

pp oac
to
G
ut o _
us g
S
ut o _3
****, India

Author_4
****, India

Author_5
****, India

Author_6
****, India

Author_7
****, India

S. Indu
****, India

Abstract-This work aims to investigate the performance of the Author_8-Author_9 (LSTM) Model for EEG-Author_10. For the experimentation, we use the publicly available DEAP dataset, which consists of preprocessed EEG and physiological signals. Our work limits itself to the study of only the EEG signals to have a scope for developing an efficient headgear model for real-time monitoring of emotions. In this study, we extract the band power, a frequency-domain feature, from the EEG signals and compare the classification accuracies for Valence and Arousal domain for different classifiers. The proposed Author_8-Author_9 (LSTM) model achieves the best classification accuracy of 94.69% and 93.13% for Valence and Arousal scales, respectively, illustrating a significant average increment of 16% in valence and 18% in arousal in comparison to other classifiers.

Keywords-EEG Data, Emotion, Author_13, DEAP dataset, Band power, LSTM Network

I. INTRODUCTION

Emotion represents the state of mind of a person whether a person is happy or sad, angry or calm, stressed, or relieved. Emotions are the response to a particular stimulus. Studies suggest that emotion is a subjective experience: it varies from person to person, and because of this, it is one of the most challenging and exciting research fields in psychology [1]. Recognition of emotion plays a vital role in daily life as it can help in enhancing one's psychological health which is equally important as maintaining physical fitness. Nowadays, a lot more people suffer from anxiety, stress, hypertension, and other mental health-related issues. So, Author_13

0

0

ut o _

S c e t e

understanding of the entire EEG signal at once is a complex task, the EEG signal is divided into various frequency bands. The different frequency bands are the Alpha (0-4 Hz), Beta (4-8 Hz), Delta (8-13 Hz), Theta (13-30 Hz), and Gamma (>30 Hz). Each band is associated with different activities taking place in the body. For instance, the Delta wave is related to deep sleep as well as the deepest level of relaxation. Similarly, the Theta wave is associated with REM sleep, deep and raw emotions, and cognitive processing. Likewise, in a drowsy state, the Alpha wave comes into the picture. It is associated with relaxation and calmness. In a conscious state, the Beta wave is present during the thought process. Gamma waves are current when a person tries to perceive two different senses at the same time as sound and sight. EEG signals have broad applications ranging from Author_2 to diseases and disorders like Author_3, Epilepsy, and Alzheimer-s disease.

Although various classi-cation techniques have been reported in the literature Alhagry et al. [7] reports a classi-cation accuracy of 85.65%, 85.45%, and 87.99% for valance, arousal, and liking, by using LSTM model. Similarly, Li et al. [8] employed LSTM model achieving a mean accuracy of 76.6%. We compute the band power feature for each frequency band of the EEG signals and employ the machine learning methods, namely Author_4 (SVM), K-Author_5 (KNN), Author_6-Author_7 (LSTM), Decision Tree and Author_8. Section II for Author_9 presents a detailed description of the previous research work. In this work, we achieve a maximum classi-cation accuracy of 94.69% for valence and 93.13% for arousal using the LSTM classi-er, outperforming the other classi-ers. In the rest of the paper, Section II describes the related work. Section III contains the proposed methodology, and Section IV includes the experimental results. Finally, we conclude the paper with section V with the conclusion and future work.

II. RELATED WORK

There are various emotions like happy, excited, angry, afraid, sad, depressed, calm, and contentment and the proper classi-cation of these emotions can be bene-cial for the study. Bastos-Filho et al. [9] have classi-ed the emotional state as calm when the levels of arousal are below 4, and the level of valence is between 4 and 6. Similarly, for stress, the levels of arousal should be greater than 5, and that of valence should be less than 3.

In researches concerning the problem of emotion classi-cation, the ability to classify emotions depends on two main factors:

- 1) Features extracted from the dataset.
- 2) Classi-ers used for emotion classi-cation.

employing the LSTM classifier for emotion classification on the DEAP dataset. The average subject-independent accuracy achieved for arousal, valence, and liking is 85.65%, 85.45%, and 87.99%.

Li et al. [8] have used RASM as a feature which represents frequency-space domain characteristics of the EEG signal. They have employed the LSTM model on DEAP dataset and achieved a mean accuracy of 76.6%. Xing et al. [17] built Author_1 (SAE) for EEG signal decomposition and used LSTM model for classification but still observed accuracy of 81.1% in valence and 74.38% in arousal.

Yang et al. [18] propose a parallel combination of Convolutional Author_2 and LSTM Network to extract features from the DEAP dataset and then use the softmax classifier for classification. This model obtained the mean accuracy of 90.80% and 91.03% for valence and arousal.

Previous works in the Author_3 establish that the LSTM models perform better than other classification techniques. Still, the reported work examines either the raw EEG signals or the time-domain features for training the LSTM model. Here, we investigate the use of the band power, a frequency-domain feature for training the LSTM model. To compare the performance of the proposed LSTM model with other classifiers, we also train classifiers, namely SVM, KNN, Author_4, and Author_5. On contrasting the results, we observe a maximum classification accuracy of 94.69% for valence and 93.13% for arousal using the LSTM classifier, which is significantly better than other classifiers.

III. METHODOLOGY

A. Author_6 DEAP dataset [12] is a multimodal dataset for the determination of human emotional states available for public access. For the creation of the database, experiments were performed where 32 participants were made to watch 40 one-minute-long excerpts of selected videos, during which the signals from 32 channels of standard EEG headset and physiological signals from 8 channels were captured. Equipment had a sampling frequency of 128 Hz. The data was pre-processed, and artefacts were removed. The participants rated each video on a scale of 0-9 in terms of valence, arousal, dominance, and liking. These ratings become the benchmark for the classification of emotional states.

B. Pre-processing

Fig. 1 illustrates the EEG signals for two subjects (1, and 9) subjected to the same trial. Both signals indicate differences in the magnitude and the pattern of activations of the brain for different individuals. Thus, it displays the uniqueness in the processing of information in the brain for every individual.

Fig. 2 illustrates the EEG signals for a single person, subjected to two different trials. Both signals display similarity

posed

S

ode

it does possess useful information regarding the state of mind of the individual before showing video trials. The band power for the different bands is calculated using the Welch method based on the Hanning window. The window length here is 1s and the stride of 0.25s for the entire 63s, therefore, obtaining 249 band power values at different instances of time. Only the EEG data values are used for experimental purposes, as our long term goal is to develop a real-time emotion prediction model where we require a minimal amount of hardware so that it can be used in the daily lives of every individual, especially patients.

C. LSTM Author_1 this paper, we use the LSTM network as a useful tool for the prediction of the emotion of individuals. The LSTM networks are frequently used for handling sequential data such as paragraphs in NLP, previous electricity load in the case of the electricity demand prediction. LSTM cell possesses the ability to remember the distant as well as recent events to accurately predict the target variable. This property of retention can turn to be useful for emotion recognition as knowing about the past activations of the EEG signals can drastically affect the prediction of target variables and provide useful insights to the events leading to an appropriate response for the subject.

Fig. 3 shows the con-figuration of the Proposed LSTM model. We implement the model in Python 3 on the Author_2 platform with GPU support for the LSTM network. The LSTM layer has 40 nodes. Dense 1 layer has 10 nodes with $\cdot \tanh \cdot$ activation function, and Dense 2 has a single node with $\cdot \text{sigmoid} \cdot$ activation function. We use a Dropout 25% between the LSTM Layer and Dense 1 Layer. We use Author_3-Gradient Descent (SGD) optimizer (learning rate=0.01, learning rate decay constant= $1 \cdot 10^{-5}$, and momentum constant=0.9) to minimize the binary-cross-entropy loss function.

Other than the customized LSTM model, we also test the classification on the dataset using KNN, SVM, Author_4, and Author_5. We evaluate the performance of each classifier on the pre-processed dataset. As expected from the previous studies, the proposed LSTM model outperforms the other classifiers by a huge margin.

IV. RESULTS

To verify the effectiveness of the proposed LSTM model, we contrast the performance of KNN, SVM, Author_4, Author_5, and LSTM models for classification of the preprocessed dataset. We test models several times to ensure the significance of the results observed. Table I highlights the average prediction accuracies.

TABLE I

TESTING ACCURACIES

Author_8

KNN

equences, α , β , γ , δ , θ , η , and θ , to derive the band power of each band. We use band power as a feature for classifying valence and arousal of the subject. We evaluate and compare using KNN, SVM, Author_1, Author_2, and LSTM as our classifiers. On analysis, we observe a minimum average increment of 16% in the average testing accuracies and maximum classification accuracy of 94.69% for valence and 93.13% for arousal using the LSTM classifier, which performs better than the current state-of-the-art classifiers.

In future, we can use the proposed experimental setup to obtain useful information regarding the emotions of the subjects and extend it for real-time applications. For further improvements, we can add more frequency domain features and test for their performance. As demonstrated by Wichakam and Vateekul [15], a subset of the channels for feature generation may perform better in terms of accuracy. The work may further be extended to include subject-independent models as well. The study can be also be extended to developing 3-D emotion models like the work of Dabas et al. [13].

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Plutchik, Author_3. Author_4 of America, ****.
- [2] J. A. Russell, "A circumplex model of affect," *Journal of personality and social psychology*, vol. 39, no. 6, pp. ****,****, ****.
- [3] M. M. Bradley, M. K. Greenwald, M. C. Petry, and P. J. Lang, "Remembering pictures: Pleasure and arousal in memory," *Journal of experimental psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 379-390, ****.
- [4] W. David and T. Auke, "Toward a consensual structure of mood," *Psychological bulletin*, vol. 98, no. 2, pp. 219-235, ****.
- [5] K. Takahashi, "Remarks on SVM-based emotion recognition from multimodal bio-potential signals," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Work. on Robot and Author_5*, ****, pp. 95-100.
- [6] G. Chanel, J. Kronegg, D. Grandjean, and T. Pun, "Author_6: Author_7 EEG-s and Author_8," *Author_9, Classification and Security. MRCS *****. Author_10 in Author_11, vol. ****, ****.
- [7] S. Alhagry, A. A. Fahmy, and R. A. El-Khoribi, "Author_12 based on EEG using LSTM Author_13," *Emotion*, vol. 8, no. 10, pp. 355-358, ****.
- [8] Z. Li, X. Tian, L. Shu, X. Xu, and B. Hu, "Author_12 from EEG using RASM and LSTM," in *Author_15 on Author_16 and Service. Springer*, ****, pp. 310-318.
- [9] T. F. Bastos-Filho et al., "Evaluation of feature extraction techniques in emotional state recognition," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Intelligent human*