

Notes on survival analysis*

Erwann Rogard

2022-04-04

Abstract

This is a slightly revised version of a cheatsheet on survival analysis dated 2007-05-14.

1 Conventions

The most general framework that we will consider is that of staggered entry, static covariate and right censoring. Let's begin with the following definitions

*Revisions: <https://github.com/erwannr/statistics/commits/main/survanal>

Conventions		
t^{cal}		calendar time
t^{in}		entry time
t^{out}		exit time
t^\dagger		failure time
$t^+(t)$	$(t - t^{\text{in}})^+$	time on study
$t^c(t)$	$t^{\text{out}} \wedge t^+(t)$	censoring time
$t^*(t)$	$t^\dagger \wedge t^c(t)$	first event time
$\delta(t)$	$1\{t^\dagger < t^c(t)\}$	failure observed
$R(t, s)$	$1\{s \leq t^*(t)\}$	at risk
$N(t, s)$	$\delta(t)1\{t^\dagger \leq s\}$	observed failure prior to
$x^{\text{nuis}}(t)$		nuisance covariate
$z(t)$		treatment indicator
$x(t)$	$(z(t), x^{\text{nuis}}(t))$	covariate
$y(t)$	$(t^*(t), \delta(t))$	response
n		index for order of entry
$n_*(t)$		count of entries
$n_\dagger(t)$	$\sum_{\{n \leq n_*(t)\}} \delta_n(t)$	count of observed failures
$\mathbf{x}(t)$	$(x_1, \dots, x_{n_*(t)})$	covariate data
$\mathbf{y}(t)$	$(y_1, \dots, y_{n_*(t)})$	response data
$D(t)$	$D(t) = (\mathbf{x}(t), \mathbf{y}(t))$	data
β_{nuis}		effect of nuisance covariate
θ		treatment effect
β	$(\theta, \beta_{\text{nuis}})$	covariate effect
ϕ		baseline hazard parameter
ξ		all parameters

Note that t^{in} is measured on the same scale as t^{cal} whereas t^{out} and t^\dagger are clocks that are started at t^{in} . In principle, we need to define a joint distribution for $(t^{\text{in}}, t^\dagger, t^{\text{out}})$, but in the definition of D we are implicitly treating $(t^{\text{in}}, t^{\text{out}})$ as ancillary variables. The staggered entry and static covariate assumptions imply $x(t) = x(t^{\text{in}}), t \geq t^{\text{in}}$, which justifies our definition of D . When the context specifies that we fix $t^{\text{cal}} = t$, we omit t in all expressions that depend on it, e.g. we write D instead of $D(t)$.

1.1 Likelihood and derived quantities

We restrict the class of model, either parametric or semi-parametric, to the proportional hazard. It is standard convention that $f(\cdot)$, $F(\cdot)$, $S(\cdot)$, $h(\cdot)$ and $H(\cdot)$ denote the PDF and CDF of time-to-event, survival, hazard and cumulative hazard functions, respectively. The relations between them are given by

$$S(t) = 1 - F(t) = \exp\left(-\int_0^t h(u) du\right) = \exp(-H(t)) \quad (1)$$

and $F(t) = \int_0^t f(u) du$. The proportional hazard assumption made at the beginning is given by $h(t|x) = h_0(t) \exp(x'\beta)$, which together with (1), implies

$S(t) = S_0(t)^{\exp(x'\beta)}$. The name derives from the property that $h(t|x_0)/h(t|x_1)$, $x_0 \neq x_1$, is independent of t . For the particular case $x = z$, $\forall t$,

$$\theta = \log(h(t|z = 1)/h(t|z = 0)) \quad (2)$$

$$= \log(\log(S(t|z = 1))/\log(S(t|z = 0))) \quad (3)$$

From the first equality θ is often referred to as the log hazard ratio. The second is useful in the Bayesian process of prior elicitation from expert knowledge.

Suppose we postulate a parametric family for $F(\cdot)$ indexed by $\xi \in \Xi$. For example, in the case $t \sim \mathcal{W}(t|\alpha, \gamma)$, $F(t) = 1 - \exp(-\gamma t^\alpha)$, and $h(t) = \alpha \gamma t^{\alpha-1}$, so that $\xi = (\alpha, \gamma)$. Furthermore, if $\gamma = \exp(x'\beta)$, then $h(\cdot|\xi, x)$ is a proportional hazard with baseline $h_0(t|\phi) = \alpha t^{\alpha-1}$, $\phi \equiv \alpha$. Suppose we fix $t^{\text{cal}} = t$. The likelihood, in terms of ξ is

$$L(D|\xi) = \prod_{\{n:\delta_n=1\}} f(t_n^\dagger|\xi) \prod_{\{n:\delta_n=0\}} S(t_n^c|\xi) \quad (4)$$

The proportional hazard also permits an important semi-parametric formulation under which $h_0(\cdot)$ is unspecified and the following partial likelihood[1] may be justified:

$$l_{\text{Cox}}(t|\beta) = \sum_n \int_{[0,t]} \log \left(\frac{\exp(x'_n \beta)}{\sum_l R_l(t, s) \exp(x_l \beta)} \right) N_n(t, ds) \quad (5)$$

$$= \sum_n \delta_n(t) \left(x'_n \beta - \log \left(\sum_l R_l(t, t_n^\dagger) \exp(x'_l \beta) \right) \right) \quad (6)$$

The term inside the parenthesis of (6) can be interpreted as the probability that failure is on individual n , conditional on failure time equating t_n^\dagger and the risk set $\{l : R_l(t, t_n^\dagger) = 1\}$. The score and information matrix (adapted from [4], Chapter 7) are

$$U_{\text{Cox}}(t|\beta) = \nabla_\beta l_{\text{Cox}}(t|\beta) \quad (7)$$

$$= \sum_n \delta_n(t) (x_n - \bar{x}(t, t_n^\dagger|\beta)) \quad (8)$$

$$I_{\text{Cox}}(t|\beta) = -\partial_{\beta, \beta'}^2 l_{\text{Cox}}(t|\beta) \quad (9)$$

$$= \sum_n \delta_n(t) \frac{\sum_l R_l(t, t_n^\dagger) \exp(x'_l \beta) (x_l - \bar{x}(t, t_n^\dagger|\beta)) (x_l - \bar{x}(t, t_n^\dagger|\beta))'}{\sum_l R_l(t, t_n^\dagger) \exp(x'_l \beta)} \quad (10)$$

where

$$\bar{x}(t, t_n^\dagger|\beta) = \frac{\sum_l R_l(t, t_n^\dagger) x_l \exp(x'_l \beta)}{\sum_l R_l(t, t_n^\dagger) \exp(x'_l \beta)} \quad (11)$$

For practical purposes, we may treat the partial likelihood as a standard likelihood so that for fixed t but sufficiently large n_* , the following approximations

hold:

$$n^{-1/2}U_{\text{Cox}}(t|\beta) \sim N(0, n^{-1}I_{\text{Cox}}(t|\beta)) \quad (12)$$

$$\hat{\beta}_{\text{Cox}}(t|\beta) \sim N(\beta, I_{\text{Cox}}^{-1}(t|\hat{\beta}_{\text{Cox}})) \quad (13)$$

where $\hat{\beta}_{\text{Cox}}$ solves the estimating equation $U_{\text{Cox}}(t|\hat{\beta}_{\text{Cox}}) = 0$. The second line follows from $U_{\text{Cox}}(t|\hat{\beta}_{\text{Cox}}) \approx U_{\text{Cox}}(t|\beta) + (\hat{\beta} - \beta)I_{\text{Cox}}(t|\beta)$.

For the particular case $x \equiv z$, $U_{\text{Cox}}(t|\theta = 0)$ is an estimate for the observed–expected number of events in a treatment group, and it is called the log–rank test statistic. Let $\tilde{U}_{\text{Cox}}(t|\theta) = I_{\text{Cox}}^{-1/2}(t|\theta)U_{\text{Cox}}(t|\theta)$. It underpins the popular test of equality between two lifetime distributions: reject if $|\tilde{U}_{\text{Cox}}(t|\theta = 0)| > c_\alpha$. Let $\tilde{U}_{\theta_*, \text{Cox}}(t|\theta) = I_{\text{Cox}}^{-1/2}(t|\theta_*)U_{\text{Cox}}(t|\theta)$. This quantity is useful for sequential analysis. Specifically, if $i = 1, \dots, i_*$ indexes interim analyses, according to Chapter 9 of [5], for θ close to 0, $\tilde{U}_{\theta_*, \text{Cox}}(t_1, \dots, t_{i_*}|\theta) = \{\tilde{U}_{\theta_*, \text{Cox}}(t_1|\theta), \dots, \tilde{U}_{\theta_*, \text{Cox}}(t_{i_*}|\theta)\}$ is a normal vector such that

$$\tilde{U}_{\theta_*, \text{Cox}}(t_i|\theta) \sim N(I_{\text{Cox}}^{1/2}(t_i|\theta_*)\theta, 1) \quad (14)$$

$$\text{Cov}(\tilde{U}_{\theta_*, \text{Cox}}(t_i|\theta), \tilde{U}_{\theta_*, \text{Cox}}(t_{i+k}|\theta))|_{\theta_*} = I_{\text{Cox}}^{1/2}(t_i|\theta_*)I_{\text{Cox}}^{-1/2}(t_{i+k}|\theta_*) \quad (15)$$

todo: make contiguity argument precise (Chapters 7&9[3] and [2]) **todo**Although [5] use $\theta_* = 0$ would it not be better to take $\theta_* = \hat{\theta}$, the mle?

Formulations that are intermediary between the fully parameterized version of $L(\cdot|\xi)$ and the partial likelihood $L_{\text{Cox}}(\cdot|\beta)$ include the piecewise constant hazard model, such that $\xi = (\lambda, \beta)$ where λ is a vector of constants.

Bibliography

- [1] T. Sellke and D. Siegmund. “Sequential analysis of the proportional hazards model”. In: *Biometrika* 70.2 (Aug. 1983), pp. 315–326. ISSN: 0006-3444. DOI: 10.1093/biomet/70.2.315. eprint: <https://academic.oup.com/biomet/article-pdf/70/2/315/632076/70-2-315.pdf>.
- [2] Yannis Biliass, Mingao Gu, and Zhiliang Ying. “Towards A General Asymptotic Theory For Cox Model With Staggered Entry”. In: *Annals of Statistics* 25.2 (1997), pp. 662–682.
- [3] Adrianus Willem van der Vaart. *Asymptotic Statistics*. Cambridge Series in Statistical and Probabilistic Mathematics, 3. Cambridge University Press, 1998. ISBN: 9780521496032.
- [4] Jerald F. Lawless. *Statistical Models and Methods for Lifetime Data, Second Edition*. Wiley Series in Probability and Statistics. Wiley, Nov. 2002. ISBN: 9781118033005. DOI: 10.1002/9781118033005.
- [5] Joseph G. Ibrahim, Ming-Hui Chen, and Debajyoti Sinha. *Bayesian Survival Analysis*. Springer, Dec. 2004. ISBN: 9780387952772.