ as a groupoid, where the “source” and “target” maps are μ_L and μ_R (the moment maps for the left / right actions, respectively). Given a groupoid, we can obtain a group scheme (encapsulating the “automorphism groups of points”) as a fiber product, e.g.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{[X, g] = 0\} & \longrightarrow & T^*G \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Delta & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{g}^* \times \mathfrak{g}^*. \end{array}$$

Understanding things from this perspective clears up the difficulties with left / right actions.

Hamiltonian G -spaces $(M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*)$ will be module objects for this groupoid.

4.2 (Mark) – Towards hyperspherical varieties

We will change settings to algebraic geometry (following section 3 of Ben-Zvi-Sakellaridis-Venkatesh). Fix an algebraically closed field k of characteristic zero (e.g. \mathbb{C} or $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$). Let G be a connected reductive group over k .

Recall that a spherical variety is a normal G -variety X such that there exists a Borel subgroup $B \subset G$ with an open orbit in X . We can rephrase the last condition without picking a Borel: we require that G has an open orbit on $X \times \text{Fl}_G$. If X is affine, this is equivalent to requiring that the coordinate ring $k[X]$ is multiplicity-free as a G -module.

Example 4.3 (“Group case”). Let H be a connected reductive group and $G = H \times H$. For $X = H$ and $G \curvearrowright X$ via $(h_1, h_2) \cdot h = h_1 h h_2^{-1}$, H is a spherical variety.

If we fix a Borel $B \subset H$, we have a unipotent subgroup $U \subset B$ and a surjection $B \twoheadrightarrow T = B/U$. By Levi’s theorem, this splits, giving $T \hookrightarrow B \subset G$. We get a vector space decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{u}^- \oplus \mathfrak{t} \oplus \mathfrak{u}$. Consider the open embedding $U^- \times B \rightarrow H$ given by $(u, b) \mapsto ub$. The Borel subgroup $B^- \times B \subset G$ has an open orbit in H . This leads to a Bruhat decomposition $H = \sqcup_{w \in W} BwB$.

We can obtain Bruhat decompositions for more general spherical varieties. This is a rich theory that has been worked out by several authors (Knapp, Brion, etc.). But let's move on to hyperspherical varieties, which give a symplectic point of view.

Instead of a spherical variety X , let us consider $M = T^*X$ with the moment map $\mu : T^*X \rightarrow M$. For simplicity, we will assume our base spherical variety X is affine, smooth, and irreducible. In this case M is *coisotropic*, which means that the G -invariant function field $k(M)^G$ is Poisson-commutative.

Another way of saying this is as follows. Let $\mathfrak{c} = \mathfrak{g}^*/G \cong \mathfrak{g}/G$ be the “Chevalley space.” Letting $\eta \in M$ be the generic point, we obtain a Stein factorization $M \rightarrow \mathfrak{c}_M \rightarrow \mathfrak{c}$. The map $\tilde{\mu} : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{c}_M$ has connected generic fiber, and $\mathfrak{c}_M \rightarrow \mathfrak{c}$ is finite. The second definition of “coisotropic” is that the group $G_{K(\mathfrak{c}_M)}$ acts on $M_{K(\mathfrak{c}_M)}$ with an open (hence dense) orbit.

Theorem 4.4 (Losev). *If M is a smooth Hamiltonian G -variety, then all of the fibers of $\tilde{\mu} : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{c}_M$ are connected.*¹

A third definition of coisotropic is that the generic G -orbit on M is coisotropic in the usual sense.

“Coisotropic” is the algebraic geometry version of “multiplicity-free.” Elliot gave a discussion of why this recovers the earlier condition in symplectic geometry, but it was a bit too fast to type up.

5 9/28 (Mark Macerato) – Continued

5.1 (David) – Groupoids and Hamiltonian G -spaces

Recall the homework problem of classifying multiplicity-free $SU(2)$ -spaces.

The corrected general picture is as follows. Consider the cotangent bundle T^*G with natural Hamiltonian G -actions on the left and right. These yield moment maps $\mu_L, \mu_R : T^*G \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$. If we trivialize $T^*G \cong G \times \mathfrak{g}^*$, these maps are given by $(g, X) \mapsto X$ and $(g, X) \mapsto \text{Ad}_g X$.

We should think of $T^*G \rightrightarrows \mathfrak{g}^*$ as a groupoid. The “objects” are $X \in \mathfrak{g}^*$, and the “morphisms” are $g : X \rightarrow \text{Ad}_g X$. Composition is given by group multiplication.

We may view any Hamiltonian G -space Y (with moment map $\mu : Y \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$) as a module over this groupoid. Specifically, we have a natural map $T^*G \times_{\mathfrak{g}^*} Y \rightarrow Y$, the projection of the fiber product onto the second factor. On elements, this is given by $(g, X, y) \mapsto gy$, which lies in the fiber of Y over $\text{Ad}_g X \in \mathfrak{g}^*$.

Consider the pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{S} & \longrightarrow & T^*G \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{g}^* & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & \mathfrak{g}^* \times \mathfrak{g}^*. \end{array}$$

In equation, $\mathcal{S} = \{[g, X] = 0\}$. From the groupoid perspective, $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ is obtained by only considering automorphisms of objects in our original groupoid (i.e. forgetting about isomorphisms between different objects). We can view $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ as the relative group over \mathfrak{g}^* with fibers given by stabilizers $\text{Stab}_G(X)$.

The “multiplicity-free” condition can now be restated: it means that the \mathcal{S} -action on Y relative to \mathfrak{g}^* has only finitely many orbits.

For the exercise about $SU(2)$, we have $\mathfrak{g}^* = \mathbb{R}^3$, and \mathcal{S} has fiber $SU(2)$ over the identity and $U(1)$ over other fibers. We really only care about $\mathfrak{g}^*/SU(2)$, which looks like a real ray $[0, \infty)$. This allows us to produce some examples of multiplicity-free Hamiltonian $SU(2)$ -spaces - these spaces should have maps to $[0, \infty)$ with fibers over $X \in \mathfrak{g}^*/SU(2) \cong [0, \infty)$ looking like (finite disjoint unions of) orbits of $\text{Stab}_{SU(2)}(X)$ -actions.

Example 5.1. The 2-sphere S^2 has multiplicity-free $SU(2)$ -action via the action coming from $SU(2) \rightarrow SO(3)$.

Example 5.2. The standard representation \mathbb{C}^2 has multiplicity-free $SU(2)$ -action.

Example 5.3. The blowup of \mathbb{C}^2 at the origin (with a corrected symplectic form) has multiplicity-free $SU(2)$ -action.

Are these all of the possible examples (up to finite covers)? It would be good to figure this out.

¹This is the closest analogue in algebraic geometry of the connectedness theorem of Atiyah-Guillemin-Sternberg.

5.2 (Mark) – Coisotropic G -varieties

Recall our setup: G is connected and reductive, and M is a smooth affine Hamiltonian G -variety. We have a moment map $\mu : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$, and we can compose this with a GIT quotient map to get $\bar{\mu} : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{c}_G$, where $\mathfrak{c}_G = \mathfrak{g}^* // G$ is called the Chevalley base. This admits a “Knop factorization”

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{\bar{\mu}} & \mathfrak{c}_G \\ & \searrow \tilde{\mu}_M \quad \nearrow \pi & \\ & \mathfrak{c}_M & \end{array}$$

where π is finite and $\tilde{\mu}_M$ has generically connected fiber.

Definition 5.4. We say that M is *coisotropic* if any of the following equivalent conditions hold.

1. $k(M)^G$ is Poisson-commutative.²
2. The generic orbit of G on M is coisotropic.
3. The generic fiber of $\tilde{\mu}_M$ has a dense G -orbit.

Let’s see why 1 and 2 are equivalent. Choose $f_1, \dots, f_n \in K(M)$ which separate generic orbits (this is possible by a theorem of Rosenlicht). This yields $\underline{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_n) : U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$ (for $U \subset M$ open), and we can restrict this to a surjective smooth map $U' \rightarrow W$ such that U' is dense in U and $W \subset \mathbb{A}^n$ is a locally closed subvariety. Replace U by U' . The fibers of \underline{f} are exactly the G -orbits in U . Therefore, for $x \in U$, we see that $df_1(x), \dots, df_n(x)$ span the conormal space $T_U^*(G \cdot x)_x$. Thus $G \cdot x$ is coisotropic at x if and only if $T_U^*(G \cdot x)_x$ is isotropic, if and only if the f_1, \dots, f_n Poisson-commute at x .

5.3 Approaching hyperspherical varieties

Suppose that M is a smooth affine Hamiltonian G -variety as before. We will also require that M comes with a \mathbb{G}_m -action (equivalently, a grading on $k[M]$) such that

1. The \mathbb{G}_m -action on M commutes with the G -action.
2. The symplectic form ω on M has weight 2, i.e. $\lambda \cdot \omega = \lambda^2 \omega$.

David noted that this latter condition implies that ω is exact: if v is the vector field generating the \mathbb{G}_m -action, then Cartan’s magic formula (using that ω is closed) gives

$$2\omega = \mathcal{L}_v \omega = d(i_v \omega).$$

The 2 here is needed to ensure that we can construct a “ \mathbb{G}_m -equivariant Kostant slice.”

We want to define what it means for M to be hyperspherical. The first condition will be that M is coisotropic.

The second condition is that $\mu(M) \subset \mathfrak{g}^*$ meets the nilpotent cone $\mathcal{N}_G = \chi^{-1}(0)$ (for $\chi : \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^* // G$). Equivalently, $\bar{\mu}(M)$ contains $0 \in \mathfrak{c}_G$. This implies that $M // G \rightarrow \mathfrak{c}_M$ is surjective (it is always an open immersion, so we get $M // G = \mathfrak{c}_M$). There will be two more conditions (which we will discuss next time).

6 10/5 (Mark Macerato) – Continued

6.1 Pre-hyperspherical varieties

Let G be a connected reductive group and $G_{\text{gr}} = G \times \mathbb{G}_m$. We consider a smooth affine Hamiltonian G -variety with auxiliary \mathbb{G}_m -action governing the grading. This yields a map $M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{c}_G$, which has a Knop factorization $M \rightarrow \mathfrak{c}_M \rightarrow \mathfrak{c}_G$. Here $\mathbb{G}_m \curvearrowright \mathfrak{g}^*$ quadratically, and the map $M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ is \mathbb{G}_m -equivariant.

²In this setup, we can replace this by the condition that $k[M]^G$ is Poisson commutative, since $\text{Frack}[M]^G = k(M)^G$.

Definition 6.1. We say that M is *pre-hyperspherical* if

1. M is coisotropic, i.e. $k(M)^G$ is Poisson commutative (equivalently, the generic fiber of $M \rightarrow \mathfrak{c}_M$ has a dense G -orbit),
2. $\mu(M) \cap \mathcal{N}_G \neq \emptyset$ (for \mathcal{N}_G the nilpotent cone of G), and
3. The stabilizer of a generic point of M is connected.

Example 6.2. Let $G = \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}$ and $M = \mathbb{C}^{2n} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{2n}$. Here $\mu_M : \mathbb{C}^{2n} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{2n} \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{2n} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{2n}) // \mathrm{Sp}_{2n} \cong \mathbb{A}^1$ via $(v, w) \mapsto \omega(v, w)$. Thus

$$\mu_M^{-1}(1) = \{(v, w) \in \mathbb{C}^{2n} \mid \omega(v, w) = 1\},$$

and Sp_{2n} acts transitively on this fiber. Meanwhile, $\mu^{-1}(0)$ can be decomposed as:

$$\mu^{-1}(0) = \{(v, w) \mid v, w \text{ lin. ind. } \omega(v, w) = 0\} \cup \{(v, w) \mid v, w \text{ lin. dep., not both } 0\} \cup \{(0, 0)\}.$$

The first set here is the unique open orbit, and the last set is the unique closed orbit. The middle set contains a \mathbb{P}^1 worth of orbits. In particular, $\mu(M)$ meets \mathcal{N}_G . The stabilizer of a generic point of M can be identified with Sp_{2n-2} .

Proposition 6.3. *In general, if M is pre-hyperspherical, there exists a unique closed orbit $M_0 \subset M$ for $G_{\mathrm{gr}} = G \times G_{\mathrm{m}}$.*

We call M_0 the *core* of M .

Proof. Consider the GIT quotient $M \rightarrow M // G_{\mathrm{gr}}$, and recall that closed orbits of G_{gr} correspond to points of $M // G_{\mathrm{gr}}$. Thus it suffices to show that $M // G_{\mathrm{gr}} = \mathrm{pt}$, or equivalently $k[M]^{G \times G_{\mathrm{m}}} = k$. Note

$$k[M]^{G \times G_{\mathrm{m}}} = k[\mathfrak{c}_M]^{G_{\mathrm{m}}} = k[\mathfrak{c}_M]_0,$$

the weight 0 component. By construction, $k[\mathfrak{c}_G] \rightarrow k[\mathfrak{c}_M]$ is finite (and therefore integral), so $k[\mathfrak{c}_M]_0 \rightarrow k[\mathfrak{c}_G]_0$ is integral (an exercise in counting degrees). Now we have

$$k[\mathfrak{c}_G]_0 = k[\mathfrak{c}_G]^{G_{\mathrm{m}}} = k[\mathfrak{g}^*]^{G \times G_{\mathrm{m}}} = k,$$

so $k[\mathfrak{c}_M]_0$ is integral over k . Since k is algebraically closed, we see $k[\mathfrak{c}_M]_0 = k$. \square

6.2 (David) – Weinstein manifolds

Suppose we have an exact symplectic manifold $(M, \omega = d\lambda)$. Take $Z = \omega^{-1}(\lambda)$ (this is a vector field on M). Then Z gives a flow on M , and the core M_0 is the subset of points of M which do not escape to infinity along this flow. Assuming M_0 is isotropic (this is implied by the Weinstein condition), the flow gives an action of \mathbb{C}^\times on M_0 .

Example 6.4. Consider the surface singularity $x^2 + y^2 + z^{n+1} = 0$. Let M be a symplectic resolution of this. The core M_0 is the chain of \mathbb{P}^1 's appearing as the zero fiber. In terms of geometric representation theory, we can call M_0 a “subregular Springer fiber.”

Suppose M is G -Hamiltonian – then we are in a situation very similar to what Mark is talking about. That is, *pre-hyperspherical varieties are analogous to G -Hamiltonian Weinstein manifolds*. This precludes examples like the above, since the union of \mathbb{P}^1 's cannot be a single G -orbit. This fact is essentially kin to the last Proposition.

Example 6.5. Consider $G \times G$ acting on $M = T^*G$ by left and right translation. The core M_0 is the zero section.

6.3 (Mark) – Hyperspherical varieties

Let $\mu_M : M \rightarrow M//G$ be the GIT quotient map.

Proposition 6.6. *The core M_0 is the unique closed G -orbit in $\mu_M^{-1}(0)$.*

Proof. Note that G has a unique closed orbit $M'_0 \subset \mu_M^{-1}(0)$ (by standard GIT). Since \mathbb{G}_m commutes with G , the \mathbb{G}_m action takes closed G -orbits to closed G -orbits. Therefore \mathbb{G}_m preserves M'_0 , and we get $G \times \mathbb{G}_m \curvearrowright M'_0$. It follows that M'_0 contains a closed G_{gr} orbit, hence contains M_0 . But M'_0 is itself a G -orbit, so $M_0 = M'_0$. \square

Pick $x \in M_0$, and let $H = \text{Stab}_G(x)$, so $M_0 = G/H$. Since M_0 is affine, H must be reductive. Since $\mu_M^{-1}(0)$ maps to $\mathcal{N}_G \subset \mathfrak{g}^*$, we get an element $f = \mu(x) \in \mathcal{N}_G$.

Because $\mathbb{G}_m \curvearrowright M_0 = G/H$, we get a homomorphism $\mathbb{G}_M \rightarrow \text{Aut}_G(G/H) \cong N_G(H)/H$. In fact, this factors through $(Z_G(H)/Z(H))^0$ (where 0 denotes the connected component of the identity element). Let $\bar{\pi} : \mathbb{G}_M \rightarrow Z_G(H)/Z(H)$ be the induced map.

Definition 6.7. A pre-hyperspherical variety M is hyperspherical if

1. $\bar{\pi}$ lifts to a homomorphism $\pi : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow Z_G(H) \subset G$, which moreover lifts to a homomorphism $\rho : \text{SL}_2 \rightarrow G$ such that

$$d\rho \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = f$$

under the standard identification $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{g}^*$.

2. Consider the *sheared \mathbb{G}_m -action* $(\mathbb{G}_m)_{\text{sh}} \curvearrowright M$ induced by

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbb{G}_m)_{\text{sh}} &\rightarrow G \times \mathbb{G}_m \\ g &\mapsto (\pi(g)^{-1}, g). \end{aligned}$$

By construction, $(\mathbb{G}_m)_{\text{sh}}$ fixes x , and thus we get $(\mathbb{G}_m)_{\text{sh}} \curvearrowright (T_x M_0)^\perp / (T_x M_0 \cap T_x M_0^\perp) := N_x M_0$, the *symplectic normal space*. The condition is that this $(\mathbb{G}_m)_{\text{sh}}$ -action on $N_x M_0$ is given by linear scaling.

7 10/12 (Mark Macerato) – Continued

7.1 Refresher on the definition

Recall that M is a smooth affine graded Hamiltonian G -variety with moment map $\mu : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$. The grading means that we have a \mathbb{G}_m action (acting on ω_M with weight 2) such that the G and \mathbb{G}_m actions commute. Therefore μ is \mathbb{G}_m -equivariant, where \mathbb{G}_m acts on \mathfrak{g}^* with weight 2.

Recall that we say M is pre-hyperspherical if:

1. M is coisotropic (i.e. $k(M)^G$ is commutative, equivalently generic G -orbits are cut out by Poisson-commuting G -invariant functions).
2. The image of μ meets the nilpotent cone \mathcal{N}_G^* . Thus there exists a unique closed $G \times \mathbb{G}_m$ -orbit in M , the “core” M_0 of M . This is the unique closed G -orbit in $\tilde{\mu}_M^{-1}(0)$, where $\tilde{\mu}_M : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{c}_M = M//G$ and we write 0 for an element of \mathfrak{c}_M mapping to $0 \in \mathfrak{c}_G = \mathfrak{g}^*/G$.)
3. The stabilizer of a generic point $m \in M$ is connected.

We now move on to the full hyperspherical condition. Fix $x \in M_0$, and let $f = \mu(x) \in \mathcal{N}_G^*$. Then $M_0 \cong G/H$ where $H = \text{Stab}_G(x)$, and H is reductive. Let $\bar{\pi} : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \text{Aut}_G(G/H) \cong N_G(H)/H$ be the natural map.

Condition (4a) is that $\bar{\pi}$ lifts to a homomorphism $\pi : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow N_G(H) \cap [G, G]$.

Proposition 7.1. *The lift π factors through $Z_G(H)$.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{t} = d\pi(1) \in \mathfrak{g}$, and let $\mathfrak{h} = \text{Lie}(H)$. We need to show that \mathfrak{t} centralizes \mathfrak{h} . Identify $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{g}^*$, so we can view f as an element of \mathfrak{g} . Then $[\mathfrak{t}, f] = 2f$ (since \mathbb{G}_m acts on \mathfrak{g}^* with weight 2, and $\mu : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ is G -equivariant). By the Jacobson-Morozov theorem, there exists $e \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that $[\mathfrak{t}, e] = -2e$ and $[f, e] = \mathfrak{t}$, giving an embedding $\mathfrak{sl}_2 \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$.

View \mathfrak{g} as an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -module via the above embedding. Then \mathfrak{h} is spanned by highest weight vectors in \mathfrak{g} , so all of the weights of \mathfrak{t} on \mathfrak{h} are nonnegative. Write $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}_+ \oplus \mathfrak{h}_0$, where \mathfrak{h}_+ is the sum of the strictly positive \mathfrak{t} -weight spaces. We have $\mathfrak{h}_+ \subset \text{im}(\text{ad}(f)) \cap \mathfrak{g}^f$ (since H fixes x , we see that H fixes $f = \mu(x)$).

Note that $\text{im}(\text{ad}(f)) \cap \mathfrak{g}^f$ is an ideal in \mathfrak{g}^f (by a direct Lie algebra computation), so $\text{im}(\text{ad}(f)) \cap \mathfrak{h}$ is an ideal of \mathfrak{h} . Furthermore, $\text{im}(\text{ad}(f)) \cap \mathfrak{g}^f$ is a nilpotent Lie algebra (since contained in the positive weight part of \mathfrak{g}). Thus $\text{im}(\text{ad}(f)) \cap \mathfrak{h}$ is a nilpotent ideal in \mathfrak{h} , but \mathfrak{h} is reductive, so $\text{im}(\text{ad}(f)) \cap \mathfrak{h}$ must be central. Since $\text{im}(\text{ad}(f)) \cap \mathfrak{h} \subset [\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}]$, it follows that $\text{im}(\text{ad}(f)) \cap \mathfrak{h} = 0$, and thus $\mathfrak{h}_+ = 0$. We see that $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}_0$, so in particular x centralizes \mathfrak{h} . \square

Proposition 7.2. *The lift π is unique if it exists.*

To state condition (4b) for hyperspherical varieties, let $\tilde{\mathbb{G}}_m$ be \mathbb{G}_m acting on M via the sheared action $\mathbb{G}_m \xrightarrow{(\pi(-)^{-1}, \text{id})} G \times \mathbb{G}_m$. Thus $\tilde{\mathbb{G}}_m$ acts on the symplectic normal space $T_x M_0^\perp / T_x M_0$, where $T_x M_0^\perp$ is the symplectic orthogonal subspace. Condition (4b) is that $\tilde{\mathbb{G}}_m$ acts on the symplectic normal space by $\mathfrak{t} \cdot v = tv$ (i.e. the action has weight 1). This is a useful condition, though the geometric interpretation is not clear.

We are interested in considering sheared \mathbb{G}_m actions more generally – this turns out to be the natural thing to do from the perspective of geometric Satake.

7.2 (David) – More on shearing

Consider the vector field $2p\partial_p - q\partial_q$ on $\mathbb{R}^2 = T^*\mathbb{R}$. This gives a hyperbolic \mathbb{G}_m -action and corresponds to the Liouville form $\lambda = 2pdq + qdp$. For $H = pq$, we get an action of $G = \mathbb{G}_m$ via the Hamiltonian vector field $X_H = p\partial_p - q\partial_q$. Subtracting X_H from our Liouville vector field, we get a $\tilde{\mathbb{G}}_m$ -action via the vector field $p\partial_p$. This $\tilde{\mathbb{G}}_m$ -action preserves the zero section! Thus, by considering shearing actions, we can preserve certain desirable isotropic / Lagrangian submanifolds.

7.3 (Mark) – A construction

Let $H \subset G$ be a reductive group and $H \curvearrowright S$ be a symplectic representation of H . Let $\pi : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow [G, G] \cap Z_G(H)$. Choose a nilpotent element $f \in \mathfrak{g}^*$.

We equip S with a commuting \mathbb{G}_m action via scaling (of weight 1). Write $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{j} \oplus \mathfrak{u}^- \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{u}$, where \mathfrak{j} is the centralizer of π and f , \mathfrak{u}^- is the subspace with negative π eigenvalues, \mathfrak{g}_0 is the subspace with zero π eigenvalues (but nonzero f eigenvalues), and \mathfrak{u} is the subspace with positive π eigenvalues. Integrate \mathfrak{u} to a unipotent subgroup $U \subset G$.

... And we're out of time – we will finish next week.

8 10/19 (Mark Macerato) – Concluded

The goal for today is to discuss the Ben-Zvi–Sakellaridis–Venkatesh construction of hyperspherical varieties via Whittaker induction. This will be a complicated story, but it covers several examples of interest.

8.1 Hamiltonian induction

Let G be an algebraic group and H a subgroup. We can view every G -variety as an H -variety by forgetting some of the action; this gives a restriction functor Res_H^G from G -varieties to H -varieties. More interestingly, this functor has a left adjoint, “induction” Ind_H^G , sending an H -variety to a G -variety. Specifically, for a G -variety Y , we have a diagonal H -action on $G \times Y$ via $h \cdot (g, y) = (gh^{-1}, hy)$. The induction is the balanced product $G \times^H Y := (G \times Y)/H$.

Every G -variety X gives a Hamiltonian G -variety T^*X (and similarly for H -varieties). The Hamiltonian condition here appears as follows: if $D(X)$ is differential operators on X , then we get a natural map $\mathcal{U}\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow$

$D(X)$. Taking associated graded, we get a map $\text{Sym } \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(T^*X)$. This corresponds (under Spec) to the moment map $T^*X \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$.

Given a Hamiltonian G -variety, we can restrict to the H -action and get a Hamiltonian H -variety. This defines a “functor” $\mathfrak{h}\text{Res}_H^G$ from Hamiltonian G -varieties to Hamiltonian H -varieties. The term “functor” here is in quotes because the correct notion of a morphism between symplectic varieties is not the standard notion of morphism of varieties. Instead, we need to consider (G -stable) Lagrangian correspondences as our morphisms.

To understand why, suppose we have a morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ of varieties. We do not obtain a natural morphism of varieties between T^*X and T^*Y . Instead, we get a Lagrangian correspondence

$$T^*X \xleftarrow{f^*} T^*Y \times_Y X \xrightarrow{\pi_1} T^*Y.$$

We can view $T^*Y \times_Y X$ as the conormal variety to $\Gamma_f \subset T^*X \times T^*Y$. Morally, we should think of Lagrangians as being the symplectic analogue of points (they give the maximum amount of information we can cut out by Poisson-commuting functions).

The Hamiltonian induction functor acts on cotangent bundles by

$$\mathfrak{h}\text{Ind}_H^G T^*Y = T^*(G \times^H Y) = T^*(G \times Y) // H = (T^*G \times T^*Y) // H.$$

From this, we can understand what to do for a general Hamiltonian H -variety M . We define

$$\mathfrak{h}\text{Ind}_H^G(M) = (T^*G \times M) // H,$$

where we use the diagonal H -action (from $\mathfrak{h} \mapsto (\mathfrak{h}^{-1}, \mathfrak{h}) \in H \times H$). Then G -stable Lagrangian correspondences between $\mathfrak{h}\text{Ind}_H^G Y$ and M correspond to H -stable Lagrangian correspondences between Y and $\mathfrak{h}\text{Res}_H^G M$ (i.e. $\mathfrak{h}\text{Ind}_H^G$ is left adjoint to $\mathfrak{h}\text{Res}_H^G$).

8.2 (David) – The groupoid picture

Recall that we should think of Hamiltonian H -spaces $M \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}^*$ as coming with an action of the groupoid $T^*H \rightrightarrows \mathfrak{h}^*$. To construct the induced Hamiltonian G -space, we consider T^*G with its natural maps to $\mathfrak{h}^* \times \mathfrak{h}^*$, and we combine this with M as Mark was indicating above.

8.3 (Mark) – Sheared Hamiltonian G -spaces

Recall that we started by considering *graded* Hamiltonian G -variety i.e. those equipped with a commuting \mathbb{G}_m -action (of weight 2 on ω). To discuss Whittaker induction, it is better to generalize to *sheared* Hamiltonian G -variety.

Definition 8.1. Let $\pi : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \text{Aut}(G)$. A π -*sheared Hamiltonian G -variety* is a Hamiltonian G -variety M with a \mathbb{G}_m -action such that, for $\lambda \in \mathbb{G}_m$, $g \in G$, and $x \in M$, we have

$$\lambda \cdot (g \cdot x) = \pi(\lambda)(g)(\lambda \cdot x)$$

and such that $\mu : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ is \mathbb{G}_m -equivariant, where \mathbb{G}_m acts on \mathfrak{g}^* via

$$\lambda \cdot \xi = \lambda^2 \pi(\lambda)^t(\xi).$$

Example 8.2. Consider the action of \mathbb{G}_a on pt , where we assume $\mu : \text{pt} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ sends pt to $x \in \mathbb{A}^1$. We can consider the action $\pi : \mathbb{G}_m \curvearrowright \mathbb{G}_a$ by $\lambda \cdot x = \lambda^2 x$. Then pt is a π -sheared Hamiltonian \mathbb{G}_a -variety, but is not a graded Hamiltonian G -variety.

However, suppose that π arises from an inner automorphism, i.e. we have $\pi : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow G$ and the action is $\lambda \cdot g = \pi(\lambda)g\pi(\lambda)^{-1}$. Then we have an equivalence of categories between graded Hamiltonian G -varieties and π -sheared Hamiltonian G -varieties, given by sending a graded Hamiltonian G -variety $\mathbb{G}_m \curvearrowright M$ to the sheared variety with \mathbb{G}_m -action given by $(\pi(-)^{-1}, \text{id}) : \mathbb{G}_m \hookrightarrow G \times \mathbb{G}_m$.

Example 8.3. Let $\mathbb{G}_m \curvearrowright \mathfrak{g}^*$ and consider $2\rho : \mathbb{G}_m \curvearrowright G$. This gives the sheared action $\lambda \cdot \xi = 2\rho(-\lambda)\lambda^2\xi$.

8.4 Whittaker induction

Suppose G is connected and reductive, and say we have $\pi : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow [G, G]$. Let $f \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ be nilpotent and assume $\pi(\lambda) \cdot f = \lambda^{-2}f$. Consider a reductive subgroup $H \subset G$ such that H commutes with $\pi(\mathbb{G}_m)$ and H fixes f . We can write

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{j} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_+ \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_-$$

where \mathfrak{j} is the centralizer of $\text{Lie}(\pi(\mathbb{G}_m))$ and f , and $\mathfrak{g}_{+/0/-}$ collects the other π -eigenspaces according to their eigenvalues (whether positive, zero, or negative). Another way to construct this is using the Jacobson-Morozov theorem as discussed last time.

Let $\mathfrak{u} = \mathfrak{g}_+$ and $\mathfrak{u}_+ = \oplus_{i \geq 1} \mathfrak{g}_i$ (the sum of eigenspaces of π -weight strictly greater than one).³ Then \mathfrak{u} and \mathfrak{u}_+ integrate to subgroups $U_+ \subset U \subset G$.

Whittaker induction sends graded Hamiltonian H -varieties to a graded Hamiltonian G -varieties, (but uses shearing and unshearing with respect to π in the process). Note that $H \cap U$ is trivial (since our hypotheses imply $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{j}$), H normalizes U , and $HU \subset G$.⁴

Example 8.4. Let $G = \text{GL}_n$, $\pi : \mathbb{G}_m \xrightarrow{2\rho} \text{GL}_n$, and let H be trivial. Let

$$f = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & & \\ 1 & 0 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & * & \dots & * \\ & 1 & \dots & * \\ & & \ddots & * \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and $HU = U$. In this case,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{WhitInd}_{H, 2\rho, f}^{\text{GL}_n} &= \mathfrak{h} \text{Ind}_{HU}^G (\text{pt} \times (\mathfrak{u}/\mathfrak{u}_+)_f) \\ &= \mathfrak{h} \text{Ind}_U^{\text{GL}_n} (\text{pt}_f) \\ &= T^* \text{GL}_n //_f U. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose M is a hyperspherical variety. Then $M = \text{WhitInd}_{H, \pi, f}^G S$, where H is the stabilizer of the unique closed M_0 and S is the fiber of the symplectic normal bundle over any $x \in M_0$ (and π and f are as in the discussion from last time?).

Example 8.5. Let $M = T^*X$ where $X = G/H$. Taking $S = \text{pt}$, π the trivial homomorphism, and $f = 0$, we obtain M via Whittaker induction.

Example 8.6. Let $G = \text{GL}_{2n}$, $H = \text{Sp}_{2n}$, and $V = \mathbb{C}^{2n} \oplus (\mathbb{C}^{2n})^*$. Then

$$\text{WhitInd}_{H, 1, 0}^G(V) \simeq T^*((\text{GL}_{2n} \times \mathbb{C}^{2n})/\text{Sp}_{2n}),$$

and this contains as an open dense subset

$$\text{WhitInd}_{1, 2\rho, \psi}^G(\text{pt}) \simeq T^*(\text{GL}_{2n} //_{\psi} U)$$

where $\psi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a$ takes a $2n \times 2n$ matrix in U and sums the entries directly above the diagonal 1s (except for the n th such entry, which is not contained in a diagonal block of the matrix).

³For ease of reading, one is recommended to focus on examples where $\mathfrak{u} = \mathfrak{u}_+$, but $\mathfrak{u}/\mathfrak{u}_+$ is a point with a nontrivial moment map.

⁴I had to leave at this point – many thanks to Yuji Okitani for graciously sharing his notes.