# GRT Seminar Fall 2024 – Categorical Representation Theory

#### September 13, 2024

#### Abstract

This semester, the GRT Seminar will focus on "categorical representation theory." A good reference is the recent notes of Gurbir Dhillon.

### Contents

	9/5 (David Nadler) – Introduction 1.1 What is a representation of a group?	
2	9/12 (Raymond Guo) – D-Modules	2
	2.1 (David) – Brief orientation	
	2.2 (Raymond) – Weyl algebras	3
	2.3 D-modules on general varieties	3
	2.4 Functoriality	4

## 1 9/5 (David Nadler) – Introduction

Today will be fairly informal – we'll just talk about basic definitions and the example of Lusztig's character sheaves.

### 1.1 What is a representation of a group?

Consider the following well-studied classes of groups:

- Finite groups
- Groups over local fields (e.g. Lie groups, p-adic groups, ...)

Many interesting examples (e.g. finite groups of Lie type) arise as the points of an algebraic group. These algebraic groups often have a *geometric* interpretation.

Representations of groups are interesting for two reasons:

- They allow us to understand abstract groups concretely.
- They allow us to study the symmetries of objects we already cared about.

We can describe a representation of a group as a homomorphism  $G \to \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathcal{C}}(c)$  for some object c of a category  $\mathcal{C}$ .

**Example 1.1.** In the most classical setup, we take  $\mathcal{C} = \mathsf{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}$ , the category of complex vector spaces. Here  $\mathsf{Aut}_{\mathsf{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}}(\mathsf{V}) = \mathsf{GL}(\mathsf{V})$ , the *general linear group*. Note that, at some point, we have to account for the presence of  $\mathbb{C}$  here. We also have to ask: do we consider continuous representations? Algebraic representations?

Let's try to reformulate this in a way that makes the dependence on choices clearer. Given a group G and a coefficient field k, we can construct a *coalgebra of functions*  $\operatorname{Fun}_k(G)$  and an *algebra of distributions*  $\operatorname{Dist}_k(G)$ . For a finite group G, these are the same. A representation of G can be interpreted as either a *comodule* over  $\operatorname{Fun}_k(G)$  or a *module* over  $\operatorname{Dist}_k(G)$ .

The choices we make are:

- The coefficient field k
- The types of representation (i.e. types of "functions" or "distributions" considered)

Let's see this in an example.

#### **Example 1.2.** What are representations of $S^1$ ?

- One answer comes by viewing  $S^1$  as a compact Lie group, so a representation V decomposes as  $\bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}V_n$ , where  $e^{i\theta}$  acts on  $V_n$  by multiplication by  $e^{in\theta}$ .
- If we use homotopy theory, all we can see about  $S^1$  is the homotopy type in particular,  $S^1$  and  $\mathbb{C}^{\times}$  should "have the same representation theory." The correct notion of a "distribution" here is  $\mathrm{Dist}_{\mathbb{C}}(S^1) = C_{-\bullet}(S^1;\mathbb{C}) \simeq \mathbb{C}[\varepsilon]$ , where  $\deg \varepsilon = -1$  and  $\varepsilon^2 = 0$ . Thus representations of  $S^1$  are  $\mathbb{C}[\varepsilon]$ -modules.

Representations in the ordinary category  $\mathsf{Vect}_\mathbb{C}$  are not interesting –  $\varepsilon$  must always act trivially. However, if we consider representations in the dg-category of  $\mathbb{C}$ -dg-vector spaces, we get a more interesting answer – the category of dg-representations of  $S^1$  is  $\mathbb{C}[\varepsilon]$ -dg-modules.

#### 1.2 Why study categorical representation theory?

Categorical representation theory is based on an old "miracle."

Consider the representation theory of finite groups (especially those of Lie type). There are two classical ways to construct representations of such groups:

- Induction from abelian groups (e.g. tori)
- Prayer (e.g. "cuspidal representations")

Lusztig realized a good way to make sense of cuspidal representations. Recall that representations of a finite group G are equivalent to characters of class functions on G. So we can rephrase our problem: how do we construct characters of cuspidal representations? The trick is to instead construct character *sheaves* on the corresponding algebraic groups. Once we have the character sheaves, we can recover the character functions by decategorification.

The "miracle" is that character sheaves can be accessed via induction from tori! One can explain this by appealing to topological field theory: there's a tradeoff between asking seemingly "easy" questions about complicated manifolds and asking seemingly "hard" questions about simpler manifolds (e.g. points). In many cases, one can answer questions about the former by finding the right questions to ask about the latter.

## 2 9/12 (Raymond Guo) – D-Modules

#### 2.1 (David) – Brief orientation

Let G be an algebraic group, e.g.  $GL_n$ . When considering a "type of representations," we need to choose a notion of "group algebra" / "distributions." In categorical representation theory, we take the "group algebra" to be a monoidal category.

**Example 2.1.** The fundamental example to consider is the category D(G) of D-modules on G.

We can find "representations" of D(G) by considering G-varieties X. The action of G on X gives an action of D(G) on D(X).

**Example 2.2.** The fundamental example is X = G/B, the flag variety of G.

#### 2.2 (Raymond) – Weyl algebras

Consider a homogeneous linear ordinary differential equation

$$\sum_{i=0}^{N} p_i(t) \partial^i f(t) = 0.$$

Here  $\partial = d/dt$ . We'd like to understand this algebraically.

To accomplish this, we work in the Weyl algebra  $D_{\mathbb{A}^1} = \mathbb{C}\langle t, \mathfrak{d} \rangle/([\mathfrak{d}, t] - 1)$ , where the relation comes from the Leibniz rule

$$\partial(\mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{t}) = 1 \cdot \mathbf{f} + \mathbf{t} \partial \mathbf{f}.$$

In higher dimensions, we use the Weyl algebra

$$D_{\mathbb{A}^n} = \frac{\mathbb{C}\langle t_1, \dots, t_2, \vartheta_1, \dots, \vartheta_n \rangle}{([t_i, t_j], [\vartheta_i, \vartheta_j], [\vartheta_i, \vartheta_j], [\vartheta_i, t_i] - 1)}.$$

Here the relations are imposed for  $i \neq j$ . This allows us to work algebraically with linear PDEs. Let's consider modules over  $D_{\mathbb{A}^1}$ .

**Example 2.3.** Letting  $\mathfrak{d}$  act by d/dt, we see that  $\mathbb{C}[t]$  is a  $D_{\mathbb{A}^1}$ -module.

**Example 2.4.** The module  $D_{\mathbb{A}^1}/(D_{\mathbb{A}^1} \cdot t)$  can be understood as  $\mathbb{C}[\delta]$  where t acts by  $t\delta^n = -n\delta^{n-1}$ .

David gave an exercise of finding all simple modules for  $D_{\mathbb{A}^1}$ .

#### 2.3 D-modules on general varieties

Let X be a smooth affine variety over a field k of characteristic zero. The endomorphism algebra  $\operatorname{Hom}_k(\mathcal{O}_X,\mathcal{O}_X)$  contains  $\mathcal{O}_X(X)$  (embedded via  $f\mapsto (a\mapsto f\cdot a)$ ) as well as

$$\mathrm{Vect}_X = \{ F \in \mathrm{Hom}_k(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_X) \, | \, F(fg) = F(f)g + fF(g) \}.$$

Taken together, these generate a subalgebra  $D_X = k\langle \mathcal{O}_X, \operatorname{Vect}_X \rangle \subset \operatorname{Hom}_k(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ .

**Example 2.5.** One can check that  $D_{\mathbb{A}^n}$  agrees with the presentation given in the previous section.

All of the above discussion globalizes to give a quasicoherent sheaf of k-linear algebras  $\mathcal{D}_X$  on any (not necessarily affine) algebraic variety X. This is locally generated by  $\mathcal{O}_X$  and the sheaf of vector fields / derivations  $\mathcal{V}\text{ect}_X$ . A D-module on such an X is a sheaf of  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -modules which is quasicoherent as an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module.

**Example 2.6.** For any X,  $\mathcal{O}_X$  may be viewed as a D-module by letting  $\mathcal{D}_X$  act in the usual way. Because  $\mathcal{V}\text{ect}_X$  kills the constant function 1, which generates  $\mathcal{O}_X$ , we see  $\mathcal{O}_X \simeq \mathcal{D}_X/\mathcal{D}_X \cdot \mathcal{V}\text{ect}_X$ . One may think of this as the trivial vector bundle equipped with the standard flat connection.

Maps  $\mathcal{O}_X \to \mathcal{F}$  correspond to "flat" sections of  $\mathcal{F}$ , i.e. sections of  $\mathcal{F}$  on which  $\mathcal{V}\text{ect}_X$  acts trivially. This can be interpreted as "solutions of a system of PDEs."

**Example 2.7.** Let  $x \in X$  be a closed point with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}_x$ . Then  $\delta_x = \mathcal{D}_X/\mathcal{D}_X \cdot \mathfrak{m}_x$  is a D-module on X. (Some authors twist this by a line.) Note that  $\delta_x$  is supported (set-theoretically, as a quasicoherent sheaf) only at x.

If we take a more sophisticated perspective,  $\delta_x$  is supported on every infinitesimal neighborhood of x. Maps  $\delta_x \to \mathcal{F}$  correspond to sections f of  $\mathcal{F}$  which are annihilated by  $\mathfrak{m}_x$ . Taking the image of  $\mathfrak{d}_i$  under such a map recovers (up to a sign) the derivatives of f.

**Example 2.8.** David suggested that, given a smooth variety X and a smooth subvariety  $Y \subset X$ , we can construct a D-module of "delta functions supported on Y."

#### 2.4 Functoriality

Suppose  $f: X \to Y$  is a morphism of algebraic varieties. We would like to be able to push forward and pull back D-modules along  $\mathcal{F}$ .

**Definition 2.9.** For a morphism  $f: X \to Y$ , let  $\mathcal{D}_{X \to Y} = \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \mathcal{D}_Y$ . Given a D-module  $\mathcal{F}$  on Y, we define  $f^*\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{D}_{X \to Y} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_Y} \mathcal{F}$ .

As a quasicoherent sheaf,  $f^*\mathcal{F}$  is just the usual  $f^*\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \mathcal{F}$ , but now we have a compatible  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -action.

**Remark 2.10.** One must be very careful about handedness: do we consider *left* or *right* D-modules? Because  $\mathcal{D}_X$  is noncommutative, these two are different. We have been working with left D-modules so far. A standard left D-module is  $\mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{D}/\mathcal{D} \cdot \mathcal{V}\text{ect}_X$ , with sections looking like f. Stated differently, functions are a left D-module.

A standard right D-module is  $\Omega_X^{\mathrm{top}}$ , with sections looking like  $f \cdot dx_1 \dots dx_n$  on charts. Here  $\mathcal{D}_X$  acts (in a chart) by Lie derivatives. We can write  $\Omega_X^{\mathrm{top}} = \det(T_X)^{\pm 1} \otimes (\mathcal{V}\mathrm{ect}_X \cdot \mathcal{D}_X) \setminus \mathcal{D}$ . Stated differently, distributions are a right D-module. Note that we need to work with distributions to be able to pushforward.

We can convert between left and right D-modules by  $\mathcal{F} \leftrightarrow \Omega_X^{\mathrm{top}} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_X} \mathcal{F}$ .